#### Manifestly Causal Quantum Field Theory

Ross Jenkinson (he/him)

Supervisors: Prof. Jeffrey Forshaw Prof. Brian Cox

University of Manchester

YTF, December 2023



The University of Manchester

Manifestly Causal QFT

• For a quantum system evolving under the unitary time evolution operator,  $U_t = T \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{1}{i} \int_{t_0}^t dt' H_{int}(t') \right) \right\}$ , with initial state,  $|i\rangle$ , and final state,  $|f\rangle$ ,

 $\langle f \mid U_t \mid i \rangle$ 



The University of Manchester

• For a quantum system evolving under the unitary time evolution operator,  $U_t = T \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{1}{i} \int_{t_0}^t dt' H_{int}(t') \right) \right\}$ , with initial state,  $|i\rangle$ , and final state,  $|f\rangle$ ,

 $\mathbb{P} \equiv \langle i | U_t^{\dagger} | f \rangle \ \langle f | U_t | i \rangle$ 



• For a quantum system evolving under the unitary time evolution operator,  $U_t = T \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{1}{i} \int_{t_0}^t dt' H_{int}(t') \right) \right\}$ , with initial state,  $|i\rangle$ , and final state,  $|f\rangle$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \equiv \langle i | U_t^{\dagger} | f \rangle \ \langle f | U_t | i \rangle$$
  
Define  $E := |f\rangle \langle f| \implies \mathbb{P} = \langle i | U_t^{\dagger} E U_t | i \rangle$ 



• For a quantum system evolving under the unitary time evolution operator,  $U_t = T \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{1}{i} \int_{t_0}^t dt' H_{int}(t') \right) \right\}$ , with initial state,  $|i\rangle$ , and final state,  $|f\rangle$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \equiv \langle i | U_t^{\dagger} | f \rangle \langle f | U_t | i \rangle$$
  
Define  $E := |f\rangle \langle f | \implies \mathbb{P} = \langle i | U_t^{\dagger} E U_t | i \rangle$ 

• By an application of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff lemma,

$$\mathbb{P} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \langle i | \underbrace{[H_j, \dots [H_2, [H_1, E]] \dots]}_{j \text{ times}} | i \rangle$$

$$\frac{\text{MANCHESTER}}{1824}$$
The University of Manchester

Manifestly Causal QFT - Why is this useful?

 Retarded propagators appear in results, highlighting the causality of interactions,

$$\Delta_{ij}^{(\mathrm{R})} \coloneqq \Theta_{ij} \left< 0 \right| \; rac{1}{i} \left[ \phi_i, \phi_j 
ight] \; \left| 0 \right>$$



The University of Manchester

Manifestly Causal QFT - Why is this useful?

 Retarded propagators appear in results, highlighting the causality of interactions,

$$\Delta_{ij}^{(\mathrm{R})} \coloneqq \Theta_{ij} \left\langle 0 \right| \ \frac{1}{i} \left[ \phi_i, \phi_j \right] \ \left| 0 \right\rangle$$

 All final states are inherently summed over, via the completeness of states,

$$\sum_{\kappa} \ket{f}_{\kappa} \langle f 
vert = \mathbb{I}$$

This may mean that all infra-red divergences are intrinsically summed and cancel

#### Result 1 - Fermi Two-Level Atom

• Consider a two-level source atom, S, and a two-level detector atom, D, each coupled to a scalar field,  $\phi$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}}(t') = M^{\mathcal{S}}(t') \, \phi(\mathbf{x}^{\mathcal{S}},t') + M^{\mathcal{D}}(t') \, \phi(\mathbf{x}^{\mathcal{D}},t')$$



#### Result 1 - Fermi Two-Level Atom

• Consider a two-level source atom, S, and a two-level detector atom, D, each coupled to a scalar field,  $\phi$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm int}(t') = M^{S}(t') \phi(\mathbf{x}^{S}, t') + M^{D}(t') \phi(\mathbf{x}^{D}, t')$$

• We want to calculate something which should be **zero for space-like separations**, and insensitive to vacuum fluctuations,

$$\sigma_{pg} \coloneqq \mathbb{P}_p - \mathbb{P}_g$$



#### Result 1 - Fermi Two-Level Atom

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{pg} \supset & 2\sum_{n} |\mu_{pn}^{S}|^{2} |\mu_{qg}^{D}|^{2} \Big\{ \\ & \cos \omega_{qg}^{D} t_{12}^{\prime} \Big( \sin \omega_{pn}^{S} t_{34}^{\prime} \Delta_{24}^{DS(\mathrm{H})} + \cos \omega_{pn}^{S} t_{34}^{\prime} \Delta_{24}^{DS(\mathrm{R})} \Big) \Delta_{13}^{DS(\mathrm{R})} \\ & + \cos \omega_{qg}^{D} t_{12}^{\prime} \Big( \sin \omega_{pn}^{S} t_{34}^{\prime} \Delta_{14}^{DS(\mathrm{H})} + \cos \omega_{pn}^{S} t_{34}^{\prime} \Delta_{14}^{DS(\mathrm{R})} \Big) \Delta_{23}^{DS(\mathrm{R})} \\ & + \cos \omega_{qg}^{D} t_{13}^{\prime} \Big( \sin \omega_{pn}^{S} t_{24}^{\prime} \Delta_{34}^{DS(\mathrm{H})} + \cos \omega_{pn}^{S} t_{24}^{\prime} \Delta_{34}^{DS(\mathrm{R})} \Big) \Delta_{12}^{DS(\mathrm{R})} \\ & + \sin \omega_{pn}^{S} t_{23}^{\prime} \Big( \cos \omega_{qg}^{D} t_{14}^{\prime} \Delta_{34}^{SD(\mathrm{H})} + \sin \omega_{qg}^{D} t_{14}^{\prime} \Delta_{34}^{SD(\mathrm{R})} \Big) \Delta_{12}^{DS(\mathrm{R})} \Big\} \end{aligned}$$



The University of Manchester

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• Consider a massive scalar particle,  $\chi$ , decaying to any number of scalar particles,  $\phi$  and h,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{int}}(t') = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \, \left( \, g_\chi \, \phi^2 \, \chi + g_h \, \phi^2 \, h \, 
ight)$$



• Consider a massive scalar particle,  $\chi$ , decaying to any number of scalar particles,  $\phi$  and h,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}}(t') = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \left( g_{\chi} \, \phi^2 \, \chi + g_h \, \phi^2 \, h \right)$$

• This is a scalar field analogy for common particle scattering processes (e.g.  $Z \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ , with gluon corrections)



• Consider a massive scalar particle,  $\chi$ , decaying to any number of scalar particles,  $\phi$  and h,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}}(t') = \int \mathrm{d}^{3}\mathbf{x} \left( g_{\chi} \phi^{2} \chi + g_{h} \phi^{2} h \right)$$

- This is a scalar field analogy for common particle scattering processes (e.g.  $Z \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ , with gluon corrections)
- $E^{\phi} = \ket{q_1, q_2} \langle q_1, q_2 \ket{\implies}$  Same result  $\implies$  Method works!



• Consider a massive scalar particle,  $\chi$ , decaying to any number of scalar particles,  $\phi$  and h,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}}(t') = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \left( g_{\chi} \, \phi^2 \, \chi + g_h \, \phi^2 \, h \right)$$

- This is a scalar field analogy for common particle scattering processes (e.g.  $Z \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ , with gluon corrections)
- $E^{\phi} = \ket{q_1, q_2} \langle q_1, q_2 | \implies$  Same result  $\implies$  Method works!
- $E^{\phi} = \mathbb{I} \implies$  Interesting. Looks different.

MANCHESTER 1824

# Future Results

- Quantify the response of an accelerated Unruh-DeWitt detector in a vacuum (**Unruh effect**)
- Quantify the response of a detector on different trajectories in the **Schwarzschild metric**



# Thank you!



The University of Manchester

Manifestly Causal QF

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >