Non-Standard

Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

Higgs-Maxwell Particle Physics Workshop Royal Society of Edinburgh 13 February, 2008

Christophe Grojean

CERN-TH & CEA-Saclay-IPhT (Christophe.Grojean[at]cern.ch)

A Central Question in Particle Physics

Astro/Cosmo data (Dark matter and baryon asymmetry) theoretical prejudice (hierarchy/naturality)



strongly suggest the presence of New Physics around the weak scale that is supposed to play a crucial role in breaking the electroweak symmetry

What is the mechanism of EW symmetry breaking? often said that the LHC is built to address this question

Christophe Grojean

Elinburgh, February 13th 2008

What is the mechanism of EWSB?

what we usually mean by that question is

what is cancelling the Higgs Λ^2 divergences? what is ensuring the stability of the weak scale?





This cancellation requires new symmetries among the TeV scale population

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

How to Stabilize the Higgs Potential Goldstone's Theorem ☐ massless scalar spontaneously broken global symmetry ... but the Higgs has sizable non-derivative couplings The Spin Trick 2s+1 polarization states a particle of spin s: ...with the only exception of a particle moving at the speed of light ... fewer polarization states Spin 1 Gauge invariance \longrightarrow no longitudinal polarization m=0Spin 1/2 Chiral symmetry \longrightarrow only one helicity

... but the Higgs is a spin 0 particle

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Symmetries to Stabilize a Scalar Potential

Supersymmetry

fermion ~ boson

 $A_{\mu} \sim A_5$

Higher Dimensional Lorentz invariance



[Manton '79, Fairlie 79, Hosotani '83 +...]

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

4D spin 1

4D spin 0

These symmetries cannot be exact symmetry of the Nature. They have to be broken. We want to look for a soft breaking in order to preserve the stabilization of the weak scale.

Christophe Grojean

Other symmetries?

Ghost symmetry

[Grinstein, O'Connell, Wise '07]

SM particle ~ ghost

It was known since Pauli-Villars that ghosts can soften the UV behavior of the propagators. But they are unstable per se.

Lee-Wick in the 60's proposed a trick to stabilize the ghosts (at the price of of a violation of causality at the microscopic scale).

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Little Higgs Models Arkani-Hamed et al. '02] Higgs as a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ QCD: π^+ , π^0 are Goldstone associated to $SU(2)_{\rm isospin}$ $\alpha_{em} \to 0, m_a \to 0$ $\alpha_{em} \neq 0$ LxR exact $m_{\pi^{\pm}}^2 \approx \frac{\alpha_{em}}{4\pi} \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ $m_{\pi} = 0$ EW pions would require $\alpha_{top} \to 0, g, g' \to 0$ $\alpha_{top} \neq 0$ $\Lambda_{\rm strong} \sim 1 {
m TeV}$ exact global sym. $m_H^2 \approx \frac{\alpha_{top}}{\Lambda \pi} \Lambda_{\text{strong}}^2$...too low ! $m_H = 0$ Little Higgs = PNGB + Collective Breaking $m_H^2 \approx \frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j}{(4\pi)^2} \Lambda_{\text{strong}}^2$ Edinburgh, February 13th 2008 Christophe Grojean

Little Higgs = PNGB + Collective Breaking Higgs $\in G/H$

The coset structure is broken by 2 sets of interactions

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{G/H} + g_1 \mathcal{L}_1 + g_2 \mathcal{L}_2$

each interaction preserves a subset of the symmetry Higgs remains an exact PNGB when either g_1 or g_2 is vanishing

SU(5)/SO(5)

 $\begin{array}{l} 24-10=14 \ \mathsf{PNGB}\\ gauge \ \mathsf{SU}(2)_{\mathsf{L}}\mathsf{x}\mathsf{SU}(2)_{\mathsf{R}} \ \mathsf{subgroup} \ (\mathsf{broken to} \ \mathsf{SU}(2)_{\mathsf{D}})\\ 14-3=11 \ \mathsf{PNGB} \ \mathsf{left} = \ 3_1, \ 2_{1/2}, \ 1_0\\ \mathsf{if} \ g_{\mathsf{L}} \ \mathsf{or} \ g_{\mathsf{R}} \ \mathsf{vanishes}, \ \mathsf{SU}(3)/\mathsf{SU}(2) \ \mathsf{coset} \ \mathsf{intact} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{Higgs} \ \mathsf{remains} \ \mathsf{massless} \end{array}$

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Twin Higgs = PNGB + Discrete Symmetry [Chacko, Goh, Harnik '05]

Higgs $\in G/H$

new interactions break the coset and generate a potential for the Higgs

discrete symmetry among these interactions \Rightarrow enlarged symmetry of the Higgs potential

SU(4)/SU(3)

gauge $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ subgroup with $L \leftrightarrow R$ the potential is automatically SU(4) invariant

cancelation of Λ^2 divergences by new particles which are SM singlets The avoid conflict with EW precision tests

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Cancellation of Λ^2 divergences cancellation by opposite spin particles Supersymmetry top loop cancelled by stop loop Higgs loop cancelled by higgsino loop gauge boson loops cancelled by gaugino loops cancellation by same spin particles Little Higgs top loop cancelled by heavy toop loop Higgs loop cancelled by heavy singlet/triplet scalars gauge boson loops cancelled by heavy gauge boson loops cancellation by same spin particles Gauge-Higgs unification top loop cancelled by heavy toop loop Higgs loop cancelled by heavy gauge boson loop gauge boson loops cancelled by heavy gauge boson loops

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

What is the mechanism of EWSB?

All these models assume that we already know the answer to What is unitarizing the WW scattering amplitudes? $W_L \& Z_L$ part of EWSB sector $\supset W$ scattering is a probe of Higgs sector interactions

W_L & Z_L part of EWSB sector $\epsilon_l = \left(\frac{|\vec{k}|}{M}, \frac{E}{M} \frac{\vec{k}}{|\vec{k}|}\right)$ (we have already discovered 75% of the Higgs doublet!) $\mathcal{A} = g^2 \left(\frac{E}{M_W}\right)^2$ ⇒ WW scattering is a probe of Higgs sector interactions Strongly coupled models Weakly coupled models other ways? prototype: Technicolor prototype: Susy susy partners ~ 100 GeV rho meson ~ 1 TeV Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Non-Standard EWSB

Christophe Grojean

Strongly coupled models

a phenomenological challenge: how to evade EW precision data

The resonance that unitarizes the WW scattering amplitudes



generates a tree-level effect on the SM gauge bosons self-energy

W 3 MARAN B p S parameter of order 1. Not seen at LEP

a theoretical challenge: need to develop tools to do computation

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Back to "Technicolor" from Xdims

"AdS/CFT" correspondence for model-builder

Warped gravity with fermions and gauge field in the bulk and Higgs on the brane

 $A_5 \rightarrow A_5 + \partial_5 \epsilon$

Strongly coupled theory with slowly-running couplings in 4D

$$h \rightarrow h + a$$

pseudo-Goldstone of a strong force



5D KK modes motion along 5th dim UV brane IR brane bulk local sym.

vector resonances (ρ mesons in QCD) RG flow UV cutoff

break. of conformal inv global sym.

4D

A hierarchy problem addressed + gauge coupling unification

weakly coupled description \supset calculable models 0

new approach to fermion embedding and flavor problem Edinburgh, February 13th 2008 Christophe Grojean

Higgsless Models

The LHC might not see anything beyond the Standard Model...

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Warped Higgsless Model

Csaki, Grojean, Pilo, Terning '03 UV brane IR brane $z = R_{UV} \sim 1/M_{PI}$ $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ $z = R_{IR} \sim 1/TeV$ $ds^{2} = \left(\frac{R}{z}\right)^{2} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} - dz^{2}\right)$ U(1)_{B-L} $U(1)_{B-L} \times SU(2)_{D}$ $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_V$ $\Omega = \frac{R_{IR}}{R_{IIV}} \approx 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ $A_{\mu}^{R\,\pm} = 0$ $A_{\mu}^{L\,a} - A_{\mu}^{R\,a} = 0$ $g_5' B_\mu - g_5 A_\mu^{R\,3} = 0$ $\partial_5(A^{L\,a}_\mu + A^{R\,a}_\mu) = 0$ $\partial_5(g_5 B_{\mu} + g_5' A_{\mu}^{R\,3}) = 0$ $J(1)_{em}$ BCs kill all A5 massless modes: no 4D scalar mode in the spectrum $M_W^2 = \frac{1}{R_{IR}^2 \log(R_{IR}/R_{UV})} \left[M_Z^2 \sim \frac{g_5^2 + 2g_5'^2}{g_5^2 + g'^2} \frac{1}{R_{IR}^2 \log(R_{IR}/R_{UV})} \right]$ "light" mode: 📊 log suppression $M_{KK}^2 = \frac{\text{cst of order unity}}{1}$ KK tower: R_{IR}^2 Edinburgh, February 13th 2008 Christophe Grojean Non-Standard EWSB

Unitarization of (Elastic) Scattering Amplitude



KK Sum Rules

Csaki, Grojean, Murayama, Pilo, Terning '03'

 $\mathcal{A}^{(2)} \propto 4g_{nnnn}^2 - 3\sum_k g_{nnk}^2 \frac{M_k^2}{M_n^2}$

 $\mathcal{A}^{(4)} \propto g_{nnnn}^2 - \sum_k g_{nnk}^2$

In a KK theory, the effective couplings are given by overlap integrals of the wavefunctions

 $g_{mnpq}^{2} = g_{5D}^{2} \int_{R_{UV}}^{R_{IR}} dz \frac{R}{z} f_{m}(z) f_{n}(z) f_{p}(z) f_{q}(z)$

 $g_{mnp} = g_{5D} \int_{R_{UV}}^{R_{IR}} dz \frac{R}{z} f_m(z) f_n(z) f_p(z)$

Eduburgh, February 15

Christophe Groyean

$$g_{nnnn}^{2} - \sum_{k} g_{nnk}^{2} = g_{5D}^{2} \int_{R_{UV}}^{R_{IR}} dz \frac{R}{z} f_{n}^{4}(z) - g_{5D}^{2} \int_{R_{UV}}^{R_{IR}} dz \frac{R}{z} \int_{R_{UV}}^{R_{IR}} dz' f_{n}^{2}(z) f_{n}^{2}(z') \sum_{k} \frac{R}{z'} f_{k}(z) f_{k}(z') = 0$$

$$\sum_{k} \frac{R}{z'} f_{k}(z) f_{k}(z') = \delta(z - z')$$

$$Completness of KK modes$$

Collider Signatures Birkedal, Matchev, Perelstein '05

unitarity restored by vector resonances whose masses and couplings are constrained by the unitarity sum rules

WZ elastic cross section



a narrow and light resonance

He et al. '07







Christophe Grojean

VBF (LO) dominates over DY since couplings of q to W' are reduced

W' production

3000

2500

Luminosity: 300 fb

E; > 300 GeV

2000

1500

Number of events at the LHC, 300 fb⁻¹

mWZ (GeV)

discovery reach @ LHC (10 events)

 $550 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ $1 \text{ TeV} \rightarrow 60 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

should be seen within one/two year

Non-Standard EWSB

500

1000

102

101

100 GeV

N (events/

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Composite Higgs Models

The LHC sees the Higgs and nothing else...

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Minimal Composite Higgs Model



Christophe Grojean

Unitarity with Composite Higgs Technicolor: W_L and Z_L are part of the strong sector **Higgs = composite object** (part of the strong sector too) its couplings deviate from a point-like scalar Georgi, Kaplan '84



unitarization halfway between weak and strong unitarizations!

- susy: no naturalness pb \supset no need for new particles to cancel Λ^2 divergences
- 4 technicolor: heavier rho \Im smaller oblique corrections; one tunable parameter: v/f. $\hat{S}_{UV} \sim \frac{g^2 N}{96\pi^2} \frac{v^2}{f^2}$

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

How to obtain a light composite Higgs? Higgs=Pseudo-Goldstone boson of the strong sector

mHiggs=0 when gSM=0

614



____ residual global symmetry

 $g_{
ho}$

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

UV completion $4\pi f$ $-10 {
m TeV}$

 $m_{\rho} = g_{\rho} f$ usual resonances of the strong sector

 $246~{
m GeV}$ Higgs = light resonance of the strong sector

strong sector broadly characterized by 2 parameters $m_{
ho}$ = mass of the resonances $g_{
ho}$ = coupling of the strong sector or decay cst of strong sector $f=rac{m_{
ho}}{a}$

Christophe Grojean

Testing the composite nature of the Higgs?

if LHC sees a Higgs and nothing else*:

evidence for string landscape???

it will be more important then ever to figure out whether the Higgs is composite!

Model-dependent: production of resonances at m_{ρ}

Model-independent: study of Higgs properties & W scattering

- Higgs anomalous coupling
- strong WW scattering
- strong HH production
- gauge bosons self-couplings

* a likely possibility that precision data seems to point to, at least in strongly coupled models

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

What distinguishes a composite Higgs?

Giudice, Grojean, Pomarol, Rattazzi '07

 $egin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \supset rac{\mathcal{C}_H}{2f^2} \,\partial^\mu \left(|H|^2
ight) \,\partial_\mu \left(|H|^2
ight) & c_H \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \ & U = e^{i igg(H^\dagger/f \ H^\dagger/f \ igg)_{U_0}} \end{aligned}$

 $f^{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\partial_{\mu}U^{\dagger}\partial^{\mu}U\right) = |\partial_{\mu}H|^{2} + \frac{\sharp}{f^{2}}\left(\partial|H|^{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{\sharp}{f^{2}}|H|^{2}\left|\partial H|^{2} + \frac{\sharp}{f^{2}}\left|H^{\dagger}\partial H\right|^{2}$

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

What distinguishes a composite Higgs?

Giudice, Grojean, Pomarol, Rattazzi '07

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{c_H}{2f^2} \partial^{\mu} \left(|H|^2 \right) \partial_{\mu} \left(|H|^2 \right) \qquad c_H \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$
$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{v+h}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + c_H \frac{v^2}{f^2} \right) (\partial^{\mu} h)^2 + \dots$$

Modified Higgs propagator Higgs couplings rescaled by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + c_H \frac{v^2}{f^2}}} \sim 1 - c_H \frac{v^2}{2f^2}$

$$\overset{W}{\sim} \overset{Higgs}{\sim} \overset{W}{\sim} = -\left(1 - c_H \frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) g^2 \frac{E^2}{M_W^2}$$

$$\overset{W^+}{\sim} \overset{W^+}{\sim} \overset{W^+}$$

no exact cancellation of the growing amplitudes

unitarization restored by heavy resonances

Falkowski, Pokorski, Roberts '07

Strong W scattering below $m_{
ho}$



Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Prime Prime Pri$$

Non-Standard EWSB

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

EWPT constraints

 $\hat{T} = c_T \frac{v^2}{f^2}$ $\implies |c_T \frac{v^2}{f^2}| < 2 \times 10^{-3}$ removed by custodial symmetry

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

There are also some 1-loop IR effects

 $\hat{S} = (c_W + c_B) \frac{m_W^2}{m^2} \implies (m_\rho \ge (c_W + c_B)^{1/2} \ 2.5 \ \text{TeV}$

Barbieri, Bellazzini, Rychkov, Varagnolo '07

 $\hat{S}, \hat{T} = a \log m_h + b$ modified Higgs couplings to matter $\hat{S}, \hat{T} = a \left((1 - c_H \xi) \log m_h + c_H \xi \log \Lambda \right) + b$

effective $m_h^{e\!f\!f} = m_h \left(\frac{\Lambda}{m_h}\right)^{c_H v^2/f^2} > m_h$ Higgs mass

Christophe Grojean

LEPII, for m_h~115 GeV: $(c_H v^2/f^2 < 1/3 \sim 1/2)$

IR effects can be cancelled by heavy fermions (model dependent)

Higgs anomalous couplings

 $\int (\sigma BR)/(\sigma BR)$

 $\Gamma \left(h \to f\bar{f} \right)_{\text{SILH}} = \Gamma \left(h \to f\bar{f} \right)_{\text{SM}} \left[1 - \left(2c_y + c_H \right) v^2 / f^2 \right]$ $\Gamma (h \to gg)_{\rm SILH} = \Gamma (h \to gg)_{\rm SM} \left[1 - (2c_y + c_H) v^2 / f^2 \right]$

observable @ LHC?





Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Strong W scattering

Even with a light Higgs, growing amplitudes (at least up to m_{ρ}) $\mathcal{A}\left(Z_{L}^{0}Z_{L}^{0} \rightarrow W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-}\right) = \mathcal{A}\left(W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-} \rightarrow Z_{L}^{0}Z_{L}^{0}\right) = -\mathcal{A}\left(W_{L}^{\pm}W_{L}^{\pm} \rightarrow W_{L}^{\pm}W_{L}^{\pm}\right) = \frac{c_{H}s}{f^{2}}$ $\mathcal{A}\left(W^{\pm}Z_{L}^{0} \rightarrow W^{\pm}Z_{L}^{0}\right) = \frac{c_{H}t}{f^{2}}, \quad \mathcal{A}\left(W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-} \rightarrow W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-}\right) = \frac{c_{H}(s+t)}{f^{2}}$ $\mathcal{A}\left(Z_{L}^{0}Z_{L}^{0} \rightarrow Z_{L}^{0}Z_{L}^{0}\right) = 0$



leptonic vector decay channels forward jet-tag, back-to-back lepton, central jet-veto with 300 fb⁻¹ 30 signal-events and 10 background-events

Bagger et al '95 Butterworth et al. '02 $c_H \frac{v^2}{f^2}$ bigger than 0.5 ~ 0.7

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008





Sum rule (with cuts $|\Delta \eta| < \delta$ and $s < M^2$)

 $2\sigma_{\delta,M}\left(pp \to hhX\right)_{c_H} = \sigma_{\delta,M}\left(pp \to W_L^+ W_L^- X\right)_{c_H} + \frac{1}{6}\left(9 - \tanh^2 \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\sigma_{\delta,M}\left(pp \to Z_L^0 Z_L^0 X\right)_{c_H}$

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Direct vs. indirect signals direct production of (TeV) resonances

 $\sum_{\rho} g_{\rho}$



 $=\frac{g_{SM}^2}{g_{\rho}}$

for larger g_ρ, the resonances are increasingly harder to see as 1/ they are broader and heavier 2/ they couple more and more weakly to fermions LHC could reach a resonance around 4 TeV

Christophe Grojean

9

9

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Continuous Connections between Models

Higgsless

Composite Higgs

reduce couplings Higgs/W,Z "gaugephobic higgs"

Cacciapaglia, Csaki, Marandella, Terning '06

new realization of old

- ✓ bosonic technicolor Carone, Simmons '92
- topcolor assisted technicolor

Christophe Grojean

Non-Standard EWSB

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

Hill '94

Exotic Scenarios

The LHC see many exciting signatures beyond the Standard Model...

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

To complete the review...

Hidden valley models

Strassler, Zurek '06

Iow mass hidden sectors connected to SM through higher dimension operators

hidden = neutral under SM gauge group, charged under high mass mediator

possible decays to 'our' universe via tunneling



Christophe Grojean

Non-Standard EWSB

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

To complete the review...

Unparticles

Georgi '07 + many others

example of hidden valley models with a hidden sector with a non-trivial conformal IR fixed point
 unparticles look like a non-integral number of invisible particles

Higgs portal Patt

Patt, Wilczek '06, Chang, Fox, Weiner '05, O'Connel, Ramsey-Musolf, Wise '06, Espinosa, Quiros '07

more Higgs doublets or new Higgs singlets
 dark matter candidates
 strengthen the EW phase transition => EW baryogenesis?

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008



EW interactions need a UV moderator to unitarize WW scattering amplitude

"theorists are getting cold feet" J. Ellis "they have done their best to predict the possible and impossible" G. Giudice

Oblique corrections are a test of new physics

Need other observables to identify the nature of new physics

Christophe Grojean

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

What is the mechanism of EW symmetry breaking?

1/ is there a Higgs?
2/ what are the Higgs mass/couplings
3/ is the Higgs a SM like weak doublet?
4/ is the Higgs elementary or composite?
5/ is EWSB natural or fine-tuned?
6/ are there new dimensions? new strong forces?



Non-Standard EWSB

Edinburgh, February 13th 2008

LHC ILC CLIC

//

11

//-

?

