# Status update

Frank Siegert

Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology Durham University

January 15, 2009





www.ippp.dur.ac.uk

# Ingredients for NLO calculations

Matrix element handler extended to keep track of different contributions to NLO matrix elements:

- Automated real emission matrix elements: AMEGIC/COMIX ✓
- Automated dipole subtraction terms: Eur.Phys.J.C53:501-523,2008
- Virtual contributions:
  - Process classes adapted to handle all divergence structures ( $\sim 1, \sim \frac{1}{c}, \ldots$ )

~

- Squared, spin-summed available in literature for many processes
- But ultimately: Want the full ME to allow for spin correlations with decays

#### Loop matrix elements

• Feynman rules  $\Rightarrow$  tensor integrals like

$$B^{\mu\nu}(p_1^2;m_0,m_1) = \int \mathrm{d}^4\ell \frac{\ell^{\mu}\ell^{\nu}}{[\ell^2 - m_0^2][(\ell + p_1)^2 - m_1^2]}$$

• Reduction to scalar integrals (master integrals) which are known analytically, a la Passarino-Veltman

#### Tensor integral reduction

- Option 1: Doing the reduction by hand
  - ${\scriptstyle \bullet}\,$  Not feasible for anything  $\geq$  rank 3 triangles
- Option 2: Analytical GOLEM (Thomas Binoth, unpublished)
  - ${\scriptstyle \bullet}\,$  Helicity projection  $\Rightarrow$  tensor reduction for each helicity combination
  - Maple/Mathematica/Form/... to half-automatedly simplify remaining terms
  - $\bullet$  Feasible for all  $2 \rightarrow 2$  and  $2 \rightarrow 3$  processes
- Option 3: Numerical GOLEM (JHEP 0510:015,2005, arXiv:0810.0992)
  - Programmatic calculation of form factors for tensor integrals (Golem95 library)
  - Helicity projection not necessary, no worries about consistent polarisation states
  - More complex expressions, certainly slower than analytically simplified method

## Status

- Some squared-summed MEs available for (internal) testing
- Some simple processes reduced by hand
- Interface to Golem95 library for more complicated processes, e.g. as a test case 4 photons
- Building up library of processes, on track for usage in CKKW@NLO
- Strategy not decided yet for  $2 \rightarrow 3, 4, \ldots$

- Released version: Only fixed decay chains in hard process
- Request from experiments: Inclusive decays.

# Building blocks

- $\bullet\,$  Decay cascade handling unified with existing one from  ${\rm Hadrons}$
- Spin correlation implementation more elegant and also unified
- $\bullet~$  Building blocks for vertex calculators from COMIX  $\Rightarrow$  automated matrix elements

# Status

- Automatic creation of decay-tables from particles/vertices given by the selected model
- Automatic decay-width calculation
- Calculators for all kinds of vertices in the standard model available
- Next steps: SUSY calculators
- Will be made available in the 1.2.x series

# LO Perturbative improvements (Version 1.2)

 $\rightarrow$  See Stefan's talk.

# $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{HERPA} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathsf{LHCb}} \text{ interface}$

- Implemented in cooperation with Tobias Brambach & Julian Wishahi (LHCb, TU Dortmund)
- Tricky because event generation factorised into production of hadrons and their decay:
  - where to include finite width effects?
  - spin correlations are lost
- Common interface structures very much designed for EvtGen?

## Released version

- Minor version update SHERPA 1.1.3
- Proper physics manual (arXiv:0811.4622 [hep-ph], soon in JHEP)

#### Initial Goal

Easy and automated way to validate a new version of Rivet

## Features

- Event generator setups and framework, such that comparisons in the following use cases are possible:
  - Two versions of Rivet (Rivet validation)
  - Two versions of the same generator (validation)
  - Two different generators (physics)

## Status

- Basics are ready
- Simple webpages with comparisons in the three use cases above can be produced
- Not very many generators/analyses setups provided yet, extending them
- Probably also useful as basis for Professor tuning runs, work in progress