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## Wess-Zumino functional

Consider maps $f$ from a worldsheet surface $\Sigma$ to a target space $M$. Take (topological) action functional

$$
S_{H}[f]=\int_{V} f^{*} H, \quad H \in \Omega_{\mathrm{cl}}^{3}(M), \quad \partial V=\Sigma .
$$

The variation $\delta S_{H}=0$ is given by generalised vectors $X+\xi \in \Gamma\left(T M \oplus T^{*} M\right)$ such that
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$$
S_{H}[f]=\int_{V} f^{*} H, \quad H \in \Omega_{\mathrm{cl}}^{3}(M), \quad \partial V=\Sigma .
$$

The variation $\delta S_{H}=0$ is given by generalised vectors $X+\xi \in \Gamma\left(T M \oplus T^{*} M\right)$ such that

$$
\iota_{X} H=d \xi .
$$

## Courant algebroids

The generalised tangent bundle, $T M \oplus T^{*} M$, can be equipped with a pairing and a bracket:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle X+\xi, Y+\eta\rangle & =\iota_{X} \eta+\iota_{Y} \xi \\
{[X+\xi, Y+\eta]_{H} } & =[X, Y]+\mathcal{L}_{X} \eta-\iota_{Y} d \xi+\iota_{Y} \iota_{X} H .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, a (exact) Courant algebroid (CA) is a vector bundle $E$ over $M$ with a pairing and a bracket of sections fitting the sequence
$0 \rightarrow T^{*} M \rightarrow E \rightarrow T M \rightarrow 0$.
One recovers $H \in \Omega_{\mathrm{cl}}^{3}(M)$ by considering a splitting $s: T M \rightarrow E$ and setting
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In general, a (exact) Courant algebroid (CA) is a vector bundle $E$ over $M$ with a pairing and a bracket of sections fitting the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow T^{*} M \rightarrow E \rightarrow T M \rightarrow 0 . \quad\left(E \cong T M \oplus T^{*} M\right)
$$

One recovers $H \in \Omega_{\mathrm{cl}}^{3}(M)$ by considering a splitting $s: T M \rightarrow E$ and setting

$$
H(X, Y, Z)=\left\langle[s(X), s(Y)]_{E}, s(Z)\right\rangle_{E}
$$

## Polyakov term and Isomorphisms

We can also add dynamical data to our sigma model: the Polyakov functional, for a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ is

$$
S_{g}[f]=\int_{\Sigma}\|d f\|_{g}^{2} d \mu_{g} .
$$

The data of $g$ can be captured in a generalised metric; a positive definite subbundle $V \subset E$, i.e. $\langle V, V\rangle>0$. For example,

$$
V=\operatorname{gr}(g)=\{X+g(X): X \in T M\} \subset T M \oplus T^{*} M
$$

The data of the full action $S=S_{H}+S_{g}$ is embedded in a CA equipped with a positive definite subbundle $V$.
Thus, an isomorphism $\Phi: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ covering a diffeomorphism
$\phi: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ and preserving $\langle,\rangle_{E},[,]_{E}$ and $V$ will yield
Sigma-modles over $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ with the same equations of motion
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To preserve the structure of a generalised metric $V \subset E$, one sees that $V$ is the +1 eigenbundle of a $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(E)$. If $R:(E, V) \rightarrow\left(E^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, then $R$ is a generalised isometry if
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\left(\tau \times \tau^{\prime}\right)(R)=R .
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Contingent on some smoothness conditions, one can compose two relations $R: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}, \tilde{R}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime}$


## Isometry and Composition

To preserve the structure of a generalised metric $V \subset E$, one sees that $V$ is the +1 eigenbundle of a $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(E)$. If $R:(E, V) \rightarrow\left(E^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$, then $R$ is a generalised isometry if

$$
\left(\tau \times \tau^{\prime}\right)(R)=R .
$$

Contingent on some smoothness conditions, one can compose two relations $R: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}, \tilde{R}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime}$

$$
\tilde{R} \circ R=\left\{\left(e, e^{\prime \prime}\right):\left(e, e^{\prime}\right) \in R \text { and }\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \tilde{R}\right\} \subset E \times \bar{E}^{\prime \prime},
$$

## T-duality

T-dual spaces $M, M^{\prime}$ may be fibre bundles over a common base $B$


## T-duality

T-dual spaces $M, M^{\prime}$ may be fibre bundles over a common base $B$


## T-duality

T-dual spaces $M, M^{\prime}$ may be fibre bundles over a common base $B$


## The T-duality relation I

Want to form a CA relation $R: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ between CAs $E, E^{\prime}$ over $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ respectively. Need to know how to form CAs on a quotient manifold:
$\mathcal{M}$ is foliated by $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is an exact $C A$ over $\mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{E} / \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ will
not be an exact $C A$ over $M=\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$
Take $K^{\prime}=T \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{E}$, then

is an exact CA over $M$
Can form the CA relation $Q\left(K^{\prime}\right)$, supported on $\operatorname{gr}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$,

where $\bigsqcup: K^{\perp} \rightarrow E$ is the quotient map.
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Want to form a CA relation $R: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ between CAs $E, E^{\prime}$ over $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ respectively. Need to know how to form CAs on a quotient manifold:
$\mathcal{M}$ is foliated by $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is an exact $C A$ over $\mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{E} / \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ will not be an exact CA over $M=\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$.
Take $K^{\prime}=T \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{E}$, then

$$
E=\frac{K^{\prime \perp}}{K^{\prime}} / \mathcal{F}^{\prime}
$$

is an exact CA over $M$.
Can form the CA relation $Q\left(K^{\prime}\right)$, supported on $\operatorname{gr}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
Q\left(K^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(e, \square^{\prime}(e)\right): e \in K^{\perp}\right\} \subset \mathcal{E} \times E
$$

where $\hbar: K^{\perp} \rightarrow E$ is the quotient map.

The T-duality relation II

The T-duality relation $R: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is then the composition


## Theorem (DF, Marotta, Szabo [1])

Let $V$ be a generalised metric on $E$. (Modulo invariance conditions) TFAE
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## The T-duality relation II

The T-duality relation $R: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is then the composition


## Theorem (DF, Marotta, Szabo [1])

Let $V$ be a generalised metric on $E$. (Modulo invariance conditions) TFAE
(1) $K^{\perp} \cap \Phi\left(K^{\prime}\right) \subseteq K$.
(2) There exists a unique generalised metric $V^{\prime}$ on $E^{\prime}$ such that $R$ is a generalised isometry.

## The Hopf fibration and its T-dual

Consider $\mathcal{M}=S^{3} \times S^{1}$, with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ generated by the trivial fibres of $S^{1}$, and a foliation $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ with whose leaves are the $S^{1}$ fibres of the Hopf fibration $S^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}$. Thus $M=\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{F}^{\prime}=S^{2} \times S^{1}$ and $M^{\prime}=S^{3}$. Let $\partial_{\theta}, \partial_{\theta^{\prime}}$ generate $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ respectively.

## connections on $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ respectively. Let

$B=\theta \wedge \theta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T P, T^{*} P\right)$. Then


Consider the element $w \partial_{\theta}+p \theta \in T M \oplus T^{*} M, w, p \in \mathbb{R}$. The relation $R$ is then given by
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R=\left\{\left(w \partial_{\theta}+p \theta, p \partial_{\theta^{\prime}}+w \theta^{\prime}\right): w, p \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \oplus T S^{2} \oplus T^{*} S^{2}
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## Buscher rules and topology

Take a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M=S^{2} \times S^{1}$, that has $g_{0} \theta \theta$ as the fibre component. Then $V=\operatorname{gr}(g)=\left\{w \partial_{\theta}+w g_{0} \theta\right\} \oplus \operatorname{gr}\left(g_{S^{2}}\right)$.

$$
V^{\prime}=\left\{w g_{0} \partial_{\theta^{\prime}}+w \theta^{\prime}\right\} \oplus \operatorname{gr}\left(g_{S^{2}}\right),
$$

which is the graph of the metric $g^{\prime}=\frac{1}{g_{0}} \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}+g_{S^{2}}$. One can also calculate the topological terms: If $H=\operatorname{vol}_{S^{2}} \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{S^{1}} \in \Omega_{c \mid}^{3}(M)$, then we have, in terms of the full action
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Take a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M=S^{2} \times S^{1}$, that has $g_{0} \theta \theta$ as the fibre component. Then $V=\operatorname{gr}(g)=\left\{w \partial_{\theta}+w g_{0} \theta\right\} \oplus \operatorname{gr}\left(g_{S^{2}}\right)$. Thus, the subbundle $V^{\prime}$ is

$$
V^{\prime}=\left\{w g_{0} \partial_{\theta^{\prime}}+w \theta^{\prime}\right\} \oplus \operatorname{gr}\left(g_{S^{2}}\right)
$$

which is the graph of the metric $g^{\prime}=\frac{1}{g_{0}} \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}+g_{S^{2}}$.
One can also calculate the topological terms: If $H=\operatorname{vol}_{S^{2}} \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{S^{1}} \in \Omega_{c l}^{3}(M)$, then we have, in terms of the full action

$$
S_{H}^{S^{2} \times S^{1}}[f]+S_{g}^{S^{2} \times S^{1}}[f] \longleftrightarrow S_{g^{\prime}}^{S^{3}}\left[f^{\prime}\right]
$$

## Outlook

(1) Looking at Poisson-Lie T-duality, where fibres $\mathcal{F}$ are possibly non-abelian groups.
(2) Add more structure: divergence (or CA connections), allowing to incorporate the dilaton, generalised Ricci tensors and Ricci flow; generalised complex structures, allowing incorporation of branes.

## End

Thanks you for listening! Questions?
References: [1] https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.15147

