Results

## Continuum extrapolated high order baryon fluctuations Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 1 [Borsanyi:2023wno]

Jana N. Guenther, Szabolcs Borsanyi, Zoltan Fodor, Sandor D. Katz, Paolo Parotto, Attila Pasztor, David Pesznyak, Kalman K. Szabo and Chik Him Wong

August 2nd 2024



0.00

### Fluctuations on the lattice

$$\chi_{i,j,k}^{B,Q,S} = \frac{\partial^{i+j+k}(p/T^4)}{(\partial\hat{\mu}_B)^i(\partial\hat{\mu}_Q)^j(\partial\hat{\mu}_S)^k}, \ \hat{\mu}_i = \frac{\mu}{T}$$

$$\chi_i^B = \frac{\partial^i(p/T^4)}{(\partial\hat{\mu}_B)^i}$$

$$\chi_i^B = \frac{\partial^i(p/T^4)}{(\partial\hat{\mu}_B)^i}$$

0.30

[Borsanyi:2018grb]

0.00





#### 2 State of the art





# Why fluctuations?

Results

## The Equation of State from fluctuations





[Bollweg:2022fqq] From Taylorexpansion



From Padé approximants

## Why fluctuations?

 $\blacksquare$  Extrapolation of Equation of State to finite  $\mu \longrightarrow$  important for heavy ion collision phenomenology



Experimental signature for critical endpoint: non-monotonic behavior of  $\frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}(\mu_B)$ ([Stephanov:2011pb], [Mroczek:2020rpm])

Experimental signature for critical endpoint: non-monotonic behavior of  $\frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}(\mu_B)$ ([Stephanov:2011pb], [Mroczek:2020rpm])



Experimental signature for critical endpoint: non-monotonic behavior of  $\frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B}(\mu_B)$ ([Stephanov:2011pb], [Mroczek:2020rpm])



190 200

180

140 150 160 170

130

Experimental signature for critical end-Search for the critical endpoint with lattice non-monotonic behavior of  $\frac{\chi_4}{\chi_B^E}(\mu_B)$ QCD by looking at Lee-Yang-Zeros (for exampoint: ple [Giordano:2019slo], [Mukherjee:2019eou], ([Stephanov:2011pb], [Mroczek:2020rpm]) [Giordano:2019gev]. [Basar:2021hdf]) Central Au + Au Collisions STAR (0 - 5%) Ratio C<sub>4</sub>/C<sub>2</sub> net-protor (N) < 0.5, 0.4 < p (GeWe) < 2.01 [Dimopoulos:2021vrk] [L 2.0 ||u||1.5 [STAR:2021fge] 0.0 net · proton -1-Ĥ1.V 10 A < 0 (Deblie) < 2 0 RW scaling 50 200 100 ---- chiral scaling Collision Energy VS (GeV) ---- CEP scaling  $1.20 - \chi^{B}/\gamma$  $Re[\mu_B/T]$ 16<sup>3</sup>x8 20<sup>3</sup>x10 Talk at 12:15 by 1.00 24<sup>3</sup>v12 -  $T_c = 1.0^{+17.1}_{-22.7}$ Tatsuya Wada 0.80 [Borsanvi:2023wno] m((μ<sub>LY</sub>/T)<sup>2</sup>)<sup>(1/β6</sup> y<sup>2</sup>...:1.08396 12:35 0.60 and 2 0.40 Alexander Adam 0.20 T [MeV] -50 50 100 150 200 0.00 T (MeV)

bv

## Why fluctuations?

 $\blacksquare$  Extrapolation of Equation of State to finite  $\mu \longrightarrow$  important for heavy ion collision phenomenology

Sensitive to criticallity both in experiment and theory



#### Resonances from fluctuations



[Alba:2017mqu] see also: [Majumder:2010ik], [Bazavov:2014xya]



## Why fluctuations?

 $\blacksquare$  Extrapolation of Equation of State to finite  $\mu \longrightarrow$  important for heavy ion collision phenomenology

Sensitive to criticallity both in experiment and theory

San be used to search for new resonances in the Hadron spectrum



Results

#### Fluctuations and Heavy Ion collision experiments



[Borsanyi:2020fev]



## Why fluctuations?

 $\blacksquare$  Extrapolation of Equation of State to finite  $\mu \longrightarrow$  important for heavy ion collision phenomenology

Sensitive to criticallity both in experiment and theory

O Can be used to search for new resonances in the Hadron spectrum

Comparison to freeze-out physics in heavy ion collisions







<sup>3</sup> Our set-up and analysis



## $\chi_2^B$ and $\chi_4^B$ in the continuum





14/25

#### State of the art

Our set-up and analysis

Results

## $\chi_6^B$ and $\chi_8^B$ on finite lattices



 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{DElia:2016jqh} \end{bmatrix} \\ N_t = 6, \text{ 2stout} \end{bmatrix}$ 







Ns













 $\frac{1}{T}$ 

















 $\frac{1}{T}$ 















#### Lattice set-up



- 4Hex + dbw2 action
- lattices:  $16^3 \times 8$ ,  $20^3 \times 10$ ,  $24^3 \times 12$
- $\mu_{S} = 0$
- scale setting with  $f_{\pi}$  and  $w_1$
- Exponential definition of the chemical potential (introduced like a constant imaginary gauge field) → derivatives with respect to the chemical potential can be shown to be UV finite by virtue of a U(1) symmetry [Hasenfratz:1983ba]

## Systematic errors

We have to deal with systematic errors from the continuum extrapolation and the scale setting.

	tn.	t i	
	<b>UI V</b>		

State of the art

Our set-up and analysis

Results

#### Systematic errors

We have to deal with systematic errors from the continuum extrapolation and the scale setting. Scale setting is done with  $f_{\pi}$  and  $w_1$ .

$$W(t)|_{t=w_1^2} = 0.7 , W(t) \equiv t \frac{d}{dt} \{ t^2 \langle E(t) \rangle \}$$
  
1.53
1.52
1.51
1.50
1.49
1.48
1.47
0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35

## Systematic errors

We have to deal with systematic errors from the continuum extrapolation and the scale setting. Scale setting is done with  $f_{\pi}$  and  $w_1$ . Continuum extrapolation:

$$W(t)|_{t=w_1^2} = 0.7 , W(t) \equiv t \frac{d}{dt} \{ t^2 \langle E(t) \rangle \}$$
  
1.53
  
1.52
  
1.51
  
1.50
  
1.49
  
1.48
  
1.47
  
.cont. w<sub>1</sub>/w<sub>0</sub> = 1.515(1)
  
0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35

$$\hat{O}(T, 1/N_{\tau}^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( lpha_i + eta_i rac{1}{N_{\tau}^2} 
ight) s_i(T) \; ,$$

 $s_i$ : set of basis spline function. We take three different sets of node points.

#### Systematic errors

We have to deal with systematic errors from the continuum extrapolation and the scale setting. Scale setting is done with  $f_{\pi}$  and  $w_1$ . Continuum extrapolation:

$$W(t)|_{t=w_1^2} = 0.7 , W(t) \equiv t \frac{d}{dt} \{ t^2 \langle E(t) \rangle \}$$
  
1.53
  
1.52
  
1.51
  
1.50
  
1.49
  
1.49
  
1.48
  
1.47
  
 $cont. w_1/w_0 = 1.515(1)$ 
  
 $a^2/w_0^2$ 
  
 $a^2/w_0^2$ 
  
 $a^2/w_0^2$ 
  
 $a^2/w_0^2$ 

$$\hat{O}(T, 1/N_{\tau}^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( lpha_i + eta_i rac{1}{N_{\tau}^2} 
ight) s_i(T) \; ,$$

 $s_i$ : set of basis spline function. We take three different sets of node points.

The final results are obtained by combining the  $6 = 2 \times 3$  analyses to construct a histogram.





<sup>3</sup> Our set-up and analysis





























Results







Results







Results







Results













Results



Results



Results



Results





Results





Results





## Strangness neutrality: $\langle n_S \rangle = 0 - Continuum$ results







## Strangness neutrality: $\langle n_S \rangle = 0$ – Continuum results







## Strangness neutrality: $\langle n_S \rangle = 0$ –Comparision with different actions





#### Conclusion



- First continuum extrapolated results for high order baryon number fluctuations
- A 4Hex + dbw2 action allowed for a continuum limit from  $N_t = 8, 10, 12$
- With LT = 2 the volume effects are under control in the low temperature region.

ixesuiu	

T [MeV]	$16^3  imes 8$	$20^3  imes 10$	$24^3  imes 12$
130	31741	71090	68689
135	33528	106403	66960
140	34977	69690	75229
145	336975	188571	111435
150	65374	108481	81590
155	34057	96985	89559
160	37145	68619	94053
165	156044	67668	98744
170	34397	42314	11831
175	34180	36522	12089
180	30594	25229	12727
185	30951	18396	13066
190	30293	18267	7141
195	31276	15008	7199
200	31919	13346	7390

Table: Number of configurations analyzed on our three lattice geometries.