Workshop on High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC (HP2 2022) Newcastle - 21<sup>st</sup> September 2022

## WZ production at NNLO QCD and NLO EW matched to parton showers with MiNNLO<sub>PS</sub>

## **Silvia Zanoli** Max-Planck-Institut für Physik

[2208.12660] in collaboration with J. Lindert, D. Lombardi, M. Wiesemann and G. Zanderighi





- No clear hints of new physics at the LHC so far —> precision physics is a promising path for the observation of effects beyond the Standard Model.
- NNLO computations are crucial for an accurate description of data.







[ATLAS EPJC 79 (2019) 535]







- No clear hints of new physics at the LHC so far —> precision physics is a promising path for the observation of effects beyond the Standard Model.
- NNLO computations are crucial for an accurate description of data.
- The matching of a fixed-order calculation with parton showers is needed for a realistic description of an event at a collider.















- No clear hints of new physics at the LHC so far —> precision physics is a promising path for the observation of effects beyond the Standard Model.
- NNLO computations are crucial for an accurate description of data.
- The matching of a fixed-order calculation with parton showers is needed for a realistic description of an event at a collider.



**High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle** 













- No clear hints of new physics at the LHC so far —> precision physics is a promising path for the observation of effects beyond the Standard Model.
- NNLO computations are crucial for an accurate description of data.
- The matching of a fixed-order calculation with parton showers is needed for a realistic description of an event at a collider.

## WZ PRODUCTION : why?

- The production of a pair of vector bosons is highly relevant, as it provides access to trilinear gauge couplings and to the gauge symmetry structure of the EW sector.
- WZ production is particularly interesting both for the large cross section and the clean experimental signature (we consider the purely leptonic decay with one neutrino).



























## Introduction: WZ production

### **CURRENT STATE OF THE ART:**

- NLO EW calculation
- **MNLO** QCD calculation NLO QCD + NLO EW matched to Parton Showers NNLO QCD + NLO EW combination [Grazzini, Kallweit, Lindert, Pozzorini, Wiesemann (2020)]



- [Bierweiler, Kasprzik, Kühn (2013), Baglio, Ninh, Weber (2013)] [Biedermann, Denner, Hofer (2017)]
  - [Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathlev, Wiesemann (2016), (2017)]
    - [Chiesa, Oleari, Re (2020)]





## Introduction: WZ production

### **CURRENT STATE OF THE ART:**



**MNLO** QCD calculation [Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathlev, Wiesemann (2016), (2017)] NLO QCD + NLO EW matched to Parton Showers NNLO QCD + NLO EW combination [Grazzini, Kallweit, Lindert, Pozzorini, Wiesemann (2020)]

### **THIS TALK:**

NNLO+PS (QCD) calculation using MiNNLO<sub>PS</sub> Combination of NNLO+PS (QCD) with NLO+PS (EW) computations



[Bierweiler, Kasprzik, Kühn (2013), Baglio, Ninh, Weber (2013)] [Biedermann, Denner, Hofer (2017)]

[Chiesa, Oleari, Re (2020)]







### **P**(

$$d\sigma^{POW} = \bar{B}(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_n, \Lambda) + \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$+ \int d\Phi_r \left[ R(\Phi_{n+1}) - C(\Phi_{n+1}) \right] \qquad \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) = exp \left\{ -\int d\Phi'_r \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi'_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} \Theta(p'_T - p_T) \right\}$$
[Nason (2)]

$$d\sigma^{POW} = \bar{B}(\Phi_n) d\Phi_n \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_n, \Lambda) + \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} d\Phi_r \right\}$$

$$\bar{B}(\Phi_n) = B(\Phi_n) + V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r \left[ R(\Phi_{n+1}) - C(\Phi_{n+1}) \right] \qquad \Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) = exp \left\{ -\int d\Phi_r' \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r')}{B(\Phi_n)} \Theta(p_T' - p_T) \right\}$$

$$\Delta(\Phi_n, p_T) = exp \left\{ -\int d\Phi_r' \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r')}{B(\Phi_n)} \Theta(p_T' - p_T) \right\}$$

### MiNLO':

$$\bar{B}(\Phi_n) = e^{-\tilde{S}(p_T)} \left( B(\Phi_n)(1 + \alpha_s(p_T)[\tilde{S}]^{(1)}) + V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_r \left[ R(\Phi_{n+1}) - C(\Phi_{n+1}) \right] \right)$$

$$\tilde{S}(p_T) = \int_{p_T^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \left[ A(\alpha_s(q^2)) \log \frac{Q^2}{q^2} + B(\alpha_s(q^2)) \right] \qquad A = \sum_{k=1}^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \right)^k A^{(k)}, \quad B = \sum_{k=1}^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \right)^k B^{(k)}$$
inite result for F+J production when  
he jet is unresolved.  
rescription for the choice of the scales FJ@MiNLO' NLO NLO LO

- Finite the **je**
- Presc lacksquare $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_F (\mu_R = \mu_F \sim p_T)$ .



[Hamilton, Nason, Oleari, Zanderighi (2012)]











### **MiNNLO**<sub>PS</sub>:

F (inclusiv

#### **NNLO** FJ@MiNNLOPS

**High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle** 





### [Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi (2019)]

ve)	F+J (inclusive)	F+JJ (inclusive)
	NLO	LO



**80** 

### **MiNNLO**<sub>PS</sub>:

F (inclusiv

### NNLO FJ@MiNNLOPS

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{F}dp_{T}} = \frac{d}{dp_{T}} \left\{ e^{-\tilde{S}(p_{T})} \mathscr{L}(p_{T}) \right\} + R_{f}(p_{T}) = e^{-\tilde{S}(p_{T})} \left[ D(p_{T}) + \frac{R_{f}(p_{T})}{e^{-\tilde{S}(p_{T})}} \right] = \dots = \int \frac{d\sigma_{FJ}}{d\Phi_{FJ}dp_{T}} \left[ \tilde{S}^{(1)} \right] \left[ \tilde{S}^{(1)} \right] + \left( \frac{\alpha_{s}(p_{T})}{2\pi} \right)^{2} \left[ \frac{d\sigma_{FJ}}{d\Phi_{FJ}dp_{T}} \right]^{(2)} + D(p_{T}) - D(p_{T})^{(1)} - D(p_{T})^{(2)} + D(p_{T})^{(1)} - D(p_$$





[Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi (2019)]

ve)	F+J (inclusive)	F+JJ (inclusive)
	NLO	LO







### **MiNNLO**<sub>PS</sub>:

F (inclusiv

**NNLO** 

### FJ@MiNNLOPS

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{F}dp_{T}} &= \frac{d}{dp_{T}} \left\{ e^{-\tilde{S}(p_{T})} \mathscr{L}(p_{T}) \right\} + R_{f}(p_{T}) = e^{-\tilde{S}(p_{T})} \left[ D(p_{T}) + \frac{R_{f}(p_{T})}{e^{-\tilde{S}(p_{T})}} \right] = \dots = \\ &\int \frac{dp_{T}}{d\Phi_{F} dp_{T}} \frac{d\sigma_{FJ}}{d\Phi_{FJ} dp_{T}} \left[ \frac{d\sigma_{FJ}}{d\Phi_{FJ} dp_{T}} \right]^{(1)} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}(p_{T})}{2\pi} [\tilde{S}]^{(1)} \right) + \left( \frac{\alpha_{s}(p_{T})}{2\pi} \right)^{2} \left[ \frac{d\sigma_{FJ}}{d\Phi_{FJ} dp_{T}} \right]^{(2)} + D(p_{T}) - D(p_{T})^{(1)} - D(p_{T})^{(2)} + D(p_{T})^{(2)} + D(p_{T})^{(1)} - D(p_{T})^{(2)} + D(p_{T})$$

### **MiNLO'**

**High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle** 





[Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi (2019)]

ve)	F+J (inclusive)	F+JJ (inclusive)
	NLO	LO

### **MINNLO**<sub>PS</sub>







## WZ production

 $pp \rightarrow l$ 

### NNLO+PS (QCD) calculation using MiNNLO<sub>PS</sub> ( $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4 \alpha_s^2)$ )

- No loop-induced gluon-fusion contributions.
- Important NNLO corrections (10-15%), due to radiation zero effect at LO (= vanishing of the leading helicity amplitudes in some kinematic regions).



$${}^{'\pm}\nu_{l'}l^+l^- + X$$









## WZ production

 $pp \rightarrow l$ 

### NNLO+PS (QCD) calculation using MiNNLO<sub>PS</sub> ( $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4 \alpha_s^2)$ )

- No loop-induced gluon-fusion contributions.
- Important NNLO corrections (10-15%), due to radiation zero effect at LO (= vanishing of the leading helicity amplitudes in some kinematic regions).

### NLO+PS (EW) calculation using POWHEG ( $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^5)$ )

- Real radiation corresponds to photon radiation.
- No photon-photon contribution at this order.
- Photon-quark contributions are not considered (formally, they are  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^6 L)$



$${}^{'\pm}\nu_{l'}l^+l^- + X$$







## **NNLO<sub>QCD</sub>+PS and NLO<sub>EW</sub>+PS combinations**

### **ADDITIVE vs MULTIPLICATIVE SCHEMES**

## NNLO<sub>QCD</sub> + NLO<sub>EW</sub> – LO $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4), \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4 \alpha_s), \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4 \alpha_s^2), \mathcal{O}(\alpha^5)$

- topologies, with a soft second vector boson).
- The average of the two schemes can give a pragmatic estimate in these regions.

# $NNLO_{QCD} \times NLO_{EW}/LO$ $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^4), \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4 \alpha_s), \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4 \alpha_s^2), \mathcal{O}(\alpha^5), \mathcal{O}(\alpha^5 \alpha_s), \mathcal{O}(\alpha^5 \alpha_s^2)$

• The multiplicative scheme is preferable in the high energy limit, where EW Sudakov-logs are dominant and dominant QCD effects arise at scales below the hard scale. —> QCD factorizes.

• This assumption is violated when giant K-factors are present (= hard vector-boson+jet





## **NNLO<sub>QCD</sub>+PS and NLO<sub>EW</sub>+PS combinations**

### **QCD vs QED SHOWERS**

1. The **formal accuracy** of the calculation **must not be spoilt**. 2. We must **avoid double counting**.

We let the QCD and/or QED showers radiate in whole the phase space and then we apply the following veto procedure:

- QED shower is unconstrained.
- NLO<sub>EW</sub>+PS :
- QCD shower is unconstrained.

NNLO<sub>OCD</sub>+PS: • QCD shower is restricted by the transverse momentum of the hardest QCD emission generated at Les Houches level (as commonly done in POWHEG).

> • QED shower is restricted by the transverse momentum of the hardest QED emission generated at Les Houches level. We use the multiple-radiation scheme in POWHEG, which allows us to define three different starting scales for the shower in the three different singular regions (ISR, FSR form W decay, FSR from Z decay).

> > Silvia Zanoli



14







## **NNLO<sub>QCD</sub>+PS and NLO<sub>EW</sub>+PS combinations**

- 1.  $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}} + NLO_{EW}^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}} LO^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}} = NNLO_{QCD+EW}^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}}$ **ADDITIVE:** 
  - 2.  $NNLO_{OCD}^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}} + NLO_{EW}^{(QED)_{PS}} LO^{(QED)_{PS}}$
  - 3.  $NNLO_{OCD}^{(QCD)_{PS}} + NLO_{EW}^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}} LO^{(QCD)_{PS}}$

### **MULTIPLICATIVE:**

- 5.  $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}} \times NLO_{EW}^{(QED)_{PS}}/LO^{(QED)_{PS}}$
- 6.  $NLO_{EW}^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}} \times NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD)_{PS}}/LO^{(QCD)_{PS}}$

7.  $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD)_{PS}} \times NLO_{EW}^{f.o.}/LO^{f.o.}$ 

#### Silvia Zanoli

- 4.  $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}} \times NLO_{EW}^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}}/LO^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}} = NNLO_{OCD \times EW}^{(QCD, QED)_{PS}}$





X = QCD,EW calculation Y = QCD,QED showers (PY8)



15

### [2208.12660]

**Rapidity of** the Z boson - inclusive setup



#### **High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle**





**LEGEND:** NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD+QED NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD×QED NNLO<sup>(QCD)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD  $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD)_{PS}} \times$ 







### [2208.12660]

**Rapidity of** the Z boson - inclusive setup

- Almost no shape effect
- EW corrections are 2-3%
- Additive and multiplicative schemes are almost identical
- Fixed-order K-factor is in excellent agreement —> effects of secondary photon emission are negligible for this observable







**LEGEND:** NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD+QED NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD×QED NNLO<sup>(QCD)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD  $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD)_{PS}} \times K_{EW}^{f.o.}$ 











**LEGEND:** NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD+QED NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD×QED NNLO<sup>(QCD)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD  $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD)_{PS}} \times K_{EW}^{f.o.}$ 











**LEGEND:** NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD+QED NNLO<sup>(QCD,QED)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD×QED NNLO<sup>(QCD)</sup><sub>PS</sub> QCD  $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD)_{PS}} \times K_{EW}^{f.o.}$ 











LEGEND: $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD,QED)_{PS}}$  $NNLO_{QCD+QED}^{(QCD,QED)_{PS}}$  $NNLO_{QCD\timesQED}^{(QCD,QED)_{PS}}$  $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD)_{PS}}$  $NNLO_{QCD}^{(QCD)_{PS}} \times K_{EW}^{f.o.}$ 







### [2208.12660]





#### **High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle**

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR PHYSICS













#### **High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle**

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR PHYSICS











### [2208.12660]





#### **High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle**

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR PHYSICS











### [2208.12660]



In the inclusive case, Sudakov-logs are suppressed because not all the Mandelstam invariants are large in the very forward regime. These regions are removed when applying fiducial cuts.



**High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle** 

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR PHYSICS











## **Comparison against data**

### [2208.12660]



**High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle** 





### ATLAS data from Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019)











## **Conclusions and Outlooks**

- **NNLO+PS** predictions are strongly needed for a realistic description of LHC events.
- The **MiNNLO<sub>PS</sub> method** is a powerful tool for reaching this accuracy.
- In the context of precision physics, the inclusion of NLO EW corrections on top of the NNLO calculations is particularly important.
- I showed and discuss results for WZ production at NNLO (QCD) and NLO (EW) accuracy matched to parton shower for 13TeV LHC collisions.
- The next step is the implementation of the combined generation of NNLO QCD and NLO EW accurate events, rather than an a posteriori recombination.



### Thank you for your attention!









## **Conclusions and Outlooks**



**High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle** 





## Validation QCD





### [2208.12660]





## Validation EW



#### **High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle**



### [2208.12660]







## **Comparison against data**

### [2208.12660]



**High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle** 





### ATLAS data from Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019)





## **Comparison against data**

### [2208.12660]



**High Precision for Hard Processes at the LHC - Newcastle** 





### ATLAS data from Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019)



