Systematic Parametrisation and Operator Product Expansion for the *B*_q-meson Light-Cone Distribution Amplitude

arXiv:2203.15679/JHEP 10 (2022) 162 · arXiv:2306.14686/submitted to JHEP

IPPP Seminar, Durham

Philip Lüghausen¹, Thorsten Feldmann¹, Nicolas Seitz¹, Danny van Dyk²

July 13 2023

¹CPPS, Siegen U, ²IPPP, Durham U

Motivation

Important goals of *B*-physics phenomenology:

- Constraining the CKM unitarity triangle in the SM
- · Indirect probes of physics beyond the SM



Theoretical predictions need information on non-perturbative hadronic matrix elements, e.g.

$$B\to\ell\nu$$

Need decay constant f_B (Can extract V_{ub}) Have lattice prediction $B \to \pi \ell \nu$

Need transision form factor Have lattice prediction

$$B \to \gamma \ell \nu$$

Need transition form factors Cannot use lattice Have QCD factorisation!

Role of the B-meson LCDA

Example for factorisation theorem

$$\mathcal{M}(B \to \gamma \ell \bar{\nu}) \sim m_B f_B \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\omega} T(\omega, \dots) \phi_+(\omega)$$

with perturbative process dependent kernel T

and non-perturbative process independent $\tilde{\phi}_+(\tau;\mu)$:

- Describes **momentum distribution** of partons in the *B* meson
- Formally, matrix element of non-local operator, [Grozin, Neubert (1997)]

 $ilde{\phi}_+(au) \propto \langle \, 0 \, | \, ar{q}(au n) \, [au n, 0] \, \not n_5 \, h_{
m v}(0) \, ig| ar{B}(au)
angle$



• Not available from first principles (yet?), only encoded in data!

 $\phi_{+}(\omega) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{2\pi} \,\mathrm{e}^{i\omega\tau} \,\tilde{\phi}_{+}(\tau)$

Properties of the B-meson LCDA

What information about $\tilde{\phi}_+(\tau)$ is available?

E.g. "inverse moment" λ_B^{-1}

Measurable (pseudo-) observable in $B
ightarrow \gamma \ell
u$

$$\lambda_B^{-1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{2\pi} \, \tilde{\phi}_+(-i\tau) \quad \stackrel{!}{=} \text{finite}$$

 \Rightarrow Constrains behaviour for large τ

"operator product expansion" (OPE)

Theory/analyticity: Lower half plane is analytic except for the origin \Rightarrow Constrains behaviour for **small** τ



Operator product expansion

Expand the bilocal operator using au-dependent coefficients and local operators

Ultimate goal: connect information of OPE coefficients to the relevant region

4/33

OPE

- Determine coefficients in a matching calculation
- Dimensional grounds: $c_{3-n}^{(k)} \propto \tau^n$

 \Rightarrow How does this work on tree level?

OPE matching at tree level: partonic side

$$\begin{split} \bar{q}(\tau n)[\tau n, 0] \not m \gamma_5 h_{\nu}(0) &= c_1^{(3)}(\tau) \ \bar{q} \ \not m \gamma_5 h_{\nu} & \text{dim-3} \\ &+ c_1^{(4)}(\tau) \ \bar{q} \ (in \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \ \not m \gamma_5 h_{\nu} + c_2^{(4)}(\tau) \ \bar{q} \ (iv \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \ \not m \gamma_5 h_{\nu} & \text{dim-4} \\ &+ \dots & \text{dim} \ge 5 \end{split}$$

- Extract coefficients using partonic matrix element $\langle 0| \dots |q(k) h_v \rangle$
- Wilson line at LO: $[\tau n, 0] = 1 + \mathcal{O}(g_s)$

Result:

[Grozin, Neubert (1997)]

$$c_1^{(3)}(\tau) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s), \quad c_1^{(4)}(\tau) = -i\tau + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s), \quad c_2^{(4)}(\tau) = 0 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$$

OPE matching at tree level: hadronic side

 $\bar{q}(\tau n) [\tau n, 0] \not h_{\gamma_5} h_{\nu}(0) = c_1^{(3)}(\tau) \bar{q} \not h_{\gamma_5} h_{\nu}$ $+ c_1^{(4)}(\tau) \bar{q} (in \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \not h_{\gamma_5} h_{\nu} + c_2^{(4)}(\tau) \bar{q} (iv \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \not h_{\gamma_5} h_{\nu}$ $+ \dots$ $dim \ge 5$

Connect to **hadronic** matrix element $\langle 0| \dots |\bar{B} \rangle$:

• LHS yields LCDA by definition,

 $egin{aligned} &\langle 0 | \, ar{q}(au n) \left[au n, 0
ight] \, {n \!\!\!\!/} \gamma_5 \, h_{
m v}(0) \left| ar{
m B}
ight
angle \propto ilde{\phi}_+(au) \end{aligned}$

• RHS yields (just a few) hadronic constants, e.g.

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle 0 \mid \overline{q} \left(in \cdot \overleftarrow{D} \right) \not n \gamma_5 h_{\nu} \mid \overline{B} \right\rangle \propto \frac{4}{3} \overline{\Lambda} \\ \\ \langle 0 \mid \overline{q} \left(iv \cdot \overleftarrow{D} \right) \not n \gamma_5 h_{\nu} \mid \overline{B} \right\rangle \propto \overline{\Lambda} \end{array}$$

 \Rightarrow Insert coefficients, obtain expression for the LCDA!

OPE matching at tree level: hadronic side

$$\begin{split} \bar{q}(\tau n) \left[\tau n, 0\right] \not h\gamma_5 h_{\nu}(0) &= c_1^{(3)}(\tau) \ \bar{q} \not h\gamma_5 h_{\nu} & \text{dim-3} \\ &+ c_1^{(4)}(\tau) \ \bar{q} \left(in \cdot \overleftarrow{D}\right) \not h\gamma_5 h_{\nu} + c_2^{(4)}(\tau) \ \bar{q} \left(iv \cdot \overleftarrow{D}\right) \not h\gamma_5 h_{\nu} & \text{dim-4} \\ &+ \dots & \text{dim} \ge 5 \end{split}$$

The **OPE result** at tree-level (LO) up to mass dimension 4:

$$\left. \widetilde{\phi}_{+}^{\mathrm{OPE}}(\tau) \right|_{\mathrm{LO,\ dim-4}} = 1 - i\tau \ \frac{4\bar{\Lambda}}{3}$$

- · Can easily extend this to higher mass dimensions introduce new constants
- Limited range of validity (does not fall off)
- · Cannot calculate inverse moment $\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle B}^{-1}$
- Local limit au
 ightarrow 0 is well-defined at tree-level (LO)

What about radiative corrections (NLO)?

OPE matching at NLO

Radiative corrections appear on the partonic side (in the coefficients)

For the bilocal operator (LHS):



For example,

[Lee, Neubert (2005)][Kawamura, Tanaka (2009)]

$$c_1^{(3)}(\tau) = 1 - \frac{\alpha_{\rm s} C_{\rm F}}{4\pi} \left(2 \log(i\tau \mu \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{\rm E}})^2 + 2 \log(i\tau \mu \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{\rm E}}) + \frac{5\pi^2}{12} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm s}^2)$$

- Manifestly scale dependent (must compensate the hard scattering kernel)
- \cdot Now even singular for au
 ightarrow 0 ("renormalisation and local limit do not commute")
- Limit $\tau \to \infty$ remains incompatible with finite λ_B^{-1}

 \Rightarrow Need some means of extrapolation from OPE and other quantities!

Loose ends (for now)

Here briefly, later in detail:

Renormalisation group evolution

LCDA is scale dependent

Scale evolution is **convolution**:

$$ilde{\phi}_+(au;\mu) = \int \mathrm{d} au'\,\gamma(au, au';\mu,\mu_0)\, ilde{\phi}_+(au';\mu_0)$$

 \Rightarrow Difficult, esp. numerically

Finite spectator quark mass

BSM physics: e.g. $\bar{B}_{\rm s} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

- Also uses LCDAs
- $\cdot\,$ New dimensionful scale in the OPE
- What about radiative corrections?

Parametrisation

Model independence of an analysis

Model-based analysis

- Construct model that fulfills the general properties (to some extend)
- Popular **exponential model** (single parameter) [Grozin, Neubert (1997)]:

$$\tilde{\phi}_+(\tau) = \frac{1}{(1+i\,\lambda_{\rm B}\,\tau)^2}$$

Local limit like tree-level OPE and falls off to produce inverse moment

- **Problem**: there are more pseudo-observables than $\lambda_{\rm B}^{-1}$
- \Rightarrow Those will be (highly) correlated!

Model-independent analysis

• Parametrisation has **infinite parameters**:

$$ilde{\phi}_+(au;\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k(\mu) f_k(au;\mu)$$

- \Rightarrow Everything is decorrelated
 - What about convergence? Need truncation!
 - Estimate of the error?
 - Must fulfill constraints order-by-order

Is this possible??

Construction of a parametrisation

$$ilde{\phi}_+(au;\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k(\mu) f_k(au;\mu)$$

Important considerations:

• Control the truncation error ($K < \infty$) with a **bound**:

$$\chi \equiv \sum_{k} |a_{k}|^{2} = |a_{0}|^{2} + |a_{1}|^{2} + |a_{2}|^{2} + \dots$$

• Construct this with weighted integral

$$\chi(\mu) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{2\pi} \left| \tilde{\phi}_+(\tau;\mu) \right|^2 \left| r(\tau;\mu) \right|^2, \quad 0 < \chi < \infty$$

- Choose the weight function according to known LCDA behaviour
- Keep series convergence in mind (low-order behavior)

Result

 $y(\tau) = \frac{i\omega_0\tau - 1}{i\omega_0\tau + 1}$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\phi}_{+}(\tau;\mu_{0}) &= \frac{1}{(1+i\omega_{0}\tau)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_{k}(\mu_{0}) y(\tau)^{k} \\ \phi_{+}(\omega;\mu_{0}) &= \frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}^{2}} e^{-\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}} \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_{k}(\mu_{0}) \frac{L_{k}^{(1)}(2\omega/\omega_{0})}{1+k} \end{split}$$

Additional features:

- \cdot Auxilliary dimensionful scale ω_0 to "measure" the scalar coefficients
- Simple functional form (and also for the Fourier transform)
- $\cdot\,$ Generalises/extends the $exponential \;model$
- Numerically **efficient** RG evolution

 \Rightarrow Can we connect this to the OPE?

Test case: model for the radiative tail

Model that reflects OPE-induced "radiative tail" [Lee, Neubert (2005)]:

$$\phi_{+}(\omega,\mu) = \mathcal{N} \frac{\omega e^{-\omega/\bar{\omega}}}{\bar{\omega}^{2}} + \frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{\pi} \frac{\theta(\omega-\omega_{t})}{\omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \ln \frac{\omega}{\mu} + 4 \frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{\mathrm{DA}}}{3\omega} \left(2 - \ln \frac{\omega}{\mu} \right) \right\}$$

$$\phi_{+}(\omega) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{2\pi} \,\mathrm{e}^{i\omega\tau}\, \tilde{\phi}_{+}(\tau)$$

"exponential-like"

"radiative tail"/OPE

- + For small and intermediate range of ω
- Scale-dependent parameter values are matched onto partonic calculation
- \Rightarrow Can we reproduce the tail?





- + K = 3 already consistent up to large ω
- Larger K increases "precise" range (slowly)
- \Rightarrow Even though the model is pathological, the **parametrisation is flexible enough!**

Renormalisation group evolution

Exact RGE of the LCDA is convolution:

$$ilde{\phi}_+(au;\mu) = \int \mathrm{d} au'\,\gamma(au, au';\mu,\mu_0)\, ilde{\phi}_+(au';\mu_0)$$

Numerically **faster** for the parameters:

$$a_{k'}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{V}} \left(\frac{\hat{\mu}_0}{2\omega_0} \right)^{-g} \sum_k \mathcal{R}_{k'k}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mu_0) \, a_k(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0)$$

- Compute expensive matrix only once!
- Excellent agreement with exact result
- Uncertainty band **remains consistent** (low *K* for illustration)



OPE with $m_q \neq 0$

Effect of the spectator quark mass

For $m \neq 0$, one new relevant operator in the OPE:

$$\begin{split} \bar{q}(\tau n) \left[\tau n, 0\right] \not h_{\gamma 5} h_{\nu}(0) &= \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} c_k^{(n)}(\tau) \mathcal{O}_k^{(n)}(0) \\ &= c_1^{(3)}(\tau) \ \bar{q} \not h_{\gamma 5} h_{\nu} \\ &+ c_1^{(4)}(\tau) \ \bar{q} \left(in \cdot \overleftarrow{D}\right) \not h_{\gamma 5} h_{\nu} + c_2^{(4)}(\tau) \ \bar{q} \left(iv \cdot \overleftarrow{D}\right) \not h_{\gamma 5} h_{\nu} \\ &+ c_3^{(4)}(\tau) \ m \ \bar{q} \not \not h_{\gamma 5} h_{\nu} \\ &+ \text{mass dimension} \ge 5 \end{split} \qquad \text{dim-3}$$

On the hadronic side,

$$\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(4)}|\bar{B}(\mathbf{v})\rangle \propto \frac{4\bar{\Lambda}-m}{3}, \quad \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{2}^{(4)}|\bar{B}(\mathbf{v})\rangle \propto \bar{\Lambda}, \quad \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{3}^{(4)}|\bar{B}(\mathbf{v})\rangle \propto -m$$

$$\Rightarrow \ \tilde{\phi}_{+}(\tau) = c_{1}^{(3)}(\tau) + \bar{\Lambda}\left(\frac{4}{3}c_{1}^{(4)}(\tau) + c_{2}^{(4)}(\tau)\right) - m\left(c_{3}^{(4)}(\tau) + \frac{1}{3}c_{1}^{(4)}(\tau)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{2})^{2}$$

What is the problem with non-zero spectator mass?

- Introduces new scale into 1-loop integrals
- \Rightarrow Exact position-space result at 1-loop not easily obtainable in closed form
 - But we only need $\mathcal{O}(m)$ for dimension 4?
- \Rightarrow Yes, but needs careful calculation!

Dimensional regularisation can lead to non-analytical behaviour of intermediate results!

Partonic setup

Base the calculation on a simple setup available in literature

- \cdot Need **single new** Wilson coefficient \Rightarrow use not the most general but simple setup
- Extracts a sum of coefficients; further use result by Kawamura and Tanaka
- Our choice corresponds to the "non-relativistic setup": heavy-quark velocity v^{μ} , spectator-quark momentum mv^{μ}



• They give momentum-space results for the three 1-loop contributions $l_i^+(\omega)$:



Matching relation (massive case)

Obtain matching relation from partonic matrix element $\langle 0 | \dots | \bar{q} h_v \rangle$ of the OPE

• Leads to:

$$1 - im\tau + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi}\tilde{l}^{+}(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{2}, \alpha_{s}^{2}) \qquad \text{bilocal operator}$$

$$= c_{1}^{(3)}(\tau)\left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi}\tilde{l}_{1}^{(3)}\right) + mc_{1}^{(4)}(\tau)\left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi}\tilde{l}_{1}^{(4)}\right) \qquad \text{local operators}$$

$$+ mc_{2}^{(4)}(\tau) - mc_{3}^{(4)}(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{2}, \alpha_{s}^{2})$$

- Expanded in *m* on the LHS
- Local 1-loop contributions are taken into account on the RHS:

$$ilde{l}_1^{(3)} = \int_0^\infty d\omega \, l^+(\omega) \quad ext{and} \quad ilde{l}_1^{(4)} = rac{1}{m} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \omega \, l^+(\omega)$$

Matching relation (massive case)

$$1 - im\tau + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi}\tilde{l}^{+}(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{2},\alpha_{s}^{2})$$

= $c_{1}^{(3)}(\tau)\left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi}\tilde{l}_{1}^{(3)}\right) + mc_{1}^{(4)}(\tau)\left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi}\tilde{l}_{1}^{(4)}\right)$
+ $mc_{2}^{(4)}(\tau) - mc_{3}^{(4)}(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{2},\alpha_{s}^{2})$

bilocal operator

local operators

Re-arrange in terms of powers of $\alpha_{\rm s}$

\Downarrow

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \left(\tilde{l}^{+}(\tau) - \tilde{l}_{1}^{(3)} + im\tau \,\tilde{l}_{1}^{(4)}\right) \\ &= \left(c_{1}^{(3)}(\tau) - 1\right) + m\left(i\tau + c_{1}^{(4)}(\tau) + c_{2}^{(4)}(\tau) - c_{3}^{(4)}(\tau)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{2}, \alpha_{s}^{2})\end{aligned}$$

The **sum** of non-local and local terms on the LHS now allows **expansion** in *m*!

Example: vertex-like piece

Take vertex correction as illustration how this works

• In momentum space:

$$I_{a}^{+}(\omega) = 2\omega \, \Gamma(1+\epsilon) \left(\frac{\mu^{2} e^{\gamma_{E}}}{(m-\omega)^{2}} \right)^{\epsilon} \left\{ \frac{2}{(m-\omega)^{2}} - \frac{\theta(m-\omega)}{m(m-\omega)} - \frac{\theta(\omega-m)}{\omega(\omega-m)} \right\}$$

 $\cdot\,$ Calculate the Fourier transform and expand after in m

$$\tilde{l}_{a}^{+}(\tau) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \, e^{-i\omega\tau} \, l_{a}^{+}(\omega) = \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 2L + 3 \, \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m^{2}} - 2 - i\tau m \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon} - 6L + 5 \, \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m^{2}} + 7\right) + \mathcal{O}(m^{2}, \epsilon)$$

with $L = \log i \tau \mu \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}}$, exponential integral function $\mathrm{Ei}(z)$

• Local contributions added in the matching relation:

$$\tilde{l}_{1,a}^{(3)} = \int_0^\infty d\omega \, l_a^+(\omega) = \frac{3}{\epsilon} + 3 \, \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} - 2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

$$\tilde{l}_{1,a}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{m} \, \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \omega \, l_a^+(\omega) = \frac{5}{\epsilon} + 5 \, \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} + 3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

 \Rightarrow Result is free of IR logs; the sum can be linearized in m before FT

21/33

The other pieces

Pieces remain with gluon exchange from Wilson line and each of the quarks

• Gluon coupling to the heavy quark yields

$$\tilde{l}_b^+(\tau) = e^{-i\tau m} \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{2L}{\epsilon} - 2L^2 - \frac{5\pi^2}{12} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

- · A universal contribution to all orders in mass dimension
- No local subtractions (integrals in dim-reg are scaleless)
- Gluon coupling to the **light quark** vanishes:

$$l_{c}^{+}(\omega) = 2\Gamma(\epsilon) \int_{0}^{m} dk \, \frac{m-k}{m} \left(\frac{\mu^{2} e^{\gamma_{E}}}{k^{2}}\right)^{\epsilon} \frac{\delta(k-m+\omega) - \delta(\omega-m)}{k}$$

Only involves low-momentum region $\omega < m$

 \Rightarrow Expansion of the Fourier integral and dim-reg commute and

$$\tilde{l}_{c}^{+}(\tau) - \tilde{l}_{1,c}^{(3)} + im\tau \,\tilde{l}_{1,c}^{(4)} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \,d\omega \left(e^{-i\omega\tau} - 1 + i\omega\tau\right) l_{c}^{+}(\omega) = 0$$

New primary result

The new **mass-induced** Wilson coefficient:

$$c_{3}^{(4)}(\tau) = -i\tau \left[\frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi}\left(L-1\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{2})\right]$$

Yields **OPE form** of the LCDA:

$$\tilde{\phi}_{+}(\tau) = \left[1 - i\tau \frac{4\bar{\Lambda} - m}{3}\right] \left[1 - \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \left(2L^{2} + 2L + \frac{5\pi^{2}}{12}\right)\right] + i\tau\bar{\Lambda}\frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{8}{3}L - 3\right) + i\tau m\frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{4}{3}L - 1\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{2}, \tau^{2})$$

 \Rightarrow What's the impact in a global analysis? Connect to the parametrisation

Connecting the OPE to the inverse moment

Important caveat:

- + QCD factorization formulas and sum rules (primarily) probe the low- ω region
- \Rightarrow Radiative tail cannot predict this!

What *can* we do?

Make (strong) ad-hoc assumptions and check for consistency \Rightarrow sanity check

- Assume truncation K = 2 is sufficient \Rightarrow Relate a_0 , a_1 , a_2 via two OPE constraints
- Assume that the **bound saturates** rapidly to constrain *a*₂:

$$\frac{|a_1^{(q)}|^2}{a_0^{(q)}|^2 + |a_1^{(q)}|^2} < 0.25, \qquad \frac{|a_2^{(q)}|^2}{|a_0^{(q)}|^2 + |a_1^{(q)}|^2 + |a_2^{(q)}|^2} < 0.1, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dots < a_2^{(q)} < \dots$$

+ Fix ω_0 due to simultaneous convergence of OPE and parametrization

 \Rightarrow Check results!

Range for λ_{B_q} and λ_{B_s}



• Comparison to recent sum rule calculation

[Khodjamirian et al. (2020)]

- \Rightarrow Large overlap, no "finetuning" required
 - Not shown: difference of individual $a_i^{(q,s)}$ is about 10% to 15%

Ratio $\lambda_{B_s}/\lambda_{B_q}$



• Comparison to recent sum rule calculation

[Khodjamirian et al. (2020)]

- Now two varied parameters $a_2^{(q,s)}$
- Vary $a_2^{(q)}$ as before and examine difference $\delta a_2 = a_2^{(s)} a_2^{(q)}$
- \Rightarrow Again, large overlap consistent with $\delta a_2 =$ 0, but favoring $\delta a_2 <$ 0

Summary of numerical analysis

- $\cdot\,$ Find a consistent picture, even with aggressive assumptions
- $\Rightarrow~$ OPE is well suited for global analysis using the parametrization
 - Not shown: dominant source is the binding energy $\bar{\Lambda}_{B_{q,s}}$, not $m_{q,s}$
- \Rightarrow Lends support for the application even to charmed B_c
- \Rightarrow Find numerically similar coefficients, but for **drastically different scales** μ_0 , ω_0 :

	B_q	Bs	B _c
$\mu_0 \ \omega_0$	1 GeV	1 GeV	2 GeV
	594 MeV	594 MeV	1.18 GeV
$ar{m{\Lambda}}_a$	367 MeV	437 MeV	1.24 GeV
m_a	0	106 MeV	1.00 GeV
a ₀ - a ₂	1.31	1.24	1.22
a ₁ - 2a ₂	0.47	0.42	0.35
λ_B	(380, 690) MeV	(390,730) MeV	(0.76, 1.49) GeV

Generalized calculation

Move from simple setup to fully general setup

• Generic Dirac structure in the operator allows to extract subleading $\tilde{\phi}_{-}(\tau;\mu)$

operators $\dots \not p \gamma_5 \dots \rightarrow \text{operators} \dots \Gamma \dots$

- \Rightarrow Requires more terms in the OPE
 - General kinematic allows to extract individual Wilson coefficients

mass $m \rightarrow \text{mass } m$ and momentum components $n \cdot k, v \cdot k$

 \Rightarrow Profit from Taylor expansion before Fourier transform!

Additional benefits

- Cross-check because
- · Independent from external input (massless Wilson coefficients)
- Extendable to NNLO
- Renders operator basis transparent

General dim-4 operator basis

Generic operator basis to mass dimension 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}(\tau) &= \bar{q}(\tau n) [\tau n, 0] \Gamma h_{\nu}(0) \\ &= c_{1}^{(3)}(\tau) \bar{q}(0) \frac{\# \psi}{2} \Gamma h_{\nu}(0) + d_{1}^{(3)}(\tau) \bar{q}(0) \frac{\psi \#}{2} \Gamma h_{\nu}(0) \\ &+ c_{1}^{(4)}(\tau) \bar{q}(0) (in \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \frac{\# \psi}{2} \Gamma h_{\nu}(0) + d_{1}^{(4)}(\tau) \bar{q}(0) (in \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \frac{\psi \#}{2} \Gamma h_{\nu}(0) \\ &+ c_{2}^{(4)}(\tau) \bar{q}(0) (iv \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \frac{\# \psi}{2} \Gamma h_{\nu}(0) + d_{2}^{(4)}(\tau) \bar{q}(0) (iv \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \frac{\psi \#}{2} \Gamma h_{\nu}(0) \\ &+ c_{3}^{(4)}(\tau) m \bar{q}(0) \frac{2\psi - \#}{2} \Gamma h_{\nu}(0) + d_{3}^{(4)}(\tau) m \bar{q}(0) \frac{\#}{2} \Gamma h_{\nu}(0) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Using "light-cone projectors"

$$P_{+} = \frac{\not h \not v}{2} = \frac{\not h \not h}{4}, \qquad P_{-} = \frac{\not v \not h}{2} = \frac{\not h \not h}{4}$$

- Massless terms come with even number of Dirac matrices
- Linear terms in *m* come with odd number of Dirac matrices
- $\Gamma = m \gamma_5$ recovers previous calculation since $n \cdot n = 0$, $v \cdot n = 1$

Vertex correction piece, generic

Vertex correction with generic operator inserted:

$$I_a^{\Gamma}(\omega,m,k) = -i \int [d\ell] \,\delta(\omega-n\cdot(k-\ell)) \,\frac{\bar{\nu}(k)\,\psi(-\not\!k+\ell\!\!\ell+m)\,\Gamma\,u(\nu)}{[(k-\ell)^2-m^2+i0][\nu\cdot\ell+i0][\ell^2+i0]}$$



Linearise with local subtractions first and then perform the Fourier transform:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \left(e^{-i\omega\tau} - 1 + i\omega\tau + \dots \right) I_{a}^{\Gamma}(\omega, m, k)$$

$$= \bar{v}(k) \left\{ \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 2L + \left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon} + L \right) i\tau(n \cdot k) + \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon} + 4L - 3 \right) i\tau(v \cdot k) \right) \frac{\# \psi}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2L - \left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon} + L \right) i\tau(n \cdot k) + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2L - 3 \right) i\tau(v \cdot k) \right) \frac{\psi \#}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon} + L - 1 \right) i\tau m \psi + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{2}) \right\} \Gamma u(v)$$

 \Rightarrow Can read off contributions to Wilson coefficients

Towards dimension-5 OPE

New hadronic parameters at mass dimension 5

• For local dimension-5 operators,

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q} \, i \overleftarrow{D}^{\mu} i \overleftarrow{D}^{\nu} \, \Gamma h_{\nu} \, | \bar{B} \rangle \sim \text{five tensor structures}$$

- \cdot Quark equations of motion pose three constraints \Rightarrow two degrees of freedom remain
- (Canonically) define ME of gluon field strengh tensor $G^{\mu\nu} = [D^{\mu}, D^{\nu}]$

$$\frac{\langle 0|\bar{q}_{\beta}\,iG^{\mu\nu}\,(h_{\nu})_{\alpha}|\bar{B}(\nu)\rangle}{\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(3)}|\bar{B}(\nu)\rangle} = \frac{1}{4}\left[(1+\not\!\!\!/)\left(\frac{\lambda_{H}^{2}-\lambda_{E}^{2}}{3}\,(\gamma^{\mu}\mathsf{v}^{\nu}-\gamma^{\nu}\mathsf{v}^{\mu})-\frac{\lambda_{H}^{2}}{3}\,i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\right)\gamma_{5}\right]_{\alpha\beta}$$

 \cdot But for symmetric terms, EOM introduce m as

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2} \langle 0|\bar{q}_{\beta} \{i\overleftarrow{D}^{\mu}, i\overleftarrow{D}^{\nu}\} (h_{\nu})_{\alpha}|\bar{B}(\nu)\rangle}{\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(3)}|\bar{B}(\nu)\rangle} = -\frac{1}{4} \left[(1+\cancel{1}) \left(\frac{6\bar{\Lambda}^{2} + 2\lambda_{E}^{2} + \lambda_{H}^{2} - 2m\bar{\Lambda} - m^{2}}{3} \nu^{\mu}\nu^{\nu} - \frac{\bar{\Lambda}^{2} + \lambda_{E}^{2} + \lambda_{H}^{2} - m^{2}}{3} g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{2\bar{\Lambda}^{2} + \lambda_{E}^{2} - 2m\bar{\Lambda}}{6} (\gamma^{\mu}\nu^{\nu} + \gamma^{\nu}\nu^{\mu}) \right) \gamma_{5} \right]_{\alpha\beta}$$

 \Rightarrow Yields leading-order Mellin moments $\langle \omega^{\rm 1,2} \rangle_{\pm}$

Estimate of dimension-5 OPE at NLO

For leading two-particle LCDA, we estimate

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\phi}_{+}(\tau) &= \left[1 - i\tau \langle \omega \rangle_{+} - \tau^{2} \frac{\langle \omega^{2} \rangle_{+}}{2}\right] \left[1 - \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \left(2L^{2} + 2L + \frac{5\pi^{2}}{12}\right)\right] \\ &+ i\tau \bar{\Lambda} \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{8}{3}L - 3\right) + i\tau m \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{4}{3}L - 1\right) \\ &+ \tau^{2} \bar{\Lambda}^{2} \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{10}{3}L - \frac{35}{9} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m}{\bar{\Lambda}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\lambda_{E,H}^{2}}{\bar{\Lambda}^{2}}\right)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{2}) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{3}) \end{split}$$

- First line: tree-level to dimension 5, with universal 1-loop correction
- Third line: neglect mass and chromo-electric and -magnetic moments

Estimate of dimension-5 OPE at NLO

Numerical results:

	tree-level, pole-scheme			1-loop ^(*) , pole-scheme			1-loop, <i>a</i> -scheme
$n_0 = 1/3$	dim-3	dim-4	dim-5	dim-3	dim-4	dim-5	dim-4
	K = 0	K = 1	<i>K</i> = 2	K = 0	K = 1	<i>K</i> = 2	K = 2
$a_0^{(q)}$	1	1.44	1.54	0.78	1.07	1.07	(0.98, 1.51)
$a_1^{(q)}$	-	0.44	0.65	-	0.26	0.23	(-0.19, 0.87)
$a_{2}^{(q)}$	_	_	0.11	_	_	-0.03	(-0.33, 0.20)
$a_0^{(s)}$	1	1.37	1.43	0.78	0.99	0.96	(0.92, 1.45)
a ₁ (s)	-	0.37	0.49	-	0.20	0.10	(-0.22, 0.84)
$a_2^{(s)}$	_	_	0.06	_	_	-0.06	(-0.32, 0.21)

- Completely consistent with ad-hoc procedure at dim-4
- Indicates similar amount of SU(3) breaking

Backup

arXiv:hep-ph/0509350

"Model-Independent Properties of the B-Meson Distribution Amplitude" (2005) Seung J. Lee, Matthias Neubert

+ OPE to dimension 3 and 4 for "Mellin moments" with cutoff Λ_{UV} :

$$\langle \omega^k
angle_+ = \int_0^{\Lambda_{
m UV}} {
m d}\omega\, \omega^k\, \phi_+(\omega)\,, \quad k=0,1$$

• Obtained from "partonic LCDA" in momentum space at 1-loop order (\overline{MS}):

$$\begin{split} \phi^{\mathsf{B}}_{+}(\omega,\mu)_{\mathrm{parton}} &= \delta(\omega) \left(1 - \frac{C_{\mathsf{F}}\alpha_{\mathsf{S}}}{4\pi} \frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \right) + \frac{C_{\mathsf{F}}\alpha_{\mathsf{S}}}{4\pi} \left[-4 \left(\frac{\ln \frac{\omega}{\mu}}{\omega} \right)_{*}^{[\mu]} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{\omega} \right)_{*}^{[\mu]} \right] \\ &+ \delta'(\omega) \left\{ -n \cdot p \left[1 - \frac{C_{\mathsf{F}}\alpha_{\mathsf{S}}}{4\pi} \left(1 + \frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \right) \right] + v \cdot p \frac{C_{\mathsf{F}}\alpha_{\mathsf{S}}}{4\pi} \right\} + \dots \end{split}$$

- \Rightarrow Complicated distributions due to non-local operator with Wilson line
 - + Extract "radiative tail" from cut-off Mellin moment $\langle \omega^0
 angle_+$

arXiv:0810.5628

"Operator product expansion for B-meson distribution amplitude and dimension-5 HQET operators" (2008) Hiroyuki Kawamura, Kazuhiro Tanaka

- OPE calculation of the LCDA directly
- + Up to mass dimension 5, i.e. $\propto \tau^2$ at 1-loop order ($\overline{\rm MS})$
- Involved framework in position space, few details, few intermediate results given
- For example, 1-loop 1PI diagrams ("1LDs") yield (before renormalization)

$$1\text{LDs} = \frac{\alpha_5 C_F}{2\pi} \int_0^1 d\xi \left[\left\{ -\left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_{UV}^2} + \frac{L}{\varepsilon_{UV}} + L^2 + \frac{5\pi^2}{24}\right) \delta(1-\xi) + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{UV}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}}\right) \left(\frac{\xi}{1-\xi}\right)_+ - \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_{IR}} + L\right) \right\} \langle \bar{q}(\xi tn) \not p \gamma_5 h_v(0) \rangle - t \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} + 2L - 1 - \xi\right) \langle \bar{q}(\xi tn) v \cdot \overleftarrow{D} \not p \gamma_5 h_v(0) \rangle \right] + \cdots$$

Here: (Simpler) distributions

arXiv:2306.14686

"Strange-quark mass effects in the B_s meson's light-cone distribution amplitude" (2023) Thorsten Feldmann, PL, Nicolas Seitz – our new paper

In comparison:

- OPE calculation of the LCDA directly
- + Up to mass dimension 4, i.e. $\propto \tau^{\rm 1}$ at 1-loop order ($\overline{\rm MS})$
- Including spectator quark mass, i.e. extend application from $B_{u,d}$ to B_s
- Systematic and explicit framework for calculation in momentum space
- Optimize for extraction of Wilson coefficients
 - Avoid intermediate calculation of distributions
 - Allow convenient Taylor expansion w.r.t. dimensionful scales
- Investigate suitability for global analysis
- Results for subleading two-particle LCDA $\phi_{-}(au;\mu)$

Mapping onto the unit circle



(using auxilliary parameter)

Bound Construction

• The bound (with weight function) takes the form

$$\chi = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left. \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \left| \Phi_{+}(\tau(y)) \right|^{2} \left| r(\tau(y)) \right|^{2} \left. \frac{1 + \omega_{0}^{2} \tau(y)^{2}}{2\omega_{0}} \right|_{y=e^{i\theta}}$$

• Conveniently factorise the LCDA:

$$\Phi_{+}(\tau) \equiv \frac{f_{+}(y(\tau))}{r(\tau)(1+i\omega_{0}\tau)}, \qquad \Phi_{+}^{*}(\tau) = \frac{f_{+}^{*}(y^{*}(\tau))}{r^{*}(\tau)(1-i\omega_{0}\tau)}$$

• Then, with parameters,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \left| f_+ \left(e^{i\theta} \right) \right|^2 \stackrel{!}{\propto} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2.$$

- \Rightarrow Use math of orthogonal polynomials on unit circle \mathbf{D}_{1} .
 - The set of functions panning $f_+(y)$ is unique: monomials y^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$