Scheme dependence in pQCD at the four loop level

Robert Mason

December 16, 2021

Primary Supervisor: John Gracey Secondary Supervisor: Thomas Teubner

We consider perturbative series to the four-loop level in the symmetric momentum subtraction schemes in massless perturbative QCD to investigate scheme dependence as a theory lab.

- Interactions in Quantum Field Theories result in divergent calculations.
- Divergences can be described through regularisation.
- The theory is renormalized by mapping the classical variables onto quantum variables through the inclusion of counter-terms in the Lagrangian.
- There is an ambiguity in the choice of finite counter-terms.
- A scheme provides a set of conditions to ensure the calculation is finite, fixing the counter-terms.

Scheme Difference in Measurables

- Measurable quantities do not depend on the choice of scheme.
- In pQCD measurables are approximated with perturbative series in terms of the running coupling constant for QCD.
- To all orders series will represent measurable. However, due to truncation, perturbative series will have a finite scheme dependence.
- The difference of the values calculated for a measurable ρ calculated in two schemes S_1 and S_2 up to order N is

$$\rho_{(N)}^{S_1}(Q) - \rho_{(N)}^{S_2}(Q) = \mathcal{O}(a_0(Q)^{N+1})$$

where $a_0(Q)$ is the leading order coupling constant.

Minimal Subtraction Schemes

- Schemes differ by the choice of the finite part of the counter-terms and the kinematic configuration in which they are defined.
- The Minimal Subtraction scheme (MS) sets the finite contribution to the counter-terms to zero which is true in all kinematic configurations.
- The modified Minimal Subtraction scheme (\overline{MS}) changes MS by defining counter-terms to remove factor of $4\pi e^{\gamma}$ from calculations.
- These schemes are computationally simple because the finite order contributions from the ϵ expansion in dimensional regularisation do not need to be calculated.
- Why consider other schemes?
 - No reference to kinematics.
 - Expensive on the lattice difficult to match calculations.
 - Investigate scheme dependence.

Symmetric MOM schemes

Symmetric momentum subtraction schemes are defined by requiring:

- Gluon two point function has no loop corrections at momenta $p^2 = -M^2$
- Characteristic vertex function has no finite order corrections in symmetric momentum configuration $p_i^2 = -M^2$

The schemes considered were:

- MOMg using triple gluon vertex
- MOMq using quark-antiquark-gluon vertex
- MOMc using ghost-antighost-gluon vertex



Figure 1: Diagrammatic representation of three point function vertex with momenta labelled.

Four loop series for measurable ρ in scheme S_1 :

$$egin{aligned} &
ho(Q) &pprox
ho_{(4)}^{S_1}(Q) = &
ho_0^{S_1} +
ho_1^{S_1} a_{S_1}(Q) +
ho_2^{S_1} a_{S_1}^2(Q) +
ho_3^{S_1} a_{S_1}^3(Q) \ &+
ho_4^{S_1} a_{S_1}^4(Q) \end{aligned}$$

Process of changing schemes:

- Apply coupling constant conversion functions
- Iruncate series
- Write running in terms of renormalization scale
- ④ Find common unit with Λ ratio

Four loop series for measurable ρ in scheme S_1 :

$$\rho(Q) \approx \rho_0^{S_1} + \rho_1^{S_1} a_{S_2}(Q) + (\rho_2^{S_1} + c_1^{S_1, S_2} \rho_1^{S_1}) a_{S_2}^2(Q) + (\dots) a_{S_2}^3(Q)
+ (\dots) a_{S_2}^4(Q) + (\dots) a_{S_2}^5(Q) + + (\dots) a_{S_2}^6(Q) + \dots$$

Process of changing series to scheme S_2 :

- Apply coupling constant conversion functions $a_{S_1} = a_{S_2} + c_1^{S_1, S_2} a_{S_2}^2 + c_2^{S_1, S_2} a_{S_2}^3 + c_3^{S_1, S_2} a_{S_2}^4$
- 2 Truncate series
- Write in terms of renormalization scale
- Ind common unit with Λ ratio

Four loop series for measurable ρ in scheme S_2 :

$$\begin{split} \rho(Q) &\approx \rho_{(4)}^{S_2}(Q) = &\rho_0^{S_2} + \rho_1^{S_2} a_{S_2}(Q) + \rho_2^{S_2} a_{S_2}^2(Q) + \rho_3^{S_2} a_{S_2}^3(Q) \\ &+ \rho_4^{S_2} a_{S_2}^4(Q) \end{split}$$

Process of changing series to scheme S_2 :

- Apply coupling constant conversion functions
- Truncate series

 $\rho_n^{S_2} := \mathcal{O}(a_{S_2}^n) \text{ coefficient; } \rho_{n>4}^{S_2} \to 0$

- Write in terms of renormalization scale
- Ind common unit with Λ ratio

Four loop series for measurable ρ in schemes S_1 and S_2 :

$$\begin{split} \rho(Q) &\approx \rho_0^{S_2} + \rho_1^{S_2} a_{S_2}(Q) + \rho_2^{S_2} a_{S_2}^2(Q) + \rho_3^{S_2} a_{S_2}^3(Q) + \rho_4^{S_2} a_{S_2}^4(Q) \\ &\approx \rho_0^{S_1} + \rho_1^{S_1} a_{S_1}(Q) + \rho_2^{S_1} a_{S_1}^2(Q) + \rho_3^{S_1} a_{S_1}^3(Q) + \rho_4^{S_1} a_{S_1}^4(Q) \end{split}$$

Process of changing series to scheme S_2 :

- Apply coupling constant conversion functions
- Iruncate series
- Write in terms of renormalization scale

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{a}^{S}(Q,\Lambda^{S}) \approx & \frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{S}L^{s}} \left[1 - \frac{\beta_{1}^{S}\ln(L^{S})}{\beta_{0}^{S^{2}}L^{S}} + (\beta_{1}^{S^{2}}(\ln^{2}(L^{S}) - \ln(L^{S}) - 1) + \beta_{0}^{S}\beta_{2}^{S}) \frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{S^{4}}L^{S^{2}}} \right) \\ & + \left(\beta_{1}^{S^{3}}\left(\ln^{3}(L^{S}) - \frac{5}{2}\ln^{2}(L^{S}) - 2\ln(L^{S}) + \frac{1}{2} \right) + 3\beta_{0}^{S}\beta_{1}^{S}\beta_{2}^{S}\ln(L^{S}) - \frac{1}{2}\beta_{0}^{S^{2}}\beta_{3}^{S} \right) \frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{5^{6}}L^{S^{3}}} \end{split}$$

 $L^{S} = \ln(Q^{2}/\Lambda_{S}^{2})$ Find common unit with Λ ratio

Robert Mason

Four loop series for measurable ρ in schemes S_1 and S_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(Q) &\approx \rho_0^{S_2} + \rho_1^{S_2} a_{S_2}(Q) + \rho_2^{S_2} a_{S_2}^2(Q) + \rho_3^{S_2} a_{S_2}^3(Q) + \rho_4^{S_2} a_{S_2}^4(Q) \\ &\approx \rho_0^{S_1} + \rho_1^{S_1} a_{S_1}(Q) + \rho_2^{S_1} a_{S_1}^2(Q) + \rho_3^{S_1} a_{S_1}^3(Q) + \rho_4^{S_1} a_{S_1}^4(Q) \end{aligned}$$

Process of changing series to scheme S_2 :

- Apply coupling constant conversion functions
- Iruncate series
- Write in terms of renormalization scale
- **3** Find common unit with Λ ratio $a^{S_2}(Q, \Lambda^{S_2}) \approx \frac{1}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\left(\frac{Q}{\Lambda_{S_1}} \frac{\Lambda_{S_1}}{\Lambda_{S_2}}\right)^2\right)}, \frac{\Lambda_{S_1}}{\Lambda_{S_2}} = \exp\left(\frac{c_1^{S_1, S_2}}{2\beta_0}\right)$

Example: R-Ratio

$$R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} = R_0 + R_1 a^{RR}$$



Figure 2: Three and four loop R-Ratio effective coupling constant with N_f = number of active quarks and x = running momenta in units of $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{N_f}$.

Example: Bjorken

$$C^{Bjp}(a_s) = rac{6}{g_A} \Gamma_1^{p-n}(Q^2) = C_0 + C_1 a^C$$



Figure 3: Three and four loop Bjorken sum rule effective coupling constant with N_f = number of active quarks and x = running momenta in units of $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{N_f}$.

Theory error in pQCD

• Measurable quantities are renormalization group independent, this can be described in the equation

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \rho(\mu) = 0$$

- A series calculated in pQCD to finite order should have an associated uncertainty.
- Can use expected renormalization group invariance to estimate error.
- Usual measure of error rescales unphysical momenta scale to estimate error

$$\rho \approx \rho_{(N)}^{S_2}(Q) + \frac{(\rho_{(N)}^{S_2}(Q/2) - \rho_{(N)}^{S_2}(Q))}{(\rho_{(N)}^{S_2}(Q) - \rho_{(N)}^{S_2}(2Q))}.$$

Scheme error

- Can we use scheme difference at finite order to calculate theoretical uncertainty in a series?
- We want to calculate measurable ρ at scale Q

$$\rho(Q) = \rho_{(N)}^{S_1} + \Delta(\rho^{S_1}, N, Q) = \rho_{(N)}^{S_2} + \Delta(\rho^{S_2}, N, Q)$$

- Δ(ρ^S, N, Q) represents our ignorance in the higher order of the series.
 If the sign of Δ(ρ^{S1}, N, Q) and Δ(ρ^{S2}, N, Q) are different then ρ^{S1}_(N) and ρ^{S2}_(N) bound the true value ρ(Q).
- Considering more schemes improves probability that true series lies in envelope given by the largest and smallest value of ρ^{S_i}_(N)

Example



Figure 4: Comparison of envelope graphs constructed by taking the maximum and minimum value of the series in the different schemes (MOMi, mMOM and $\overline{\text{MS}}$) at each scale

Example: R-Ratio

$$a^{RR}|_{N_{f}=5} = a_{mMOM} - 2.89086a_{mMOM}^{2} - 299.03413a_{mMOM}^{3} + 407.37433a_{mMOM}^{4} + \mathcal{O}(a_{mMOM}^{5}),$$

$$a^{RR}|_{N_{f}=5} = a_{MOMc} - 7.35580 a_{MOMc}^{2} - 258.15390 a_{MOMc}^{3} + 3883.57250 a_{MOMc}^{4} + \mathcal{O}(a_{MOMc}^{5}),$$

$$a^{RR}|_{N_f=5} = a_{MOMg} - 3.77154 a_{MOMg}^2 - 275.52688 a_{MOMg}^3 + 1671.72909 a_{MOMg}^4 + O(a_{MOMg}^5),$$

$$a^{RR}|_{N_{f}=5} = a_{MOMq} - 5.52330 a_{MOMq}^{2} - 168.45783 a_{MOMq}^{3} + 1337.29074 a_{MOMq}^{4} + \mathcal{O}(a_{MOMq}^{5}),$$

$$a^{RR}|_{N_f=5} = a_{\overline{\text{MS}}} + 5.63692a_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2 - 204.27304a_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^3$$

Robert Mason Scheme dependence in pOCD December 3

Using experimental data

- Proof of concept: Extract theoretical quantities directly from experimental values for comparison between schemes.
- Suppose we have a value related to the measurable ρ_{Q_E} which has been measured at momentum Q_E , we can solve numerically to find Λ_S .
- We can run this value up to the

$$a_{S}(M_{Z}) \approx a^{S}(M_{Z}, \Lambda_{S}) \approx \frac{1}{\beta_{0} \ln\left(\left(\frac{M_{Z}}{\Lambda_{S}}\right)^{2}\right)} + \dots$$

• Convert all such values to a single scheme using the coupling constant conversion functions.

Example: R-Ratio

$\alpha_{RR} = 0.13697 \pm 0.01225$					
$at \ \psi_E = 02.13030 \ \text{GeV}$					
Scheme	N	$\alpha_{\overline{MS}}(M_Z)$	Scheme	N	$\alpha_{\overline{MS}}(M_Z)$
MS	2	$0.12725^{+0.01066}_{-0.01053}$	MOMc	2	$0.12677^{+0.01054}_{-0.01039}$
	3	$0.12982\substack{+0.01126\\-0.01124}$		3	$0.13201\substack{+0.01203\\-0.01237}$
	4	$0.13056^{+0.01149}_{-0.01154}$		4	$0.13059\substack{+0.01150\\-0.01156}$
mMOM	2	$0.12635\substack{+0.01044\\-0.01027}$	MOMg	2	$0.12637\substack{+0.01045\\-0.01028}$
	3	$0.13085^{+0.01162}_{-0.01174}$		3	$0.13115\substack{+0.01171\\-0.01187}$
	4	$0.13081\substack{+0.01159\\-0.01169}$		4	$0.13077\substack{+0.01157\\-0.01166}$
MOMq	2	$0.12650\substack{+0.01048\\-0.01031}$	Average	2	$0.12680 \pm 0.00045 \substack{+0.01066 \\ -0.01027}$
	3	$0.13216^{+0.01203}_{-0.01230}$		3	$0.13099 \pm 0.00117^{+0.01203}_{-0.01124}$
	4	$0.13024^{+0.01137}_{-0.01137}$		4	$0.13053 \pm 0.00028 \substack{+0.01159 \\ -0.01137}$

[5] R. Marshall. A Determination of the Strong Coupling Constant α_s From e^+e^- Total Cross-section Data. Z. Phys. C,

43:595, 1989.

What have we done?

- Investigated scheme dependence in perturbative series up to the four loop level
- Series include: Bjorken sum rule and R-Ratio
- Schemes include:MOMg, MOMq, MOMc, MS and mMOM
- Explore the use of scheme difference as a measure of error
- Applied this idea to calculate fundamental theoretical quantities in different schemes based on experimental data.

[1] J. A. Gracey. Two loop QCD vertices at the symmetric point.Physical Review D, 84(8), Oct 2011

[2] J.A. Gracey. Momentum subtraction and the R Ratio. Physical Review D, 90(9), Nov 2014

[3] Alexander Bednyakov and Andrey Pikelner. Four-loop QCD MOM beta functions from the three-loop vertices at the symmetric point.Physical Review D, 101(7), Apr 2020.

[4] William Celmaster and Richard J. Gonsalves.

Renormalization-prescription dependence of the quantum-chromodynamic coupling constant. Phys. Rev. D, 20:1420–1434, Sep 1979 [5] R. Marshall. A Determination of the Strong Coupling Constant α_s From e^+e^- Total Cross-section Data. Z. Phys. C, 43:595, 1989.