Yoran Yeh Department of Physics & Astronomy University College London



# CONTUR: recent updates and ongoing developments

#### (Re)interpretation of the LHC results for new physics Durham University

Yoran Yeh on behalf of the CONTUR team 29 August 2023

### Introduction





#### **CONTUR workflow**

**CONTUR outputs**  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{BSM}}$ contur YODA (BSM ANALYSIS yields) folder FeynRules **UFO** directory Rivet 1D 2D histograms exclusions HepMC Leading CLs analysis pool (particlelevel + ATLAS Data SM (Sherpa × NNLO) 300 SM as BC: Correlated 100% (100% Event  $M_{DM}$ events) 200 generator 400 800 1000 1200 200 600 M<sub>med.</sub> [GeV]

Reinterpretation forum @ Durham 29/08/2023

#### **CONTUR workflow**

**CONTUR outputs**  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{BSM}}$ contur ANALYSIS YODA (BSM yields) folder FeynRules contur-batch **UFO** directory 1D 2D histograms exclusions  $\mathcal{O}(\text{hours})$  to test 2D grid in BSM Leading CLs analysis pool parameter space to thousands ticle-ATT AS Data of individual histograms! SM (Sherpa × NNLO) 300 SM as BC: Correlated 100% (100% . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 800 1000 1200 200 400 600  $M_{med.}$  [GeV]

Reinterpretation forum @ Durham 29/08/2023

#### Reinterpretation forum @ Durham 29/08/2023

# Statistical framework

- Counting experiment  $\mathscr{L}(\vec{x} \mid \mu \vec{s} + \vec{b}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\mu s_i + b_i)^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-(\mu s_i + b_i)}$
- Profile likelihood ratio as test statistic
- To be conservative, use the CLs method  $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{s}} = \frac{p_{s+b}}{1-p_{b}}$
- Statistical and systematic uncertainties treated in covariance matrix

$$t_{\mu}^{\text{obs}} \approx \chi_{\mu,\text{obs}}^2 = \left(\vec{x} - \mu\vec{s} - \vec{b}\right)^{\text{T}} \text{Cov.}^{-1} \left(\vec{x} - \mu\vec{s} - \vec{b}\right)$$



### Include SM predictions

- Previous CONTUR talk at RiF dating back to sixth edition in 2021
  - caveat: often SM prediction not given in HEPData
  - → assume SM=data
    - ugly hack, but it works, since we claim no significant deviations seen at LHC so far
    - cannot claim discovery, only falsify BSM model
- As of now, 82/186 analyses with SM predictions available in Contur:
  - Some of them from digitising paper plots, lots of contributions from Peng Wang and UCL summer students (D. Baig, R. Novireetea, S. Rest, R. Reine)
- This is virtue of the CLs method!
- Calculate expected exclusions and do a SM test for each histogram in CONTUR

"

1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 2250

 $M_{T'}$  (GeV) Leading CLs analysis pools

 $M_{T'}$  (GeV)

95% obs. (SM as bkg.

68% obs. (SM as bkg.

95% expected limits

0 10 - 95% obs. (data as bkg.)



2500

CMS\_13\_LLJET

ATLAS\_13\_4L

ATLAS & LMETIES

ATLAS\_13\_L1L2METJE

ATLAS\_13\_LL\_GAMM/

ATLAS 13 METJET

ATLAS 19 IL INT

ATLAS.S.EEJET

ATLAS\_13\_TTHAD

0.14 -

0.12 -

0.10

× 0.08

0.06

0.04

0.02

500 750

0.14 -

0.12

≥ 0.08

0.06

0.04 -

0.02







Reinterpretation forum @ Durham 29/08/2023





#### contur-mkplots-mpl

 -p flag gives quick overview of which analyses and histograms contribute to exclusion by how much

(rivetvenv) voranyeh@Yorans-MacBook-Pro-4 0000 % contur-rivetplots -INFO – Contur version 2.5.x INF0 - See https://hepcedar.gitlab.io/contur-webpage/ Writing log to contur.log INFO - Read DB file ANALYSISTEST/contur run.db CMS 13 EEJET - CMS\_2019\_I1753680:LMODE=EL -- d28-x01-y02 : 0.01(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d27-x01-y02 : 0.00(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d26-x01-y02 : 0.00(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) - CMS\_2018\_I1667854:LMODE=EL -- d05-x01-y01 : 0.11(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d08-x01-y01 : 0.04(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d14-x01-y01 : 0.39(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d11-x01-y01 : 0.19(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d07-x01-v01 : 0.02(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d16-x01-y01 : 0.10(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d13-x01-y01 : 0.28(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d02-x01-y01 : 0.21(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d10-x01-y01 : 0.18(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d01-x01-y01 : 0.19(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d04-x01-y01 : 0.12(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d18-x01-y01 : 0.09(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d09-x01-y01 : 0.08(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d15-x01-y01 : 0.46(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d12-x01-y01 : 0.20(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d03-x01-y01 : 0.07(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d06-x01-y01 : 0.21(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d17-x01-v01 : 0.09(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) ATLAS 13 METJET - ATLAS 2017 I1609448 -- d02-x01-y01 : 0.00(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.15(EXP) -- d01-x01-y01 : 0.00(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.36(EXP) -- d04-x01-y01 : 0.00(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.17(EXP) -- d03-x01-y01 : 0.00(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.16(EXP)

#### contur-mkplots-mpl

- -p flag gives quick overview of which analyses and histograms contribute to exclusion by how much
- Filter by name of the analysis or pool and CLs exclusion contur-rivetplots -p --ana-match CMS --cls 0.5

(rivetvenv) yoranyeh@Yorans-MacBook-Pro-4 0000 % contur-rivetplots -p --ana-match CMS --cls 0.5 -i ANALYSISTEST | head -n 35 INFO - Contur version 2.5.x INF0 - See https://hepcedar.gitlab.io/contur-webpage/ Writing log to contur.log INFO - Read DB file ANALYSISTEST/contur run.db CMS\_13\_MMJET - CMS\_2021\_I1866118 -- d05-x01-y01 : 1.00(DATABG) 0.99(SMBG) 0.99(EXP) -- d02-x01-y01 : 1.00(DATABG) 0.99(SMBG) 1.00(EXP) -- d01-x01-y01 : 1.00(DATABG) 0.97(SMBG) 0.99(EXP) -- d04-x01-y01 : 1.00(DATABG) 0.94(SMBG) 1.00(EXP) -- d03-x01-y01 : 1.00(DATABG) 0.86(SMBG) 0.99(EXP) CMS 13 LLJET - CMS\_2022\_I2079374 -- d05-x01-y01 : 0.56(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d07-x01-y01 : 0.97(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d27-x01-y01 : 0.99(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d09-x01-v01 : 0.95(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d25-x01-y01 : 0.84(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) -- d17-x01-y01 : 0.93(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.00(EXP) CMS\_13\_LMETJET - CMS 2018 I1662081 -- d11-x01-y01 : 0.97(DATABG) 0.42(SMBG) 0.86(EXP) -- d13-x01-y01 : 1.00(DATABG) 0.80(SMBG) 0.99(EXP) -- d10-x01-y01 : 1.00(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.92(EXP) -- d09-x01-y01 : 0.98(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.81(EXP) -- d12-x01-y01 : 1.00(DATABG) 0.90(SMBG) 0.93(EXP) - CMS 2018 I1663958 -- d32-x01-y01 : 1.00(DATABG) 0.86(SMBG) 1.00(EXP) -- d05-x01-y01 : 1.00(DATABG) 0.99(SMBG) 1.00(EXP) -- d50-x01-v01 : 0.89(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.50(EXP) -- d67-x01-y01 : 0.93(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.54(EXP) -- d19-x01-y01 : 0.99(DATABG) 0.21(SMBG) 0.76(EXP)

-- d76-x01-v01 : 0.75(DATABG) 0.00(SMBG) 0.35(EXP)

#### contur-mkplots-mpl

- -p flag gives quick overview of which analyses and histograms contribute to exclusion by how much
- Filter by name of the analysis or pool and CLs exclusion contur-rivetplots -p --ana-match CMS --cls 0.5
- Remove -p option to execute the (already produced) Python scripts and provide you with HTML booklet of plots



#### Yoran Yeh

### **Further improvements**

- Rivet + CONTUR interface in MG5 and vice versa
  - Very successful tutorial in the recent MCnet school at Durham!
- Interfaced to GAMBIT
  - Publication on constraining the electroweakino in MSSM (<u>talk at RiF 2022</u>, <u>2303.09082</u>)
- Ongoing work to run CONTUR on MC production runs in ATLAS
- contur\_run.db file, small and more readable
- Deal with different integrated luminosities within the same measurement







# **Further improvements**

- Support for PyPI: pip install contur
- Covariance matrices used from HEPdata (in >20 analyses)
  - Alternatively, build covariance matrix from error breakdown (correlating errors), or if this is not available assume matrix is diagonal
- Many, many more new analyses and SM predictions!
  - When publishing results, include:
    - HEPData record for measured data (with a breakdown of the systematics, correlations matrices)
    - \* Rivet routine
    - Best-available SM predictions (plus total crosssection if normalised!) <u>Matous' talk at RiF @ CERN</u>



1.00000



#### How to get involved?

- To get started: link to code repository and tutorial
  - Local installation using PyPI or build manually
  - MG5 interface
  - For CERN users: Rivet + CONTUR installation on LXPLUS
  - Docker container
  - <u>Mattermost channel</u>
- Sky is the limit, any BSM theory can be tested in principle
  - Results webpage: <u>https://hepcedar.gitlab.io/contur-webpage/index.html</u>
  - Combined constraints on dark photons [JHEP 03 (2023) 182]
  - Testing the scalar triplet solution to CDF's heavy W problem at the LHC [Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023) 7, 075020]









- Developing CONTUR into a tool that is more widely used and usable! Recent updates in:
  - Making the interface more user-friendly
  - More analyses (and theory predictions) available to improve limit-setting
- Thank you for your attention!