#### NLO Renormalization of a Standard Model Extension with a Dark Abelian Sector

Jonas Rehberg

September 21, 2022

in collaboration with Stefan Dittmaier and Heidi Rzehak

Gefördert durch



#### Motivation

- The Dark Abelian Sector Model (DASM)
  - Yang-Mills part
  - Higgs part
- Renormalization schemes for the DASM
   Renormalization of mixing angles
- The W-boson mass in the DASM

#### Summary

# Why extend the SM?

- SM cannot explain:
  - matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe
  - dark matter
- top-down approach: more complete theories (SUSY, GUTs)
  - introduce plenty of new parameters and particles
  - often include extensions of the Higgs and gauge sector
- generic approach: analyze influence of generic building blocks
  - Higgs and gauge sector extensions
  - introduction of hidden sectors
    - $\rightarrow$  hint towards more complete models
- need for highest possible precision in predictions
  - inclusion of higher-order corrections
  - sophisticated renormalization scheme

## The Dark Abelian Sector Model (DASM)

- DASM introduces generic structures of a possible hidden sector [Schabinger, Wells, 2005],[Gopalakrishna, Jung, Wells, 2008]
- hidden sector:
  - not charged under SM gauge group
  - SM particles are not charged under possible gauge structures of the hidden sector
- relevant SM operators as potential portals to a hidden sector
  - field-strength tensor of  $U(1)_{Y}$
  - SM Higgs mass operator  $\Phi(x)^{\dagger}\Phi(x)$
  - $\blacksquare$  possible right-handed neutrino fields  $\nu_{\rm R}$

## Lagrangian of the DASM

- no modifications in QCD part
- EW part can be split up

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{DASM}}^{\mathsf{EW}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{YM}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Fix}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{FP}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Higgs}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Fermion}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Yukawa}}$ 

Renormalization

Outlook 0

## Yang-Mills part

gauge group of DASM given by:

 $U(1)_{\rm Y} \times SU(2)_{\rm W} \times SU(3)_{\rm C} \times U(1)_{\rm d}$ 

Yang-Mills part in DASM modified

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM,SM}} - rac{1}{4} \mathcal{C}_{\mu
u} \mathcal{C}^{\mu
u} - rac{a}{2} \mathcal{B}_{\mu
u} \mathcal{C}^{\mu
u}$$

kinetic mixing of U(1) gauge groups ruled by parameter a
field redefinition to diagonalize kinetic terms

$$\{B_{\mu}, C_{\mu}\} \rightarrow \{B'_{\mu}, C'_{\mu}\}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{YM} = \dots - \frac{1}{4}C'_{\mu\nu}C'^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}B'_{\mu\nu}B'^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{Fix} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{FP} \text{ modified as well}$$

otivation DASM Renormalization Outlook

# Higgs part

• extension of the Higgs sector by complex, scalar Higgs field  $\rho$ , with non-vanishing vev  $v_1$ 

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (h_2 + v_2 + i\chi_2) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (h_1 + v_1 + i\chi_1)$$

- $h_2$ ,  $h_1$  neutral CP-even fields,  $\chi_1$ ,  $\chi_2$  neutral CP-odd fields,  $\phi^+$  charged field,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  constants
- $\rho$  is singlet under SM gauge group, charged under  $U(1)_d$  $\rightarrow U(1)_d$  spontaneously broken
- Higgs part of the DASM is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Higgs}} = \left( D_{\mu} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} \left( D^{\mu} \Phi \right) + \left( D_{\mathsf{c},\mu} \rho \right)^{\dagger} \left( D_{\mathsf{c}}^{\mu} \rho \right) - \mathsf{V}(\Phi,\rho)$$

Renormalization

# Higgs potential

most general gauge-invariant Higgs potential

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{V}(\Phi,\rho) &= -\mu_2^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - 2\mu_1^2 \rho^{\dagger} \rho + \frac{\lambda_2}{4} \left( \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right)^2 + 4\lambda_1 \left( \rho^{\dagger} \rho \right)^2 \\ &+ 2\lambda_{12} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \rho^{\dagger} \rho \end{split}$$

- 3 additional parameters:  $\lambda_1, \mu_1^2, \lambda_{12}$
- $\lambda_{12}$  rules the mixing of the two Higgs fields
- leads to non-diagonal mass matrix for Higgs fields  $\{h_1, h_2\}$
- rotation into fields corresponding to mass eigenstates  $\{h, H\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} h \\ H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_2 \\ h_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

### Physical gauge bosons

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{V}}} = rac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{B}'_{\mu}, \mathcal{W}^3_{\mu}, \mathcal{C}'_{\mu} 
ight) \mathsf{M}^2_{\mathsf{V}} egin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B}'_{\mu} \ \mathcal{W}^3_{\mu} \ \mathcal{C}'_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} + \mathsf{M}^2_{\mathsf{W}} \mathcal{W}^+ \mathcal{W}^-$$

•  $M_W^2 = \frac{g_2^2}{4}v_2^2$  same parameter dependence as in the SM

fields for mass eigenstates obtained by diagonalization of M<sup>2</sup><sub>V</sub>

$$\begin{pmatrix} B'_{\mu} \\ W^{\mu}_{\mu} \\ C'_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{w} & s_{w}c_{\gamma} & -s_{w}s_{\gamma} \\ -s_{w} & c_{w}c_{\gamma} & -c_{w}s_{\gamma} \\ 0 & s_{\gamma} & c_{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\mu} \\ Z_{\mu} \\ Z'_{\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$s_w = \sin \theta_w = rac{g_1}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}}, \qquad s_\gamma = \sin \gamma, \qquad c_\gamma = \cos \gamma$$

• two massive, neutral bosons Z, Z'

massless photon as in the SM

Renormalization

#### Lagrangian of the DASM

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{DASM}}^{\mathsf{EW}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{YM}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Fix}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{FP}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Higgs}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Fermion}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Yukawa}}$$

- $\mathcal{L}_{YM}$  modified due to additional  $U(1)_d$  gauge group  $\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{Fix}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{FP}$  modified as well
- $\blacksquare$   $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Higgs}}$  modified due to additional Higgs field  $\rho$
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Fermion}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}}$  modified due to presence of  $\nu_{\text{R},i}$  and  $\nu_4$
- appropriate choice of additional input parameters:

 $\{M_{Z'}, M_H, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda_{12}, \dots\}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  sophisticated renormalization scheme needed

#### Renormalization of the DASM

 renormalization transformations for bare parameters (x<sub>0</sub>) and fields (F<sub>0</sub>) at NLO

$$x_0 = x + \delta x,$$
  $F_{i,0} = F_i + \frac{1}{2} \delta Z_F^{ij} F_j$ 

additional off-diagonal renormalization constants (RCs) present, e.g.:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_0' \\ Z_0 \\ A_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{Z'Z'} & \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{Z'Z} & \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{Z'A} \\ \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{ZZ'} & 1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{ZZ} & \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{ZA} \\ \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{AZ'} & \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{AZ} & 1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{AA} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z' \\ Z \\ A \end{pmatrix}$$

 OS renormalization for masses, fields, and electric charge (similar to SM case)
 [S. Dittmaier, 2021]

#### How to renormalize the mixing angles $\gamma, \alpha, \ldots$ ?

#### $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ renormalization scheme:

- $\checkmark$  easy to implement
- ✓ process independent
- $\checkmark\,$  easy way of uncertainty estimation via scale variation
- $\pmb{\mathsf{X}}$  numerically unstable in certain regions of the parameter space:
  - **X** degenerate masses of mixing particles ( $M_{Z'} \approx M_Z$ )
  - **X** exceptional values of respective mixing angles
- **X** tadpole scheme dependent:
  - ✗ large tadpole corrections to RCs in Fleischer-Jegerlehner tadpole scheme (FJTS) [J. Fleischer, F. Jegerlehner, 1981]
  - parameterization of observables is gauge dependent in Parameter-Renormalized Tadpole Scheme (PRTS)
     [M. Böhm, H. Spiesberger, W. Hollik, 1986]
  - Solution: Gauge-Invariant Vacuum expectation value Scheme (GIVS) (gauge independent + small tadpole corrections)
     [S. Dittmaier, H. Rzehak, 2022]

## On Shell (OS) renormalization for mixing angle $\gamma$

perturbatively stable OS renormalization for Singlet/THDM Higgs mixing angles known [A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, J. Lang, 2018]
 → transfer these ideas to gauge (and fermion) sector
 introduce "fake fermion" ω<sub>d</sub> (SM singlet, U(1)<sub>d</sub> charge q̃<sub>ω</sub>)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega_{\mathsf{d}}} = \mathsf{i}\bar{\omega}_{\mathsf{d}} \left[ \partial \!\!\!/ + \mathsf{i}\tilde{\mathsf{e}}\tilde{q}_{\omega} \left( s_{\gamma} \not\!\!\!/ + c_{\gamma} \not\!\!\!/ \right) + \mathsf{i}m_{\omega_{\mathsf{d}}} \right] \omega_{\mathsf{d}}$$

only two new vertices:



• for  $\tilde{q}_{\omega} \rightarrow 0$  fake fermion  $\omega_{d}$  decouples from theory

#### OS renormalization for gauge-sector mixing angle $\gamma$

• two possible decays  $Z/Z' 
ightarrow ar{\omega}_{
m d} \omega_{
m d}$ 

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathsf{Z} o ar{\omega}_{\mathsf{d}} \omega_{\mathsf{d}}} = [ar{u}_{\omega_{\mathsf{d}}} \notin \mathsf{v}_{\omega_{\mathsf{d}}}]_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{Z} ar{\omega}_{\mathsf{d}} \omega_{\mathsf{d}}}, \quad \mathcal{M}^{\mathsf{Z}' o ar{\omega}_{\mathsf{d}} \omega_{\mathsf{d}}} = [ar{u}_{\omega_{\mathsf{d}}} \notin \mathsf{v}_{\omega_{\mathsf{d}}}]_{\mathcal{Z}'} \mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{Z}' ar{\omega}_{\mathsf{d}} \omega_{\mathsf{d}}}$$

 use ratio of OS form factors in decoupling limit for OS renormalization condition

$$\lim_{\tilde{q}_{\omega}\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{NLO}}^{Z\bar{\omega}_{d}\omega_{d}}}{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{NLO}}^{Z'\bar{\omega}_{d}\omega_{d}}} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{LO}}^{Z\bar{\omega}_{d}\omega_{d}}}{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{LO}}^{Z'\bar{\omega}_{d}\omega_{d}}} = \frac{s_{\gamma}}{c_{\gamma}}$$

• process dependence and contributions coming from  $\omega_{\rm d}$  are  $\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{q}_{\omega}^2\right)$  and drop out at NLO

OS renormalization for gauge-sector mixing angle  $\gamma$ 

$$\delta \gamma^{\rm OS} = \frac{1}{2} s_{\gamma} c_{\gamma} \left( \delta Z_{Z'Z'} - \delta Z_{ZZ} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( s_{\gamma}^2 \delta Z_{ZZ'} - c_{\gamma}^2 \delta Z_{Z'Z} \right)$$

- $\checkmark\,$  gauge-independent combination of field RCs
- ✓ numerically stable for:
  - ✓ degenerate masses of mixing particles ( $M_{Z'} \approx M_Z$ )
  - $\checkmark\,$  exceptional values of  $\gamma\,$
- ✓ predictions for observables in OS scheme independent of tadpole treatment
- process independent
- $\pmb{\mathsf{X}}$  higher order uncertainty estimation more difficult
  - $\pmb{\mathsf{X}}$  comparison with different schemes needed
  - ♦ MS result easily obtained via  $\delta \gamma^{MS} = \delta \gamma^{OS}$

Renormalization

#### NLO $M_W$ prediction in the DASM

LO Feynman diagrams for muon decay:



comparison at NLO

$$\rightarrow G_{\mathsf{F}} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathsf{em}}\pi}{\sqrt{2}s_{\mathsf{w}}^2 M_{\mathsf{W}}^2} \left(1 + \Delta r\left(\delta\gamma, \dots\right)\right), \quad s_{\mathsf{w}}^2 = 1 - \frac{M_{\mathsf{W}}^2}{c_{\gamma}^2 M_{\mathsf{Z}}^2 + s_{\gamma}^2 M_{\mathsf{Z}'}^2}$$

appearance of various RCs

 $\rightarrow$  prediction depends on all additional parameters

0

Motivation 0

#### NLO $M_W$ prediction in the DASM



# Summary

- DASM introduces three portals to a possible hidden sector
  - new physical particles H, Z' and  $\nu_4$
  - mixing between SM and BSM particles in scalar, gauge and fermion sector

 $\rightarrow$  various changes of coupling constants

- renormalization of the DASM at NLO
  - electric charge, masses, and fields OS renormalized
  - renormalization of mixing angles:
    - MS: Easy to implement,
      - intrinsic higher-order uncertainty estimation
    - OS: Numerically stable in whole parameter space, independent of tadpole treatment
  - underlying idea can be transferred to various BSM mixing angles

# Backup

## Extending the fermion sector

$$\mathcal{L}_{f'_{d}} = \overline{f}'_{d} \left( i \not{D}_{c} - m_{f'_{d}} \right) f'_{d} - \sum_{k,l=e,\mu,\tau} \left( \overline{L}'^{L}_{k} G'^{\nu}_{kl} \nu'^{R}_{l} \widetilde{\Phi} + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ + \sum_{j=e,\mu,\tau} \left[ \overline{\nu}'_{\mathsf{R},j} i \partial \nu'_{\mathsf{R},j} - \left( y_{\rho,j} \rho \overline{f}'_{\mathsf{d},\mathsf{L}} \nu'_{\mathsf{R},j} + \text{h.c.} \right) \right]$$

- $\blacksquare$  kinetic term and gauge interaction for the new fermion  $\longrightarrow$  couples to Z- and Z'-bosons
- $\blacksquare$  non-diagonal 4  $\times$  4 mass matrix for neutrinos and new fermion
- mass eigenstates are linear combinations of all 4 flavor eigenstates
- $\blacksquare \mbox{ left-handed neutrino fields mix with newly introduced fermion} \\ \to \mbox{ strongly suppressed for large mass of the new fermion}$

new fermion should be heavy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{d}}'} &= \overline{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathsf{d}}' \left( \mathrm{i} \not{D}_{c} - m_{\mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{d}}'} \right) \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{d}}' + \sum_{j=e,\mu,\tau} \overline{\nu}_{\mathsf{R},j}' \mathrm{i} \partial \nu_{\mathsf{R},j}' \\ &- \left( y_{\rho,\tau} \rho \overline{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathsf{d},\mathsf{L}}' \nu_{\mathsf{R},\tau}' + \mathrm{h.c.} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- no mixing of the left-handed fields
- mass eigenstates  $\nu_{1,2,3}$  massless,  $\nu_4$  massive
- only  $\nu_{\rm R,3/4}$  carry  $U(1)_{\rm d}$  charge  $\rightarrow$  influence of fermion sector extension on observables small

#### OS renormalization for Higgs-sector mixing angle $\alpha$

- no useful introduction of "fake field" possible
- use the decays  $h/H \rightarrow \bar{\tau}\tau$

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{h}\to\bar{\tau}\tau} = [\bar{u}_{\tau}v_{\tau}]_{\mathbf{h}}\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{h}\bar{\tau}\tau}, \quad \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{H}\to\bar{\tau}\tau} = [\bar{u}_{\tau}v_{\tau}]_{\mathbf{H}}\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{H}\bar{\tau}\tau}$$

use ratio of OS form factors for OS renormalization condition

$$\lim_{\tilde{q}_{\omega}\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{NLO}}^{h\bar{\tau}\tau}}{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{NLO}}^{H\bar{\tau}\tau}} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{h\bar{\tau}\tau}}{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{H\bar{\tau}\tau}} = \frac{c_{\alpha}}{s_{\alpha}}$$

$$\delta \alpha^{\text{OS}} = \frac{1}{2} c_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} \left( \delta Z_{hh} - \delta Z_{HH} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( s_{\alpha}^{2} \delta Z_{Hh} - c_{\alpha}^{2} \delta Z_{hH} \right) + c_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} \left( \delta_{\text{loop}}^{h\bar{\tau}\tau} - \delta_{\text{loop}}^{H\bar{\tau}\tau} \right)$$

process dependent loop contributions appear

OS mass and field RCs (similar to SM)

$$\delta M_V^2 = \operatorname{Re} \Sigma_{\mathsf{T}}^{V^{\dagger}V}(M_V^2), \qquad \delta Z_{VV'} = -2\operatorname{Re} \frac{\Sigma_{\mathsf{T}}^{V^{\dagger}V'}(M_{V'}^2)}{M_{V'}^2 - M_V^2},$$
$$\delta Z_{V^{\dagger}V} = -\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathsf{T}}^{V^{\dagger}V}(k^2)}{\partial k^2}\Big|_{k^2 = M_V^2}, \qquad \delta M_S^2 = \operatorname{Re} \Sigma^{SS}(M_S^2),$$
$$\delta Z_{SS'} = -2\operatorname{Re} \frac{\Sigma^{S'S}(M_{S'}^2)}{M_{S'}^2 - M_S^2}, \qquad \delta Z_{SS} = -\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial \Sigma^{SS}(k^2)}{\partial k^2}\Big|_{k^2 = M_S^2}$$

1 / 1 1 //

~

## Smooth limits for degenerated masses

- appearance of off-diagonal field RCs can lead to problems for  $M_{\rm Z'} \approx M_{\rm Z}$
- mixing-angle RC enters Lagrangian at two different places
  - 1) introduced via parameter replacements in the original  ${\cal L}$

 $\rightarrow$  always proportional to  $M_{\rm Z}^2-M_{\rm Z^\prime}^2$ 

2) from the field rotation matrix always in the combination

$$-\delta\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{ZZ'}, \qquad \delta\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{Z'Z}$$

•  $\delta \gamma^{\rm OS}$  chosen such that off-diagonal field RCs only appear in combination

$$\delta Z_{Z'Z} + \delta Z_{ZZ'} = 2 \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Sigma_{T}^{ZZ'}(M_{Z}^{2}) - \Sigma_{T}^{Z'Z}(M_{Z'}^{2})}{M_{Z'}^{2} - M_{Z}^{2}}.$$

 $\rightarrow$  non-singular for degenerate masses