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Theory $\longleftarrow|\mathcal{A}|^{2} \longrightarrow$ Experiment
Scattering amplitudes cannot be calculated exactly $\rightarrow$ need for high accuracy loop corrections.

$$
\mathrm{d} \sigma=\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}+\underbrace{\alpha_{s} \mathrm{~d} \sigma^{\mathrm{NLO}}}_{10-30 \%}+\underbrace{\alpha_{s}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma^{\mathrm{NNLO}}}_{1-10 \%}+\ldots
$$

## Typical workflow

Calculating loop corrections to scattering amplitudes has several typical steps:
(1) Draw all relevant Feynman diagrams
(2) Write down the integrand
(3) Reduce the amplitude onto a set of master integrals
(4) Evaluate the result at a chosen phase-space point


- Complexity increases with loop order and multiplicity.
- Current QCD frontier: $2 \rightarrow 3$ scattering at NNLO.

- Massless case: results for all relevant Feynman integrals available.
- One external mass: results for all planar + some non-planar integrals now available.
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[^0]- $p p \rightarrow W / H+b \bar{b}$ at 2 L (leading colour, massless $b$ quarks)

[Badger, Hartanto, Zoia, Feb '21]

[Badger, Hartanto, Kryś, Zoia, July '21]
- $W\left(\rightarrow \ell \overline{\ell^{\prime}}\right)+4$-partons at 2 L (leading colour, massless quarks) [Abreu, Febres Cordero, Ita, Klinkert, Page, Sotnikov, Oct '21]


## Finite fields

- To avoid analytic complexity in intermediate steps, use numerical evaluations over finite fields
- We work with rational numbers modulo a large prime number:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q=\frac{a}{b} \longrightarrow q \bmod p & \equiv\left(a \times\left(b^{-1} \bmod p\right)\right) \bmod p \\
\frac{3}{7} & \equiv 2 \bmod 11
\end{aligned}
$$

- One can reconstruct the analytic result from its many numerical evaluations
- FiniteFlow [Peraro, '19]
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- Three channels are relevant:
- $0 \rightarrow \bar{b}\left(p_{1}\right)+b\left(p_{2}\right)+g\left(p_{3}\right)+g\left(p_{4}\right)+H\left(p_{5}\right)$
- $0 \rightarrow \bar{b}\left(p_{1}\right)+b\left(p_{2}\right)+\bar{q}\left(p_{3}\right)+q\left(p_{4}\right)+H\left(p_{5}\right)$
- $0 \rightarrow \bar{b}\left(p_{1}\right)+b\left(p_{2}\right)+\bar{b}\left(p_{3}\right)+b\left(p_{4}\right)+H\left(p_{5}\right)$
- After colour-decomposition, amplitude can be written as:

$$
A=\sum_{T \in \text { topologies }} \int \mathrm{d}^{d} k_{1} \mathrm{~d}^{d} k_{2} \frac{\sum_{i} c_{i}(\{p\}) \operatorname{mon}_{i}(\{k, p\})}{\prod_{j \in T} D_{j}(\{k, p\})}
$$

- Coefficients $c_{i}(p(x))$ are given a rational parametrisation using momentum twistors x
(Begin finite field sampling)
- The amplitude is mapped onto scalar integrals within 15 maximal topologies
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- The amplitude is mapped onto scalar integrals within 15 maximal topologies
- Scalar integrals are IBP-reduced onto a master integral basis [Laporta, '01], [Lee, '13]

$$
A=\sum_{i} d_{i}(\epsilon, p(x)) \times M I_{i}(\epsilon, p)
$$

- We work with MIs that satisfy:

$$
\mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{M I}=\epsilon\left(\sum_{i=1}^{58} a_{i} \times \mathrm{d} \log w_{i}\right) \vec{M} I
$$

[Henn, '13], [Abreu et al., '20]

- Subtract the poles to get the finite remainder:

$$
F^{(L)}=\sum_{i} r_{i}(p(x)) m_{i}(f)
$$

where $m_{i}(f)$ are monomials formed from elements of the finite remainder function basis

- Reconstruct the coefficients, now free of $\epsilon$


## (End finite field sampling)

## Evaluating special functions

- The finite remainder function basis is written in terms of Chen's iterated integrals [Chen, '77]
- The iterated integrals expose cancellations in finite remainders
- They satisfy differential equations as well, order-by-order up to $\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{4}\right)$
- Solve them numerically in DiffExp using the method of generalised series expansions [Moriello, '19], [Hidding, '20]


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
p_{1}=\frac{y_{1} \sqrt{s}}{2}(1,1,0,0) & p_{2}=\frac{y_{2} \sqrt{s}}{2}(1, \cos \theta,-\sin \theta \sin \phi,-\sin \theta \cos \phi) \\
p_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(-1,0,0,-1) & p_{4}=\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(-1,0,0,1)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Conclusion

- Calculated two-loop QCD amplitudes for $p p \rightarrow b \bar{b} H$
- Developed a Mathematica + FORM + FiniteFlow routine that can be adapted to other processes as needed
- Implemented several tools to overcome the complexity
- Integrals for non-planar topologies needed for $p p \rightarrow H+2 j$ and for $p p \rightarrow V+2 j$ beyond leading colour
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- Coefficients $r_{i}$ are not independent
- Find relations between them and choose the independent ones based on the lowest polynomial degree
(2) Factor matching:
- Aid the reconstruction by providing an ansatz of factors related to the letters

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\{\langle i j\rangle,[i j],\langle i| p_{5} \mid j\right], s_{i j}, s_{i j}-s_{k l}, s_{i 5}-p_{5}^{2}, p_{5}^{2}, \operatorname{tr}_{5}, \Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \\
\left.s_{15}\left(s_{13}+s_{34}\right)-p_{5}^{2} s_{34}, s_{25}\left(s_{24}+s_{34}\right)-p_{5}^{2} s_{34}\right\}
\end{array}
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- All denominator factors guessed + some of the numerator
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- All denominator factors guessed + some of the numerator
(3) Univariate partial fractioning
- Having guessed the denominator, construct a partial-fractioned ansatz


## Details of reconstruction

| $\bar{b} b g g H$ | helicity <br> configurations | $r_{i}(x)$ | independent $r_{i}(x)$ | partial <br> fraction in $x_{5}$ | points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F^{(2), 1}$ | ++++ | $63 / 57$ | $52 / 46$ | $20 / 6$ | 3361 |
|  | +++- | $135 / 134$ | $119 / 120$ | $28 / 22$ | 24901 |
|  | ++-- | $105 / 111$ | $105 / 111$ | $22 / 12$ | 4797 |
| $F^{(2), n_{f}}$ | ++++ | $45 / 41$ | $45 / 41$ | $16 / 6$ | 1381 |
|  | +++- | $94 / 95$ | $94 / 95$ | $17 / 6$ | 1853 |
|  | ++-- | $89 / 95$ | $62 / 69$ | $18 / 3$ | 2492 |
| $F^{(2), n_{f}^{2}}$ | ++++ | $12 / 8$ | $9 / 7$ | $0 / 0$ | 3 |
|  | +++- | $11 / 16$ | $11 / 16$ | $3 / 0$ | 22 |
|  | ++-- | $12 / 20$ | $8 / 16$ | $8 / 0$ | 242 |

Maximum numerator/denominator polynomial degrees and the sample points needed for the reconstruction of the finite remainder coefficients.

$$
F^{(L)}=\sum_{i} r_{i}(p(x)) m_{i}(f)
$$

Defined as:

$$
\left[w_{i_{1}}, \ldots, w_{i_{n}}\right]_{s_{0}}(s)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d} \log w_{i_{n}}(\gamma(t))}{\mathrm{d} t}\left[w_{i_{1}}, \ldots, w_{i_{n-1}}\right]_{s_{0}}(\gamma(t)), \quad[]_{s_{0}}:=1
$$

The number of integration kernels $w_{i}$ is known as transcendental weight.
They have several advantages:
(1) Automatically implement functional relations
(2) Singularities or branch points only where one of the letters vanishes or diverges
(3) Simplify the finite remainder function basis through analytic cancellations


## Our workflow


finite fields



[^0]:    (one penta-box, MPLs)
    (DEs+numerical sols) (MPLs)
    (1L pentagon, MPLs)
    (one hexa-box, MPLs)
    (hexa-box, DEs+numerical sols)

