# Holomorphic Modular Bookstrap

Based on: arXiv:2108.01060 (JHEP 11(2021)195) and arXiv:2012.14939 (JHEP 04(2021)294)

in collaboration with Dr. Chethan N. Gowdigere and Jagannath Santara

YTF 21 Online Presentation

16 December 2021

- @ CFTs: QFTs with conformal symmetry Poincare + Scaling + Spl. Conformal Transformations
- torus partition function is modular invariant,

$$\mathscr{Z}(\gamma\tau,\gamma\overline{\tau}) = \mathscr{Z}(\tau,\overline{\tau}) \quad \forall \gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$$

- @ Why study 2D CFT?
  - @ String theory is a 2D CFT!
  - a Relevant for studying 2D critical systems at the fixed point of RG flow.
  - 2D Holography: AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub> many elegant results like Cardy-Calbrese entanglement entropy, Brown-Henneaux-York stress trensor, etc.
  - algebras, theory of modular forms, etc.

@ 2D CFTs: CFTs in (1+1) dimensions. Special: Lie Algebra is infinite dimensional,

...(1)

Representation Theory, Infinite dimensional Lie Algebras, Vertex operator



@ 2D CFT with a finite number of primary fields (1) and with central charge c and conformal dimensions  $h_i \in \mathbb{Q} \forall i$ .

@ The torus partition function can be written as (by modular invariance),

 $\mathcal{Z}(\tau,$ 

where  $\mathbb{Z}_0^+ \ni m_{ii} \in M_{ii}$  and the characters are,



with  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ ,  $\alpha_i = h_i - \frac{c}{24}$   $(h_0 = 0)$  and  $\chi_0(\tau)$ : Identity character. @ Examples: Free boson/fermion, Critical Ising model, WZW CFTs, etc. <sup>1</sup>G. Anderson and G. Moore, Rationality in Conformal Field Theory

$$\overline{\tau}) = \sum_{i, j=0}^{n-1} M_{ij} \chi_i(\tau) \chi_j(\overline{\tau})$$

$$q^{\alpha_0+m}, \quad \chi_i(\tau) = D \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m^{(i)} q^{\alpha_i+m}$$



### Modular Forms

@ Consider holomorphic  $f: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that,  $f(\gamma\tau) = f\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau)$ and  $f(\tau)$  is holomorphic at  $i\infty \Rightarrow f(\tau)$ : weight k modular form.

Example: Eisenstein series (modular form of weight k > 2),

 $E_k(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} -\frac{1}{(q)}$ gcd(p,q) = 1

Basis =  $\{E_4^{\theta} E_6^{\phi} \mid 4\theta + 6\phi = k\}$ .

• Serre-Ramanujan derivative  $\mathscr{D}: M_k(SL(2,\mathbb{Z})) \to M_{k+2}(SL(2,\mathbb{Z})),$ 



$$(\tau + d)^k f(\tau), \ \forall \ \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$$

$$\frac{1}{(p\tau+q)^k} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{k,m} e^{2\pi i \tau m}$$

•  $M_k(SL(2,\mathbb{Z}))$ : Even dimensional C-vector space of weight k(>2) modular forms.

$$\partial_{\tau} - \frac{i\pi k}{6} E_2(\tau)$$



# Modular Linear Differential Equation (MLDE)

 $\chi_i(\tau)$ s are weight 0 modular functions (meromorphic in H and at  $i\infty$ ).

of a single n<sup>th</sup> order ODE in the moduli space of the torus, a modular linear differential equation (MLDE),



The Wronskian  $\mathcal{W}$  will be a weight n(n-1) modular function.

Wronskian index l (from Valence formula),

 $\mathbb{Z}_0^+ \ni l$  (order of zeros

<sup>2</sup>S.D. Mathur, S. Mukhi and A. Sen, On the Classification of Rational Conformal Field Theories}, Phys. Lett. B 213 303 (1988).

@ It is known (2) that the n characters of a RCFT are the linearly independent solutions

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_r(\tau) \mathscr{D}^r \chi_i = 0 \qquad \left( \text{with } \phi_r = (-1)^{n-r} \frac{\mathscr{W}_r}{\mathscr{W}} \right) \quad \dots (7)$$

$$of \mathscr{W}) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \frac{nc}{4} - 6\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i$$



...(8)

## MMS CLASsification

- (n, l) and seek Frobenius type of character-like solutions of the form (3).
- at a given level.
- non-degenerate.
- stabilise for  $m \to \infty$ . Then,  $Da_m^{(i\neq 0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+$ .
- character-like solutions and check if they are positive.
- @ These will then be the characters of genuine RCFTs.

@ A given MLDE is classified by two parameters: (n, l). We can scan different values of

 $\circ$  Integrality constraint:  $a_m^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+$  since these Fourier coefficients are state degeneracies

• Vacuum constraint:  $\chi_0(\tau)$  should have  $a_0^{(0)} = 1$  which means that the vacuum state is

• Stability constraint:  $a_m^{(i\neq 0)} \in \mathbb{Q}_0^+$  (as these can be degenerate). Then, one needs to find the LCM of the denominators (=: D) of these rational coefficients which should

The remaining solutions will then be called admissible character-like solutions. @ RCFT constraint: One then needs to compute Fusion coefficients for admissible



# (2,0) MLDE: MMS Procedure @ Let us consider the (2,0) MLDE and the corresponding valence formula:

@ Plug (3) and (5) in (9) to get a recursive relation,  $(m + \alpha)^2 a_m - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{l=0}^{m} (m + \alpha)^2 a_l = 0$ 

⊘ Indicial equation (m=0 in (10)):

which means  $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{6} - \alpha_0$  and  $\mu_{1,0} = \alpha_1 \alpha_0 =$ • Putting m = 1 in (10):

 $\mathscr{D}^{2}\chi + \mu_{1,0}E_{4}\chi = 0, \qquad 1 + \frac{c}{2} - 6h = 0$ 

$$(-k+\alpha)E_{2,k}a_{m-k} + \mu_{1,0}\sum_{k=0}^{m}E_{4,k}a_{m-k} = 0$$

$$\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{6}\alpha + \mu_{1,0} = 0$$

$$= \alpha_0 \left( \frac{1}{6} - \alpha_0 \right)$$

 $a_{1}^{(0)} =$ 

$$\frac{24\alpha_0(60\alpha_0 - 11)}{5 + 12\alpha_0}$$



## (2,0) MLDE: MMS Procedure (could.)

@ (12) implies (with  $N := -120\alpha_0$ ):

 $N^{2} + 22N + Na_{1}^{(0)} - 50a_{1}^{(0)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_{1}^{(0)} = \frac{N(N+22)}{50-N}$ ...(13)

- Since  $a_1^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+$ , we have that  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  (using the Integer Root Theorem) which implies,  $5c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also, as  $a_1^{(0)} \ge 0$  we have c < 10.
- Now we solve for integral  $a_1^{(0)}$  in (13),  $\forall 1 \leq N < 50$ .
- m, say m = 2000 and impose positivity and integrality of the Fourier coefficients  $a_{m}^{(0)}$ s.
- Then we check for solutions obeying the stability constraint.
- Like solutions.

Next we compute  $a_m^{(0)}$ s by using the recursive relation (10) upto very high orders of

• For (2,0) MLDE, going up to m = 4 is sufficient enough to rule out non-character-

(2,0) MLDE: Solution						
S. NO	N	С	$a_{1}^{(0)}$	h	RC	
1	2	2/5	1	1/5	M	
2	5	1	3	1/4		
3	10	2	8	1/3	(Â	
4	14	14/5	14	2/5	(	
5	20	4	28	1/2	(Í	
6	26	26/5	52	3/5	(Ĵ	
7	30	6	78	2/3	(Í	
8	35	7	133	3/4	Í (Í	
9	38	38/5	190	4/5	F	
10	40	S	248	5/6		



# ((5,2)) $(\hat{A}_{1})_{1}$ $(\hat{A}_{2})_{1}$ $(\hat{G}_{2})_{1}$ $(\hat{D}_{4})_{1}$

 $(\mathbf{F_4})_1$  $(\hat{\mathbf{E_6}})_1$ 

 $(E_{7})_{1}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}7\frac{1}{2}$  $(E_{8})_{1}$ 

The 9<sup>th</sup> entry in the table fails to satisfy fusion rules and hence is not a RCFT (but is of considerable interest to mathematicians).

The 10<sup>th</sup> entry is actually a 1-character RCFT which appears as a solution to (2,0) MLDE.



# (3,0) MLDE

Next we move onto solving the (3,0) MLDE,

The corresponding indicial (m = 0) equation for (14) is,

#### • The (m = 2) equation is (with $N := -1680 \alpha_0$ ),

 $N^{4} + ((a_{1}^{(0)})^{2} + 93 a_{1}^{(0)} - 2 a_{2}^{(0)} + 955)N^{3}$ + $(-2380 (a_1^{(0)})^2 + 28770 a_1^{(0)} + 7700 a_2^{(0)} + 167160)N^2$  $+(1372000(a_1^{(0)})^2+10760400a_1^{(0)}-7330400a_2^{(0)}-9800a_1^{(0)}a_2^{(0)})N$  $+13720000 a_1^{(0)} a_2^{(0)} - 329280000 (a_1^{(0)})^2 = 0$ 

Also, (m = 1) equation  $\Rightarrow \mu_{1,0} = f(N, a_1^{(0)})$  and  $\mu_{0,1} = g(N, a_1^{(0)})$ .

 $\mathcal{D}^{3}\chi_{i} + \mu_{1,0}E_{4}\mathcal{D}\chi_{i} + \mu_{0,1}E_{6}\chi_{i} = 0$  $\alpha^{3} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2} + \left(\frac{\mu_{1,0}}{144} + \frac{1}{18}\right)\alpha + \frac{\mu_{0,1}}{1728} = 0$ 



## (3,0) MLDE could.

 $\circ$  Next substituting the expressions of  $\mu_{1,0}$  and  $\mu_{0,1}$  in (15) results in,

 $(1680\alpha + N)[(8749440N - 19756800a_1^{(0)})\alpha^2]$  $+(-5208N^{2}+11760a_{1}^{(0)}N-4374720N+9878400a_{1}^{(0)})\alpha$  $+N^{3} + 14a_{1}^{(0)}N^{2} + 924N^{2} - 29400a_{1}^{(0)}N + 141120N + 9878400a_{1}^{(0)}] = 0$ 

 $\circ$  The roots of the quadratic equation determine  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  in terms of N and  $a_1^{(0)}$ .

 $3457440000 (a_1^{(0)})^2 - 1657376000 a_1^{(0)}N - 8232000 (a_1^{(0)})^2N + 55899200 N^2 + 3528000 a_1^{(0)}N^2 + 4900 (a_1^{(0)})^2N^2$  $+52080 N^3 - 2100 a_1^{(0)} N^3 - 31 N^4 = k^2$ 

...(17)

@ For rational roots: discriminant - square of a rational number but since the discriminant above  $\in \mathbb{Z}$ , it needs to be a perfect square (say  $k^2$ ) to be able to result in rational roots.



(3,0) MLDE: Results								
S. No	N	$a_{1}^{(0)}$	С	$h_1$	$h_2$			
0	35	٥	1/2	1/16	1/2			
1	840	318	12	1/3	5/3			
2	1400	80	20	4/3	5/3			
3	1400	728	20	1/3	8/3			
4	1400	890	20	2/3	7/3			
5	1960	1948	28	2/3	10/3			
6	2520	3384	36	2/3	13/3			

a Now we look for integral solutions for  $(a_1^{(0)}, a_2^{(0)}, k)$  by solving (16) and (18) simultaneously with  $0 < N \leq 3920$  (that is for  $0 < c \leq 56$  ).

Next we impose all the relevant constraints. This allowed us to classify all (3,0) RCFTs. We also did a classification of (3,2) RCFTs.

Finally, we tabulate 6 novel <u>admissible</u> character-like solutions (0<sup>th</sup> blue row is Critical Ising model)



# Wronskian Indices of some known RCFTs I

•  $l[\mathcal{M}(p,p')] = 0$ ,  $l[\hat{A}_1;k] = 0$  and  $l[\hat{E}_8;1] = 2$ 

 $l[\hat{A}_2; k] = 
 \begin{cases}
 \frac{k(k^2 - 4)(3k + 4)}{96}, & \text{for even } k \\
 \frac{(k^2 - 1)(k + 3)(3k - 5)}{96} & \text{for odd } k
 \end{cases}$ 

 $l[\hat{C}_2;k] = \frac{(k-1)k(k+1)(k+2)}{8}$ 

$$l[\hat{\mathbf{G}}_{2};k] = \begin{cases} \frac{k(k^{2}-4)(3k+4)}{96}, & \text{for even} \\ \frac{(k^{2}-1)(k+3)(3k-5)}{96} & \text{for o} \end{cases}$$

...(19)

...(20)

...(21)

ddk



## Wronskian Indices of some known RCFTs II

MLDE solutions: both  $(\hat{A}_2)_1$  and  $(\hat{G}_2)_1$  are present.

Loo.

@ We also find that every WZW CFT at level one with two or more characters has vanishing Wronskian index.

 $\circ$  we observe a remarkable coincidence between the (n,l) values for the  $(\hat{A}_2)_k$  and  $(\hat{G}_2)_k$ CFTs: for every level, their (n, l) values match. This means they are solutions to the same MLDE (for different sets of parameters in the MLDE). A special case of this is in (2,0)

• We find another remarkable coincidence between the (n, l) values for the  $(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_r)_k$  and  $(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_r)_k$ CFTs, but for  $r \ge 5$ . We have checked the matching of the n values  $\forall r$  analytically and the matching of the l values up to r = 6. We conjecture that the l values should match  $\forall r$ 



#### Related works

There have been many works in this direction since original MMS (1988). Let me put a few references which are super close to our work:

@ Sunil Mukhi and collaborators,

@arXiv:1510.04478 - 9 novel (2,2) RCFTs

@arXiv:1810.09472 - Quasi-character approach and 3 novel (2,4) admissible character-like solutions @ arXiv:2002.01949 - Quasi-character approach to (3, l > 0) MLDEs

@ Justin Kaidi and collaborators,

<sup>⊘</sup> arXiv:2107.13557 -  $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  representation theoretic approach to studying (n, l) MLDEs with  $n \leq 5$  and  $l \leq 5$ . This work came out in parallel to our work and has an overlap with our (3,0) results.

@ Jin-Beom Bae and collaborators,

@arXiv:2010.12392 - MMS approach to Fermionic (2,0) RCFTs

@arXiv:2108.01647 - Study of Fermionic (3,0) RCFTs. This work too came out in parallel to our work and has an overlap with our (3,0) results.



