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## Introduction

- Phase space integrals for higher-order corrections to cross-sections have infrared divergences that have to be regularized.
- There are many different subtraction schemes for handling IR divergences. We will focus on slicing/non-local subtraction.
- The idea of the slicing method @ $N^{k} L O$ is to define a resolution variable $X$ such that:

1. In the region $X>0$ we have 1 resolved emission, there are only $N^{k-1} L O$-type singularities.
2. The $N^{k} L O$ unresolved limits occur only at $X=0$.

## Slicing method

- For a process with $n$ jets at the Born level we can split the $N^{k} L O$ correction to cross section using the resolution variable:

$$
\int d \sigma_{N^{k} L O}=\int d \sigma_{N^{k} L O} \theta\left(r_{c u t}-X\right)+\int d \sigma_{N^{k-1} L O}^{R} \theta\left(X-r_{c u t}\right)
$$

- We can approximate the integral in the unresolved region by taking the soft and collinear limits:

$$
\int d \sigma_{N^{k} L O} \theta\left(r_{c u t}-X\right)=\int d \sigma_{N^{k} L O}^{s i n g} \theta\left(r_{c u t}-X\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(r_{c u t}^{\ell}\right)=\int \mathscr{H} \otimes d \sigma_{L O}-\int d \sigma_{N^{k} L O}^{C T} \theta\left(X-r_{c u t}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(r_{c u t}^{\ell}\right)
$$

- The $N^{k} L O$ cross-section is then:

$$
\int d \sigma_{N^{k} L O}=\int \mathscr{H} \otimes d \sigma_{L O}+\int\left[d \sigma_{N^{k-1} L O}^{R}-d \sigma_{N^{k} L O}^{C T}\right]_{X>r_{c u t}}+\mathscr{O}\left(r_{c u t}^{\ell}\right)
$$

- The computation is performed using a small but finite value of $r_{c u t}$. This means that the size of power correction affects the performance of our method.


## $q_{T}$ - subtraction (0-jet case)

- $q_{T}$ can be used as resolution variable for processes that do not involve jets at the Born level. [Catani, Grazzini (2007)]
- It can distinguish the transition $0 \rightarrow 1$ jet.
- $q_{T}$ - subtraction has been applied for the production of color-singlet and heavyquark @ NNLO. MATRIX: [Grazzini, Kallweit, Wiesemann (2017)][Catani, Devoto, Grazzini, Kallweit, Mazzitelli (2019, 2020)]
- It has been applied @ $N^{3} L O$ for Drell-Yan [Chen, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss, Yang, Zhu (2021)][Camarda, Cieri, Ferrera (2021)][Chen, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss, Monni, Re, Rottoli, Torrielli (2022)][Neumann, Campbell (2022)] and Higgs [Bilis, Dehnadi, Ebert, Michel, Tackmann (2021)] production.
- Drawback: it cannot regularize final-state collinear singularities, i.e. it cannot distinguish the transition $N \rightarrow N+1$ jets for $N \neq 0$.


## $q_{T}$ - subtraction (0-jet case): power corrections

- Various analytical calculations confirmed quadratic power corrections $\left(r_{c u t}^{2} \log r_{c u t}\right)$ of $q_{T}$ subtraction for colourless final-state.
- However, power corrections may get worse if we apply fiducial cuts or if we consider an emission from massive final-state quarks.
- 2-body fiducial cuts: linear power corrections in $r_{c u t}$. Examples: symmetric cuts on Drell-Yan, Higgs 2-body decay.
- Photon isolation: linear and logarithmic enhanced power corrections: proportional to $r_{c u t}, r_{c u t} \log r_{c u t}$. Examples: vector boson pair production involving photons.
- Massive final-state emitters: linear power corrections in $r_{c u t}$. Example: heavy quarks, NLO EW, mixed QCD-EW to Drell-Yan.


## N -Jet resolution variable

- An $N$-jet resolution variable has to smoothly capture the transition from $N$ to $N+1$ jet configuration.

- The first proposal for such a variable is $N$-Jettiness $\left(\tau_{N}\right)$. [Stewart, Tackmann, Waalewin (2010)]
- It has been successfully applied as resolution variable in hadronic collisions for processes with 1 jet up to NNLO [Boughezal, Focke, Giele, Liu, Petriello (2015)] [Boughezal, Campbell, Ellis, Focke, Giele, Liu, Petriello (2016)].
- N-Jettiness exhibits linear logarithmic enhanced power corrections already @ NLO.


## Exploring jet resolution variables

- We want to explore other jet resolution variables.
- We would like to have linear or even quadratic power corrections.
- We want to investigate why resolution variables have different power correction scaling.
- In this talk we will discuss and compare three jet resolution variables for $e^{+} e^{-}$collisions: $Y_{23}, k_{T}^{\text {ness }}, k_{T}^{F S R}$.


## $Y_{N, N+1}$ resolution variable

## Definition of the variable

- From now on we will focus on $e^{+} e^{-}$collisions.
- Consider the (dimensionless) distance between final-state partons and among final-state partons and the beam normalized to $Q^{2}=\left(p_{a}+p_{b}\right)^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{i j}=2 \min \left(E_{i}^{2}, E_{j}^{2}\right) \frac{\left(1-\cos \theta_{i j}\right)}{Q^{2}} \\
d_{i B}=\frac{p_{i, T}^{2}}{Q^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Run the $k_{T}$ jet-clustering algorithm until $N+1$ proto-jets are left.
- When $N+1$ proto-jets are left, $Y_{N, N+1}$ is the square root of the minimum among all the $d_{i j}$ and the $d_{i B}$ :

$$
Y_{N, N+1}=\sqrt{\min _{i, j}\left\{d_{i j}, d_{i B}\right\}}
$$

- In the collinear limit this variable coincides with the transverse momentum w.r.t. the collinear axis.


## $Y_{2,3}$ - subtraction

## Counterterm and finite piece, $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow q \bar{q} @ N L O$

- The counterterm can be obtained considering the divergent part of the integral over the radiation variables of the real matrix element below the cut in the collinear limit:

$$
\begin{gathered}
8 \pi \alpha_{s} \mu^{2 \epsilon}\left|\mathscr{M}_{B}\right|^{2} \int d \phi_{r a d} \frac{1}{s_{i j}} P_{q q}(z, \epsilon) \theta\left(r_{c u t}-Y_{2,3}\right)=-d \sigma^{C T}+\text { finite term }+\epsilon \text {-poles }+\mathcal{O}\left(r_{c u t}\right) \\
d \sigma^{C T}=d \sigma_{L O} \frac{\alpha_{S}}{\pi} C_{F}\left(2 \log ^{2} r_{\text {cut }}+3 \log r_{c u t}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- To obtain the correct finite piece we have to add the soft wide-angle contribution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
8 \pi \alpha_{S} C_{F}\left|\mathscr{M}_{B}\right|^{2} \int d \phi_{\text {rad }}\left[\left(-\mathrm{T}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right) \omega_{12}-\mathrm{T}_{1}^{2} \omega_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{2}^{2} \omega_{2}\right] \theta\left(r_{\text {cut }}-Y_{23}\right)=\left|\mathscr{M}_{B}\right| C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2 \pi} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \\
\omega_{1}=\frac{p_{1} \cdot p_{2}}{\left(p_{1} \cdot k\right)\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) \cdot k} \quad \omega_{2}=\frac{p_{1} \cdot p_{2}}{\left(p_{2} \cdot k\right)\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) \cdot k} \quad \omega_{12}=\frac{p_{1} \cdot p_{2}}{\left(p_{1} \cdot k\right)\left(p_{2} \cdot k\right)} \quad \omega_{12}=\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Since the soft wide angle contribution does not vanish, we expect a linear scaling.


## $Y_{2,3}$ - subtraction

## Power corrections

- Slicing using $Y_{23}$ variable has linear power correction in $r_{\text {cut }}$. This can be seen by analytically integrating the real matrix element (not approximated) above the cut:

$$
d \sigma_{L O} C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{1-x_{1}}^{1} d x_{2} \frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{\left(1-x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{2}\right)} \theta\left(Y_{23}-r_{c u t}\right) \quad x_{i}=\frac{2 E_{i}}{Q}
$$

- We computed this integral obtaining the complete dependence on $r_{c u t}$ of the power corrections.
- The final result is a large expression involving function of $r_{c u t}$ that contains logarithms up to weight 2 . Power corrections up to $\mathcal{O}\left(r_{\text {cut }}\right)$ are:

$$
d \sigma_{L O} C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{S}}{\pi}\left(\frac{5}{4}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}+3 \log 2+3 \log r_{c u t}+2 \log ^{2} r_{c u t}+(2 \operatorname{arcsinh}(1)-4 \sqrt{2}) r_{c u t}+\mathcal{O}\left(r_{c u t}^{2}\right)\right)
$$

## $Y_{2,3}$ - subtraction

Power corrections

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{1-x_{1}}^{1} d x_{2} \frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{\left(1-x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{2}\right)} \theta\left(Y_{23}-r_{\text {cut }}\right)\right)-3 \log r_{\text {cut }}-2 \log ^{2} r_{\text {cut }}
$$



## Numerical application



## $k_{T}^{\text {ness }}$ resolution variable

- The definition of $k_{T}^{\text {ness }}$ is similar to the one of $Y_{2,3}$ but we use the distance among partons:

$$
d_{i j}=\min \left(k_{i, T}^{2}, k_{j, T}^{2}\right) \frac{\Delta R_{i j}^{2}}{Q^{2}} \quad \Delta R_{i j}^{2}=\Delta \eta_{i j}^{2}+\Delta \phi_{i j}^{2}
$$

- Since $Y_{2,3}$ and $k_{T}^{\text {ness }}$ coincide in the collinear limit, the counterterm is the same as the one for $Y_{2,3}$.
- We have a non-vanishing soft wide-angle contribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int d \phi_{\text {rad }}\left\{\omega_{12}\left[\theta\left(\Delta R_{1 k}^{2}-\Delta R_{2 k}^{2}\right) \theta\left(r_{\text {cut }}^{2}-d_{2 k}\right)+\theta\left(\Delta R_{2 k}^{2}-\Delta R_{1 k}^{2}\right) \theta\left(r_{\text {cut }}^{2}-d_{1 k}\right)\right]-\omega_{1}\left(r_{\text {cut }}^{2}-d_{1 k}^{\|}\right)-\omega_{2}\left(r_{\text {cut }}^{2}-d_{2 k}^{\|}\right)\right]\right\}= \\
& =2 \int d \phi_{\text {rad }}\left\{\omega_{1} \theta\left(\Delta R_{1 k}^{2}-\Delta R_{2 k}^{2}\right)\left[\theta\left(r_{\text {cut }}^{2}-d_{2 k}\right)-\theta\left(r_{\text {cut }}^{2}-d_{1 k}\right)\right]+\omega_{1}\left[\theta\left(r_{\text {cut }}^{2}-d_{1 k}\right)-\theta\left(r_{\text {cut }}^{2}-d_{1 k}^{\|}\right)\right]\right\} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
\text { Finite in } d=4 \\
\text { dimensions }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The soft contribution has been computed numerically as a two-folded integral.


## $k_{T}^{\text {ness }}$ - subtraction



- $k_{T}^{\text {ness }}$-subtraction has been successfully applied to hadronic collisions @NLO [Buonocore, Grazzini, Haag, Rottoil, Savoin (2022) for dijet and trijet processes and has been implemented in the MATRIX framework.
- Power corrections are linear in $r_{c u t}$ for hadronic processes too.


## $k_{T}^{F S R}$ resolution variable

- How to reach a quadratic scaling?
- The idea is to define a variable that has the same properties of $q_{T}$ for colour-singlet production (that scales quadratically).
- It has to coincide with the transverse momentum in the singular limit.
- The soft wide-angle contribution vanishes.
- @ NLO, we consider the reference frame in which $q$ and $\bar{q}$ are back-to-back. $k_{T}^{F S R}$ is defined as the transverse momentum of the emitted gluon in that frame with respect to the $q \bar{q}$ axis.
- This variable is specific for $2 \rightarrow 3$ jet transition.



## $k_{T}^{F S R}$ - subtraction

- The counterterm for $k_{T}^{\text {boost }}$ - subtraction is the same as the one for the other two variables.
- Soft wide-angle contribution vanishes:

$$
2 C_{F} \int d \phi_{\text {rad }} \omega_{1}\left[\theta\left(r_{\text {cut }}^{2}-k_{T}^{F S R}\right)-\theta\left(r_{\text {cut }}^{2}-k_{T}^{F S R,\| \|}\right)\right]=0 \text {, since } k_{T}^{F S R}=k_{T}^{F S R, \|}
$$

We observe quadratic power corrections!


## Comparison among the variables



## Conclusions and outlook

We considered resolution variables that in the collinear limit are: $k_{T}^{a} e^{-b|\eta|}$

| Resolution Variable | Dependence on <br> rapidity | Dependence on kT | Soft wide-angle <br> contribution | Scaling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-Jettiness |  |  |  | Logarithmic en. |
| $Y_{2,3}$ |  |  |  | Linear |
| $k_{T}^{n e s s}$ |  |  |  | Linear |
| $k_{T}^{F S R}$ |  |  |  | Quadratic |

$\begin{aligned} \text { Future Goals: } & \text { - Deeper investigation of scaling properties. } \\ & \text { •Extensions to NNLO. }\end{aligned}$

