## **QCD** Topology, axions and electromagnetic fields



Helmholtz Graduate School for Hadron and Ion Research



### Lattice 2024, Liverpool, 29th July 2024 B. B. Brandt, G. Endrődi, J. J. Hernández Hernández, G. Markó and L. Pannullo















#### Classification of gluon field configurations











#### Classification of gluon field configurations



Index theorem

Chirality + magnetic fields









#### Classification of gluon field configurations



Index theorem

Chirality + magnetic fields

Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME)









### Classification of gluon field configurations



Intimately related to axions

$$\theta(x) \equiv \frac{a(x)}{f_a}$$









### Classification of gluon field configurations



Intimately related to axions

$$\theta(x) \equiv \frac{a(x)}{f_a}$$









### $Q_{top} \in \mathbb{Z}$



![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

 $Q_{top} \in \mathbb{Z}$   $Q_{top} = N_R - N_L$ 

Index theorem

## Outline

Topology with magnetic fields Topological susceptibility Topology with electromagnetic fields

Axion-photon coupling

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

### What happens to $Q_{top}$ ? $\searrow$ S still CP symmetric, so $\langle Q_{top} \rangle (B) = 0$

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Let's turn on a magnetic field!

It can couple to the magnetic field!

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

What happens to  $Q_{top}$ ?  $\longrightarrow$  S still CP symmetric, so  $\langle Q_{top} \rangle (B) = 0$ 

But  $\chi_{top}$  is CP symmetric...

## Let's turn on a magnetic field!

But  $\chi_{top}$  is CP symmetric...

It can couple to the magnetic field!

#### Perturbatively

ChPT [4]:  $\chi_{top} \propto B^2$ , for  $eB \ll m_{\pi}^2$ , T = 0

 $\chi_{top}(B) > \chi_{top}(0)$ 

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

What happens to  $Q_{top}$ ?  $S still CP symmetric, so <math>\langle Q_{top} \rangle (B) = 0$ 

But  $\chi_{top}$  is CP symmetric...

It can couple to the magnetic field!

#### Perturbatively

ChPT [4]:  $\chi_{top} \propto B^2$ , for  $eB \ll m_{\pi}^2$ , T = 0

 $\chi_{top}(B) > \chi_{top}(0)$ 

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

What happens to  $Q_{top}$ ? S = S = S = S Still CP symmetric, so  $\langle Q_{top} \rangle (B) = 0$ 

![](_page_17_Picture_10.jpeg)

Non-perturbatively + finite T

#### That's our goal!

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

Staggered quarks have no exact zero modes!

$$Q_{\rm top} = N_R - N_L$$

Index theorem

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

Staggered quarks have no exact zero modes!

To correct for it, we reweight  $\det M$  by [1]

$$Q_{\rm top} = N_R - N_L$$

Index theorem

 $\prod_{f} \prod_{j=1}^{4|Q_{top}|} \prod_{\sigma=\pm} \left( \frac{m_f}{i\sigma\lambda_{f,j} + m_f} \right)^{n_f/4}$ 

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

Staggered quarks have no exact zero modes!

To correct for it, we reweight  $\det M$  by [1]

Renormalisation of the gluon fields

$$Q_{\rm top} = N_R - N_L$$

Index theorem

 $\prod_{f} \prod_{j=1}^{4|Q_{top}|} \prod_{\sigma=\pm} \left( \frac{m_f}{i\sigma\lambda_{f,j} + m_f} \right)^{n_f/4}$ 

#### Wilson flow [7]

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

Staggered quarks have no exact zero modes!

To correct for it, we reweight  $\det M$  by [1]

4|Qtop j=1

Renormalisation of the gluon fields

$$Q_{\rm top} = N_R - N_L$$

Index theorem

$$\left(\frac{m_f}{i\sigma\lambda_{f,j}+m_f}\right)^{n_f/4}$$

### Wilson flow [7]

2+1 improved staggered quarks at the physical point

![](_page_22_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Topology on the lattice

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $T = 150 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

## $\chi_{top}$ at finite magnetic field: low T

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

## $\chi_{top}$ at finite magnetic field: low T

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $T = 112 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

### So we will have $\langle Q_{top} \rangle (E,B) \neq 0!$

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

### So we will have $\langle Q_{top} \rangle (E,B) \neq 0!$

Both  $Q_{top}$  and  $\chi_{top}$  respond to E and B

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Both $Q_{top}$ and $\chi_{top}$ respond to E and B

#### For sufficiently weak EM fields

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

### So we will have $\langle Q_{top} \rangle (E,B) \neq 0!$

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Both $Q_{top}$ and $\chi_{top}$ respond to E and B

#### For sufficiently weak EM fields

### $Q_{\rm top} + Q_{EM} = N_R - N_L$

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

### So we will have $\langle Q_{top} \rangle (E,B) \neq 0!$

## $\langle Q_{\rm top} \rangle (E,B) \approx g \overrightarrow{E} \cdot \overrightarrow{B}$

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\frac{\langle a \rangle}{f_a} + \theta = 0$ 

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Axions couple to

 $G_{\mu
u} ilde{G}^{\mu
u}$ 

 $\frac{\langle a \rangle}{f_a} + \theta = 0$ 

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Axions couple to

 $G_{\mu
u} ilde{G}^{\mu
u}$ 

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

 $\frac{\langle a \rangle}{f_a} + \theta = 0$ 

![](_page_35_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Axions couple to

 $F_{\mu
u} ilde{F}^{\mu
u}$ 

 $G_{\mu
u} ilde{G}^{\mu
u}$ 

a  $\chi_{\rm top} = m_a^2 f_a^2$  $\theta \leftrightarrow$ 

### **Axions?** Where?

 $\frac{\langle a \rangle}{f_a} + \theta = 0$ 

# $\langle Q_{\rm top} \rangle (E,B) \approx g \overrightarrow{E} \cdot \overrightarrow{B}$

Axion-photon coupling!

![](_page_36_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Axions couple to

 $F_{\mu
u} ilde{F}^{\mu
u}$ 

 $G_{\mu
u} ilde{G}^{\mu
u}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

### **Axions?** Where?

 $\frac{\langle a \rangle}{f_a} + \theta = 0$ 

 $g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{model}} + g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{QCD}}$  $\langle Q_{\rm top} \rangle (E,B) \approx g \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$ 

**Axion-photon coupling!** 

![](_page_37_Picture_10.jpeg)

 $\chi_{\text{top}} = m_a^2 f_a^2$  ChPT (NLO) [6]:  $g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{QCD}} f_a = -0.0243(5) e^2$ 

![](_page_37_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

## What about reweighting $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ ?

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

### We can also try to reweight $\det M$ for the coupling, but...

## What about reweighting $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ ?

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### We can also try to reweight $\det M$ for the coupling, but...

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

## What about reweighting $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ ?

### Overlap problem!

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### We can also try to reweight $\det M$ for the coupling, but...

#### Solution?

## What about reweighting $g_{ayy}$ ?

![](_page_42_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Partial reweighting

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### We can also try to reweight det M for the coupling, but...

#### Solution?

#### Caveat: sometimes too many eigenvalues!

## What about reweighting $g_{ayy}$ ?

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Partial reweighting

### Approximate the reweighting factor: Lanczos quadrature

![](_page_43_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_12.jpeg)

## Effect of the partial reweighting

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $24^3 \times 32, T = 0$ 

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

## $\partial_{\mu}J_{5}^{\mu} = 2m\bar{\psi}\gamma_{5}\psi + 2q_{top} + 2q_{em}$

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

## $0 = mV_4\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi + Q_{\rm top} + Q_{em}$

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

## $0 = mV_4 \langle \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi \rangle_0 + N_c \langle Q_{em} \rangle_0$

## AWI with EM fields

## $0 = mV_4 \langle \bar{\psi}\gamma_5 \psi \rangle_{EB} + \langle Q_{\rm top} \rangle_{EB} + N_c \langle Q_{em} \rangle_{EB}$

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

## $0 = mV_4 \langle \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi \rangle_0 + N_c \langle Q_{em} \rangle_0$

## AWI with EM fields

## $0 = mV_4 \langle \bar{\psi}\gamma_5 \psi \rangle_{EB} + \langle Q_{\rm top} \rangle_{EB} + N_c \langle Q_{em} \rangle_{EB}$

![](_page_50_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

 $g_{a\gamma\gamma}f_a/e^2 = \frac{\langle Q_{\rm top} \rangle_{EB}}{e^2 \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}}$ 

 $g_{a\gamma\gamma}f_a/e^2 \propto \frac{\langle \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi \rangle_{EB}}{\langle \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi \rangle_0} - 1$ 

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Free case

![](_page_53_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Summary

How EM fields affect topological observables

• First non-perturbative calculation of the axion-photon coupling

Investigate the reweighting (exact and approximate) and the AWI method for the axion-photon coupling

- $\odot$  First non-perturbative calculation of the dependence of  $\chi_{top}$  with the magnetic field at finite temperatures (publication coming soon!)

## Outlook

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thank you for your attention

## temperature lattice quantum chromodynamics. Nature 539, 69–71 (2016).

[2] Yao-Yuan Mao and Ting-Wai Chiu (TWQCD Collaboration). Topological susceptibility to the one-loop order in chiral perturbation theory. Phys. Rev. D 80, 034502 (2009).

[3] David J. Gross, Robert D. Pisarski, and Laurence G. Yaffe. QCD and instantons at finite temperature. Rev. Mod. Phys. 53, 43 (1981).

[4] Prabal Adhikari. Topological susceptibility in a uniform magnetic field. Phys. Lett. B 825, 136826 (2022).

[5] G. S. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrődi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz, and A. Schäfer. QCD quark condensate in external magnetic fields. Phys. Rev. D 86, 071502(R), (2012).

[6] Giovanni Grilli di Cortona, Edward Hardy, Javier Pardo Vega and Giovanni Villadoro. The <u>QCD axion, precisely</u>. JHEP 01 034, (2016).

[7] Martin Lüscher. Properties and uses of the Wilson flow in lattice QCD. JHEP 08 071 (2010) 21

### References

[1] Borsanyi, S., Fodor, Z., Guenther, J. et al. Calculation of the axion mass based on high-

![](_page_56_Picture_9.jpeg)

## EM response of $Q_{top}$

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $40^3 \times 48$ , T = 0

#### $T = 112 \,\mathrm{MeV}, eB = 0 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Effect of the reweighing

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

### $T = 112 \,\mathrm{MeV}, eB = 0.5 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Window reweighting

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_3.jpeg)

## But topological observables can be very sensitive!

![](_page_62_Picture_4.jpeg)

## But topological observables can be very sensitive!

## To LO in ChPT [6]: $\frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{phys}}}{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{sym}}} = \frac{2}{5} \frac{m_u + 4m_d}{m_u + m_d} \approx 1.21$

![](_page_63_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### But topological observables can be very sensitive!

#### To LO in ChPT [6]: $g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\rm phys}$ $2 m_u + 4 m_d$ $\approx 1.21$ $\sigma^{\text{sym}}$ $8_{a\gamma\gamma}^{s\gamma m}$ 5 $m_u + m_d$

![](_page_64_Figure_4.jpeg)