

New Directions for Light Dark Matter

Yonit Hochberg





האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים
THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM

Outline

- Why?
- Theory
- Experiment



Why?

The Universe is Dark





No suitable candidate within the
Standard Model of particle physics

The Universe is Dark



Must be at least one
new particle existing today

The Universe is Dark



What is it?
How does it interact?

What do we know?

- Dark matter has 5 times the mass density of baryons
- Massive ($m=???$)
- Can't interact too strongly with QED and QCD
- Doesn't interact too strongly with itself

Past 40 years

WIMP, glorious WIMP^{*}

*Also axions, of
course also axions :-)


Past 40 years

Correct thermal relic abundance:

$$m_{\text{DM}} \sim \alpha \times 30 \text{ TeV}$$

For weak coupling, weak scale emerges.

"Weakly Interacting Massive Particle (WIMP)"




$$\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2}{m_{\text{DM}}^2}$$

Searching for WIMPs





Direct production



Direct detection



Indirect detection




Searching for WIMPs


Direct production



Direct detection



Indirect detection



Experiments getting increasingly sensitive

Haven't yet detected dark matter

Been searching...
Dominant paradigm is being challenged.

Sociology

Dominant paradigm is being challenged.


- Big puzzles
- Great if a solution gives an option for dark matter candidate
- Big ideas:
Supersymmetry,
extra dimensions...




- Dark matter exists
- Explain on its own
- Perhaps decoupled from other puzzles
- Think outside the WIMP box

**theoretically &
experimentally**

Beyond the WIMP



Beyond the WIMP



Lots of activity in recent years:

Theory & Experiment



Theory


New Theory Ideas

-
- Weakly coupled WIMPs [Pospelov, Ritz, Voloshin 2007; Feng, Kumar 2008]
- Asymmetric dark matter [Kaplan, Luty, Zurek, 2009]
- Freeze-in dark matter [Hall, Jedamzik, March-Russell, West, 2009]
- SIMPs [YH, Kuflik, Volansky, Wacker, 2014; YH, Kuflik, Murayama, Volansky, Wacker, 2015]
- ELDERs [Kuflik, Perelstein, Rey-Le Lorier, Tsai, 2016 & 2017]
- Forbidden dark matter [Griest, Seckall, 1991; D'Agnolo, Ruderman, 2015]
- Co-decaying dark matter [Dror, Kuflik, Ng, 2016]
- Co-scattering dark matter [D'Agnolo, Pappadopulo, Ruderman, 2017]
-

... Are abundant

By no means a comprehensive list

Ex. #1: Weakly coupled $2 \rightarrow 2$




$$\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2}{m_{\text{DM}}^2} \quad \alpha \ll 1$$

$$m_{\text{DM}} \sim \alpha \times 30 \text{ TeV}$$


[Pospelov, Ritz, Voloshin 2007;
Feng, Kumar 2008]

Ex. #2: Forbidden Channels



$$2m_{\text{DM}} < m_{\text{thing}_1} + m_{\text{thing}_2}$$

$$m_{\text{DM}} \sim \alpha \times (30 \text{ TeV}) \times e^{-x_F \Delta}$$




Forbidden @ T=0;
Boltzmann suppressed @ finite T

[Griest, Seckel, 1991; D'Agnolo, Ruderman, 2015]

Ex. #3: SIMPs

What if dark matter mostly interacted with itself?



$$\langle \sigma v^2 \rangle_{3 \rightarrow 2} \equiv \frac{\alpha^3}{m_{\text{DM}}^5}$$

$$m_{\text{DM}} \sim \alpha \times 100 \text{ MeV}$$

3 → 2 self-annihilations


For strong coupling, the strong scale emerges.

SIMP = Strongly (self) Interacting Massive Particle


[Carlson, Hall, Machacek, 1992;
YH, Kuflik, Volansky, Wacker, 2014]

Ex. #3: SIMPs

Pumps heat into the system: need to shed the heat




$3 \rightarrow 2$ self-annihilations



thermalize with
light SM species
(active during freeze-out)


[YH, Kuflik, Volansky, Wacker, 2014]

Ex. #3: SIMPs



decouples 1st

Determines
DM relic density




decouples 2nd


What if the order was reversed?

Ex. #4: ELDERs

ELastically DEcoupling Relic (ELDER)



decouples 2nd



decouples 1st


Determines
DM relic density

$$\Omega_{\text{DM}} \propto e^{-\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{el}} \#}$$

[Kuflik, Perelstein, Rey-Le Lorier, Tsai, 2016 & 2017]

WIMP/SIMP/ELDER


Fixed DM mass



Generic.

Dark Sectors


Visible sector



Zoo of particles
w/structure

$$SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$$

Dark sector



Why not in the
dark sector too?
New gauge symmetries?


Dark Sectors

Think SM!

Dark matter from strongly coupled gauge theories

E.g. $SU(3)_{\text{dark}} \times U(1)_{\text{dark}}$

$Sp(N_c)$, $SU(N_c)$, $SO(N_c)$




Kinetically mixed
hidden photon (V)

QCD-like theories, pions = dark matter

Many processes, many dark matter mechanisms.

E.g. SIMPs

Think QCD!



QCD has 5-point interactions! $K^+K^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0\pi^-$

WZW term


If calculate the rate, find that is just right for SIMPs with mass \sim few hundred MeV

[YH, Kuflik, Murayama, Volansky, Wacker, 2015]

E.g. SIMPs

Think QCD!

$Sp(N_c)$, $SU(N_c)$, $SO(N_c)$



$$\mathcal{L}_{WZW} = \frac{2N_c}{15\pi^2 f_\pi^5} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr}[\pi \partial_\mu \pi \partial_\nu \pi \partial_\rho \pi \partial_\sigma \pi]$$

pion decay constant



WZW term

[Wess and Zumino, 1971
Witten x 2, 1983]

[YH, Kuflik, Murayama, Volansky, Wacker, 2015]

E.g. SIMPs

Think QCD!
 $Sp(N_c)$, $SU(N_c)$, $SO(N_c)$




Stable dark matter = dark pions
mass ~ few hundred MeV

3 → 2 dark glueballs:
Carelson, Hall, Machacek,
1992; Soni, Zhang, 2016;
Forestell, Morrissey,
Sigurdson, 2017


Non-exotic!

[YH, Kuflik, Murayama, Volansky, Wacker, 2015]


E.g. $2 \rightarrow 2$




forbidden annihilations




$2 \rightarrow 2$ annihilations



$2 \rightarrow 2$ annihilations



elastic scattering




semi-annihilations


[Lee, Seo, 2015; YH, Kuflik, Murayama, 2016; Harigaya, Nomura, 2016; Berlin, Blinov, Gori, Schuster, Toro, 2018;]

Predictive.

Kinetically Mixed U(1)




Kinetically Mixed U(1)



[Plot: YH, Kuflik, Murayama, 2016]

Dark Spectroscopy



$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ resonances : center of mass energy
traces the QCD resonance structure



Dark Spectroscopy

$$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma + \text{inv} :$$

Mono-photon traces the resonance structure of the dark sector



$$E_\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{M_{\text{inv}}^2}{s} \right)$$

[YH, Kuflik and Murayama, 2016 & 2017]

Experiment


Detection Blueprints

Dark matter particle comes in


Hits a target in the lab

System reacts

Measure the reaction



Direct Detection




What's going on?

[website: supercdms.slac.stanford.edu/dark-matter-limit-plotter]

Current Experiments


Looking for nuclear recoils:
think billiard balls



$$E_{\text{NR}} = \frac{q^2}{2m_N} = \frac{(m_{\text{DM}}v)^2}{2m_N} \gtrsim E_{\text{threshold}} \sim \text{keV}$$

Current Experiments


Looking for nuclear recoils:
think billiard balls



Lose sensitivity @ $O(\text{GeV})$ masses

New Avenues



Light dark matter: scatter off electrons!




light dark matter
can give enough punch
to kick the light electrons

Energy guideline

Dark matter scattering: kinetic energy $m_{\text{DM}} v^2 \sim 10^{-6} m_{\text{DM}}$




New proposals



Explosion of interest and ideas in recent times

Ex. #1: First ideas

Atomic ionization




Xenon: ~12 eV

$$m_{\text{DM}} \gtrsim 10 \text{ MeV}$$

[Essig, Mardon, Volansky, 2012]

Semiconductors




Ge, Si, Diamond, SiC: ~eV

$$m_{\text{DM}} \gtrsim \text{MeV}$$

[Essig , Mardon, Volansky, 2012;
Graham, Kaplan, Rajendran, Walters, 2012;
Kurinsky, Yu, **YH**, Blas, 2019;
Griffin, **YH**, et al, 2020]

Ex. #1: First ideas

Atomic ionization



Xenon10/100/1T


$$m_{\text{DM}} \gtrsim 10 \text{ MeV}$$

[Essig, Mardon, Volansky, 2012]

[Essig et al, 2012]

[Xenon100, 2016 & Xenon1T 2020] YH @ IPPP Durham, 2021

Semiconductors



SuperCDMS,
SENSEI


$$m_{\text{DM}} \gtrsim \text{MeV}$$

Are being experimentally realized

[Essig , Mardon, Volansky, 2012;
Graham, Kaplan, Rajendran, Walters, 2012;
Kurinsky, Yu, **YH**, Blas, 2019;
Griffin, **YH**, et al, 2020]
[SuperCDMS 2020 & SENSEI 2020]

Ex. #1: First ideas


Atomic ionization



Xenon 10/100/1T

$$m_{\text{DM}} \gtrsim 10 \text{ MeV}$$

Semiconductors



SuperCDMS,
SENSEI

$$m_{\text{DM}} \gtrsim \text{MeV}$$

Smaller masses?

Ex. #2: Superconductors

- Ground state = Cooper pairs;
Binding energy (gap) \sim meV $\longrightarrow m_{\text{DM}} \sim \text{keV}$
- The idea:
DM scatters with Cooper pairs, deposits enough energy,
breaks Cooper pairs \rightarrow detect

Excitations

Excitation concentration
philosophy


[**YH**, Zhao, Zurek, PRL 2015;
YH, Pyle, Zhao, Zurek, JHEP 2015]

Sensor + target
philosophy

[**YH**, Charaev, Nam, Verma, Colangelo,
Berggren, PRL 2019]


Ex. #2: Superconductors

- Ground state = Cooper pairs;
Binding energy (gap) \sim meV $\longrightarrow m_{\text{DM}} \sim \text{keV}$
- The idea:
DM scatters with Cooper pairs, deposits enough energy,
breaks Cooper pairs \rightarrow detect





Ex. #2: Superconductors

- Superconducting Nanowire Single Photon Detectors (SNSPDs)



Broadly used in quantum information science

- Ram an electron, create a hotspot, electrons diffuse away, resistive region across the nanowire → voltage pulse



[YH, Charaev, Nam, Verma, Colangelo, Berggren, PRL 2019]

Ex. #2: Superconductors


Use as simultaneous target + sensor (& multiplex)



[YH, Charaev, Nam, Verma,
Colangelo, Berggren, PRL 2019]


Directional Info?

Lose directional information
if detecting secondaries



e.g. semiconductors,
bulk superconductors


Retain directional information
if observe primary!




2D targets;
graphene (& SNSPDs)

Ex. #3: Graphene

Dark matter scatters with valence electrons, deposits enough energy, ejects electron → detect



$$E_{\text{eject}} \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{few eV}) \\ \Rightarrow m_{\text{DM}} \gtrsim \text{MeV}$$




Eject and detect philosophy

[YH, Kahn, Lisanti, Tully, Zurek, 2017]


Ex. #3: Graphene

Electron follows incoming dark matter direction.
Naturally gives forward/backward discrimination
(separates signal from background)

Electron detected



electron not detected





12 hours later

[YH, Kahn, Lisanti, Tully, Zurek, 2017]


Ex. #3: Design Concept

- $\sim 0.5 \text{ kg graphene} = \text{area of Jerusalem old city} = \text{billions of cm}^2 \text{ crystals}$
- Compact geometry: large mass via many stacks



Implement in PTOLEMY


Experiment to detect relic neutrinos via capture on tritium.



[Betts et al, 2013]

Will use tritiated graphene (~ 0.5 kg).

Borrow pure (un-tritiated) graphene for dark matter experiment!



PTOLEMY World-Wide Collaboration



PTOLEMY: A Proposal for Thermal Relic Detection of Massive Neutrinos and Directional Detection of MeV Dark Matter

Compute Event Rate


[Events/unit time/unit mass]

$$\text{Rate} \propto \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{target}}} \times \frac{\rho_{\text{DM}}}{m_{\text{DM}}} \times v_{\text{DM}} \times \underbrace{\text{target properties}}_{\substack{\text{condensed matter} \\ \text{physics}}} \times \underbrace{\sigma_{\text{int}}}_{\substack{\text{particle physics}}}$$

Target density dark matter flux (astrophysics) condensed matter physics particle physics

The diagram illustrates the components of the event rate formula. A red arrow points down from the formula to the first term. Brackets with arrows point up from each term to their respective fields: green for target density, pink for dark matter flux, orange for condensed matter physics, and blue for particle physics.

Scattering Reach




[a few events in kg-year exposure]

Amazing reach!

Existing Prototype Device

WSi SNSPD, 4.3 nanogram, 0.8 eV threshold,
no dark counts in 10000 seconds (~3 hours)




By now have 180 hours of data

[YH, Charaev, Nam, Verma, Colangelo, Berggren, PRL 2019 + to appear (w/ Lehmann)]

Scattering Reach

Colored curves:
Large array, low
threshold, low
dark count
SNSPDs

DM-electron
scattering
xsec @
 $q = \alpha m_e$
w/ light mediator



[YH, Charaev, Nam, Verma, Colangelo, Berggren, PRL 2019 + to appear (w/ Lehmann)]

Pushing Thresholds Lower

Single-photon detection in the mid-infrared up to 10 micron wavelength using tungsten silicide superconducting nanowire detectors

V. B. Verma,^{1, a)} B. Korzh,^{2, b)} A. B. Walter,² A. E. Lita,¹ R. M. Briggs,² M. Colangelo,³ Y. Zhai,¹ E. E. Wollman,² A. D. Beyer,² J. P. Allmaras,² B. Bumble,² H. Vora,¹ D. Zhu,³ E. Schmidt,² K. K. Berggren,³ R. P. Mirin,¹ S. W. Nam,¹ and M. D. Shaw²

¹⁾ National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, CO, USA.

²⁾ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Dr., Pasadena, CA, USA

³⁾ Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA.

(Dated: 21 December 2020)


We developed superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors (SNSPDs) based on tungsten silicide (WSi) that show saturated internal detection efficiency up to a wavelength of 10 μm . These detectors are promising for applications in the mid-infrared requiring ultra-high gain stability, low dark counts, and high efficiency such as chemical sensing, LIDAR, dark matter searches and exoplanet spectroscopy.

Demonstrated WSi SNSPDs
w/ 125meV energy threshold

New Formalism

DM-electron scattering in any material
is determined by the dielectric function.

For any DM interaction that couples to electron density




[YH, Kahn, Kurinsky, Lehmann, Yu, Berggren, arXiv:2101.08263]

New Formalism

Automatically includes many-body effects of the material


Collective modes (e.g. plasmon),
not just single particle excitations



Identify promising materials for DM detection

[YH, Kahn, Kurinsky, Lehmann, Yu, Berggren, arXiv:2101.08263]

E.g. Heavy Fermions





Identify promising materials for DM detection

[YH, Kahn, Kurinsky, Lehmann, Yu, Berggren, arXiv:2101.08263]

Any given target material can go even further.



Absorption vs. Scattering

Dark matter scattering: kinetic energy $m_{\text{DM}} v^2 \sim 10^{-6} m_{\text{DM}}$




Absorption vs. Scattering

Dark matter absorption: all the mass-energy m_{DM}




Absorption vs. Scattering

Two (mass ranges) for the price of one :-)



Absorption Reach

[projections
for kg-year]



New prelim' bound:
WSi SNSPD prototype
4.3ng in 180 hours

[Kurinsky, Yu,
YH, Blas,
PRD 2019;
YH et al,
PRL 2019;
Griffin, YH, et al,
2020]




Take aways


Outlook

- Lots of activity for light dark matter
- Theory \leftrightarrow experiment
- By no means exhausted...
- It's ok for an idea to seem crazy at first
- The best ideas might still be ahead

Prospects




Prospects




Burgeoning field in recent years

Prospects



Experimentalists are going after these ideas now!

Prospects



Interface particle physics/condensed matter physics/
quantum information science/precision measurements

If you have any (crazy) new ideas,
please be in touch :-)

Thanks!

