Entanglement from Quantum Matter to Spacetime

Sean Hartnoll (University of Cambridge)

Annual Theory Meeting (Durham — virtually, alas) December 2021

Some important themes in 20th century theoretical physics:

- Entropy counts microscopic degrees of freedom! [Boltzmann]
- Black holes have entropy! [Bekenstein and Hawking]
- In certain cases can be matched microscopically! [Strominger and Vafa: SUSY + CFT]



Number of ways a (highly supersymmetric) string can carry momentum P

[Hardy-Ramanujan formula for partitions]

Quantum entanglement promises to be an organizing principle for 21st century physics.

Entanglement is an obstruction to factorizing quantum states. A simple example is:  $|\psi\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle|\downarrow\rangle$ 

In many-body physics often have a very complicated wavefunction of many spins etc. How to characterize?

The entanglement in the wave function between geometrically separated degrees of freedom has proven to be a very useful piece of information.

Local interactions ↔ 'area law' entanglement



With local interactions, most entanglement in the wavefunction is short range. Easy to simulate!

Gauge interactions ↔ 'topological' entanglement

[Kitaev-Preskill, Levin-Wen, ...]

Bombelli et al.,

Srednicki, ...]



Emergent Gauss law constraints captured by a 'topological' term:  $S \sim L + \gamma$ 

- In 'holography' dynamical spacetime emerges from the quantum state of a non-Abelian gauge theory.
- Gravity is a collective excitation of this state, much as sound waves are collective excitations of solids.
- How to characterize this quantum state?
- Recent-ish development: Emergent spacetime requires a lot of entanglement [Ryu-Takayangi, Lewkowyck-Maldacena, ...]

If space is divided by a minimal surface:

 $S_{\rm EE} = \frac{A}{4G_N} + matter contribution$ 

Major generalization of Bekenstein-Hawking entropy.

What is the microscopic (bulk) origin of this entanglement? How is spacetime actually made? Needed: Strominger-Vafa for the 21st century.



# Large N matrices

- The simplest version of the non-Abelian gauge theories that appear in holography are large N matrix quantum mechanics.
- Would like to understand the entanglement of matrix wavefunctions. Will look at simple low dimensional models that do not give rise to gravity but do have an emergent space.
- Very simplest example: understood since 90's how a single large N matrix gives rise to an emergent one dimensional space.

## Baby model: single matrix

- Singlet sector of  $\mathcal{L} \sim \operatorname{tr} \left[ \dot{M}^2 V(M) \right]$  described by eigenvalues { $\lambda$ } of M.
- Eigenvalues are noninteracting fermions. Fermi sea builds 1d space.
   Fermi sea

Density

 Entanglement of interval [λ<sub>1</sub>,λ<sub>2</sub>] using conventional many-body methods. Matches emergent 1+1 'tachyon' field [Das 95, Hartnoll-Mazenc 15]:

$$S_{\rm EE} = \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{\Delta x}{g}$$

# Beyond one matrix

 Eigenvalues are not enough.
 'Off-diagonal' modes stretching between coincident branes essential for 'grown up' holography [cf Maldacena].



- Noted by [Das-Kaushal-Mandal-Trivedi 20] that a class of proto-geometric partitions are obtained by diagonalizing one of the matrices (e.g. X<sub>1</sub>).
- Eigenvalues of X<sub>1</sub> dealt with as in the single matrix case. Induces a block decomposition of the remaining matrices.

## Plan

- Solvable matrix quantum mechanics with two matrices.
- Compute the entanglement of a geometric partition. Treatment of off-diagonal modes inspired by entanglement in gauge theories. This analogy was emphasized by [Hampapura-Harper-Lawrence 20]
- Obtain emergent 2d 'area law' and topological-like subleading correction.

Work with Alex Frenkel: 2111.05967

Quantum Hall phases: incompressible droplet supporting emergent Chern-Simons dynamics.

Minimal microscopic realization: discretize the area-preserving diffeos of the droplet into U(N). [Susskind 01]



IR-regulated version by [Polychronakos 01]:

$$H = \operatorname{tr} \left( X^2 + Y^2 \right) \qquad [X_{ab}, Y_{cd}] = i \delta_{ad} \delta_{bc}$$

(Gauss law)  $-i[X,Y] + \Psi \Psi^{\dagger} = k$ 

- Ground state [Hellerman-Van Raamsdonk 01]:  $|\psi\rangle = \left[\epsilon^{a_1...a_N}\Psi^{\dagger}_{a_1}(\Psi^{\dagger}Z^{\dagger})_{a_2}\cdots(\Psi^{\dagger}Z^{\dagger N-1})_{a_N}\right]^k |0\rangle$ Here Z = X + iY.
- State simple in terms of variables  $\{x, U, \Psi\}$  where

$$X = U x U^{\dagger}, \qquad \Psi = U \widetilde{\Psi}$$
$$\psi = (\det U)^{k} \prod_{a < b} (x_{a} - x_{b})^{k} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d} x_{d}^{2}} \prod_{c} \widetilde{\Psi}_{c}^{k} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d} |\widetilde{\Psi}_{d}|^{2}}$$
[Karabali-Sakita 01]

 Wavefunction factorizes — allows computation of two contributions to the entanglement due to a vertical (fixed X) partition of the droplet:

(1) A 'collective field' contribution from fluctuations of the x eigenvalues. Physically: correlations due to chiral boundary mode.

(2) A 'gauge theoretic' contribution from an associated block partition of the U. Physically: nonlocal correlations due to the Gauss law.



# Collective field entropy

- Similar to computations of the entanglement in single-matrix models. But we used a new method.
- In terms of the collective field  $n(x) \equiv \sum_{a} \delta(x x_{a})$

the wavefunction  $\psi[n] = e^{S[n]}$ 

$$S[n] = \frac{k+1}{2} \int dx_1 dx_2 n(x_1) n(x_2) \log|x_1 - x_2| - \frac{1}{2} \int dx n(x) x^2$$

is strongly peaked on the Wigner semi-circle:

$$n_o(x) = \frac{2N}{\pi R^2} \sqrt{R^2 - x^2}, \qquad R^2 = 2N(k+1)$$

## Collective field entropy

• Fluctuations about the semi-circle  $n(x) = n_o(x) + \delta n(x)$ are described by the Gaussian wavefunction:

$$\psi[\delta n] = e^{\frac{k+1}{2} \int dx_1 dx_2 \delta n(x_1) \delta n(x_2) \log |x_1 - x_2|}$$

 Using steps from [Jackiw-Strominger 81] one can express this wave function in terms of a chiral boson φ:

$$\psi[\delta n] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-\int d\tau d\theta [i\partial_{\tau}\phi\partial_{\theta}\phi + (\partial_{\theta}\phi)^{2}] - i\int d\theta\phi(\theta)\delta n(\theta)}$$

# Collective field entropy

- Can show that the 'target space' entanglement of the eigenvalues is equal to the usual entanglement of the chiral boson.
- This is fixed by conformal invariance.
- Finite N cuts off the mode expansion of the boundary chiral field so that:

$$s_{\rm bdy} = \frac{1}{6} \log(NL) + \cdots$$
  
**t**  
Length of cut with radius

Matches Chern-Simons result of [Belin-Iqbal-Krutoff]

Length of cut with radius normalized to one.

## Lattice gauge theory

• The gauge-invariant variables (Wilson loops) of a gauge theory are not local. Defining a geometric partition therefore requires some work.



## Lattice gauge theory

• [Donnelly 12]: links crossing the boundary are assigned to both regions. In simple situations the two copies of the holonomy on the link are required by gauge invariance to be maximally entangled.



- In the full theory, the U degrees of freedom are 'pure gauge' and do (almost) nothing.
- We will see however, that when the system is partitioned some of the U's acquire dynamics. We follow the method of [Donnelly-Freidel 16] here.
- For example, suppose in Chern-Simons theory we split the Lagrangian in spatial regions:

$$L = \int_{M} d^2 x \epsilon^{ab} A_a \frac{d}{dt} A_b = \int_{M_1} d^2 x \epsilon^{ab} A_a \frac{d}{dt} A_b + \int_{M_2} d^2 x \epsilon^{ab} A_a \frac{d}{dt} A_b$$

 Then if we restrict to a given subregion, pure gauge modes that don't vanish on the common boundary acquire dynamics:

$$\delta A_a = \partial_a \phi \quad \rightarrow \quad \delta L = \int_{\partial M_1} \partial_x \phi \partial_t \phi$$

• A similar things happens in the matrix case. Write the kinetic term in the Lagrangian as a sum (the trace is like the integral previously)

$$L_{\rm kin} = i \operatorname{tr} \left( \Theta Z^{\dagger} \frac{d}{dt} Z + (1 - \Theta) Z^{\dagger} \frac{d}{dt} Z \right) = L_{L \operatorname{kin}} + L_{R \operatorname{kin}}$$

 Here Θ projects to the space of lowest M eigenvalues of X. One finds:

$$L_{L \operatorname{kin}} = y_{L} \frac{d}{dt} x_{L} + \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( Y_{RL}^{\dagger} \frac{d}{dt} Y_{RL} \right)$$
$$L_{R \operatorname{kin}} = y_{R} \frac{d}{dt} x_{R} + \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( Y_{LR}^{\dagger} \frac{d}{dt} Y_{LR} \right)$$

These are the
off-diagonal
modes that live
in both regions

• The Gauss law fixes  $Y_{LR}^{\dagger} = Y_{RL} = U_R Y_{RL}^{cl} U_L^{\dagger}$   $Y_{ab}^{cl} = y_a \delta_{ab} - i \frac{\widetilde{\Psi}_a^{\dagger} \widetilde{\Psi}_b}{x_a - x_b}$ .

(It is important only to consider  $U = U_L U_R$  that respect the block partition)

To make a long-ish story short (details on arXiv!):

- On each side of the cut U<sub>L</sub> and U<sub>R</sub> become families of harmonic oscillators, constrained to fixed energy.
- The energy is set by the singular values of  $Y_{RL}^{cl}$ .
- Gauge invariance forces these oscillators to be maximally entangled across the cut.
- The oscillators are 'identical'. This is inherited from the fact that U must not include permutations that re-order the eigenvalues.

# Gauge theoretic entropy

 The gauge-theoretic entanglement entropy can be computed with Hardy-Ramanujan formula to count the dimension of the entangled oscillator space [same counting problem arises in CS theory, chiral modes on the entanglement cut!]. Find:

$$s = \frac{(Nk)^{1/2} \log(NL)}{\sqrt{6}} L + \cdots$$

- Area law, regulated by finite N emergent geometry!
- Logarithmic violation is related to cut intersecting the boundary of the droplet.

# Radial partition

 We also looked at a radial partition, using a similar framework.
 Find:

$$s = \frac{(Nk)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{6}} C_o - 2\log[(Nk)^{1/2}C_o] + \cdots$$



- No logarithmic violation of area law here, related to cut not intersecting the boundary.
- Trust subleading term in this case. Reminiscent of topological entanglement terms.

### Conclusions

- What partition of matrix degrees of freedom captures the partition of an emergent geometry?
- The matrix Hamiltonian does not have spatial locality. But the wavefunction should contain an emergent locality.
- We have defined a partition in a very simple twomatrix model and computed the corresponding entropy (subtleties: role of permutations and 'partial gauge fixing').

## Conclusions

- We found two contributions that match the expected emergent locality:
  - a logarithmic entanglement from eigenvalues

     → chiral boundary mode
     an area-law gauge-theoretic entanglement
     → bulk Chern-Simons field
- Now have the understanding to move on to a more complicated model with compressible bulk dynamics.