Dilaton Forbidden Dark Matter arXiv:2404.07601

James Ingoldby

Durham University, IPPP

August 2, 2024



1 Introduction

2 Dilaton EFT

3 Relic Density

4 Summary and Outlook

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三日= のへで

Dilaton El

Relic Density

Summary and Outlook

Composite Dark Matter

Talk based on arXiv:2404.07601 with T. Appelquist and M. Piai.

I want to talk about a description of DM, in which the DM is a composite particle that forms in a new dark sector gauge theory.



Figure: Dark pion (image: Kavli IPMU).

The dark sector gauge theory interacts *very* feebly with the standard model. Dark matter is a composite state, analogous to the pion of QCD.

The Program in a Nutshell

- Suppose the dark sector is a near-conformal gauge theory, and dark matter is its pion.
- Lattice studies indicate the low energy spectrum of these gauge theories have a light scalar. Unlike the pion, the light scalar carries no conserved charges and so can decay (slowly) to standard model.
- Nevertheless, the pions can annihilate readily into the scalars, so the freezeout of this process can set the relic density of pions.
- These low energy states may be described using dilaton EFT, which has successfully been used to fit lattice data.

The Program in a Nutshell

- Suppose the dark sector is a near-conformal gauge theory, and dark matter is its pion.
- Lattice studies indicate the low energy spectrum of these gauge theories have a light scalar. Unlike the pion, the light scalar carries no conserved charges and so can decay (slowly) to standard model.
- Nevertheless, the pions can annihilate readily into the scalars, so the freezeout of this process can set the relic density of pions.
- These low energy states may be described using dilaton EFT, which has successfully been used to fit lattice data.
- In the following, specialise to SU(3) gauge theory with $N_f = 8$ fermions for concreteness.

▲ ∃ ► ∃ =

Introduction

Relic Density

Summary and Outlook

The SU(3) Gauge Theory with $N_f = 8$ Fundamental Fermions



Figure: Lattice data for the spectrum from the LSD collaboration: 2306.06095

James Ingoldby

Dilaton EFT ●0 Relic Density

Summary and Outlook

Dilaton EFT

Reviewed in Universe 9 (2023) 1, 10 with T. Appelquist and M. Piai.

Leading order Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \chi \right)^{2} + \frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{4} \left(\frac{\chi}{f_{d}} \right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\partial_{\mu} \Sigma \partial^{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger} \right] + \frac{m B_{\pi} f_{\pi}^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{\chi}{f_{d}} \right)^{y} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger} \right] - V_{\Delta}(\chi) \,. \quad (1)$$

- pNGB terms are similar to those in chiral Lagrangian.
- Dependence on dilaton field χ is determined by scale invariance.
- See dilaton EFT of Golterman & Shamir: PRD 94 (2016).

SIN NOR

| Introduction 000 | Dilaton EFT o● | Relic Density 0000000 | Summary and Outlook |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |

Dilaton Potential

The dilaton field χ experiences a net potential of

$$W(\chi) \equiv V_{\Delta}(\chi) - \frac{M_{\pi}^2 F_{\pi}^2 N_f}{2} \left(\frac{\chi}{F_d}\right)^{\gamma} .$$
 (2)

Fits to lattice data and theoretical arguments indicate that $y \sim 2$ LSD collab: PRD **108** (2023) 9, 9, R. Zwicky: PRD **109** (2024) 3, 034009.

Expand potential around its minimum $\chi = F_d + \bar{\chi}$:

$$W(\bar{\chi}) = \text{constant} + \frac{M_d^2}{2}\bar{\chi}^2 + \frac{\gamma}{3!}\frac{M_d^2}{F_d}\bar{\chi}^3 + \dots, \qquad (3)$$

where $\gamma \ge 2$ (from unitarity bound) GGS PRL.100 111802, (2008) and γ cannot be too large for EFT to remain weakly coupled.

| Introduction | Dilaton EFT | Relic Density | Summary and Outlook |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|
| 000 | 00 | ●0000000 | |
| | | | |

Freezeout

We represent the small splitting between dilaton and pion masses with

$$\delta \equiv \frac{M_d - M_\pi}{M_\pi} \,. \tag{4}$$

We take $0 < \delta < 1/2$, as seen in lattice data.

Also make $\Gamma_{\chi \to SM}$ large enough to maintain $n_{\chi} = n_{\chi}^{eq}$ (more on SM couplings in backup).

The relic density is then set by $\pi\pi \to \chi\chi$ annihilations freezing out:

Boltzmann Equation

$$\frac{\partial n_{\pi}}{\partial t} + 3Hn_{\pi} = -\left\langle \sigma_{2\pi \to 2\chi} v \right\rangle n_{\pi}^{2} + \left\langle \sigma_{2\chi \to 2\pi} v \right\rangle \left(n_{\chi}^{\text{eq}} \right)^{2} \,. \tag{5}$$

We solve numerically to get the relic density of pions today.

3 N 2 1 2 N 0 0

Dilaton El

Relic Density

Summary and Outlook

Thermally Averaged Cross Sections

The inverse annihilation process $\chi\chi \rightarrow \pi\pi$ can happen for zero kinetic energy in the initial state, because $\delta > 0$. We compute its cross section using dilaton EFT:



For $T \ll M_{\pi}$, the thermal averaged x-section \approx x-section at $\vec{p} = 0$:

$$\langle \sigma_{2\chi\to 2\pi} \mathbf{v} \rangle = \frac{M_{\pi}^2 N_{\pi}}{36\pi F_d^4} \sqrt{\delta(2+\delta)} (1+\delta) (5+\gamma)^2 \,. \tag{6}$$

| Introduction | Dilaton EFT | Relic Density | Summary and Outlook |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|
| 000 | 00 | 00●00000 | |
| | | | |

Forbidden Dark Matter

However, the calculation of the thermal average $\langle \sigma_{2\pi \to 2\chi} v \rangle$ is less straightforward, as this reaction is kinematically forbidden when pions have zero momentum (or at T = 0).

In this case, taking the thermal average leads to an exponential suppression of the cross section. For $x = M_{\pi}/T$, we have

$$\langle \sigma_{2\pi \to 2\chi} v \rangle = \frac{(1+\delta)^3}{N_{\pi}^2} e^{-2\delta x} \langle \sigma_{2\chi \to 2\pi} v \rangle .$$
(7)

The dark matter relic abundance is set through annihilations to heavier states that are kinematically forbidden at T = 0. This framework is an example of forbidden DM Griest & Seckel: PRD 43, 3191 (1991), D'Agnolo & Ruderman: PRL 115, 061301 (2015)

Dilaton El 00

Relic Density

Summary and Outlook

Solving the Boltzmann Equation



We plot solution taking the scale as $M_{\pi} = 1$ GeV, with parameters $M_{\pi}/F_{\pi} = 4$, $F_{\pi}^2/F_d^2 = 0.1$, $\delta = 0.3$, $\gamma = 3$ and y = 2.

Plot using convenient variables:

$$Y_{\pi} = n_{\pi}/s$$

 $x = M_{\pi}/T$

High temp boundary condition $Y_{\pi}(T_i) = n_{\pi}^{eq}(T_i)/s(T_i).$

Before freezeout, $Y_{\pi} \approx n_{\pi}^{eq}(T)/s(T)$.

After freezeout Y_{π} roughly constant.

$$\Omega_{
m CDM} h^2 = rac{M_\pi s_0 Y_\pi(\infty)}{
ho_c/h^2}\,,$$

Dilaton EF

Relic Density

Summary and Outlook

Parameter Space



- Bands indicate parameter space for which $\Omega_{CDM}h^2$ is within 10% of its observed value.
- Range of DM masses allowed. Lighter than typical WIMPs, due to forbidden mechanism.
- Pale shaded regions excluded due to upper bounds on $\frac{\sigma}{M_{\pi}}(\pi\pi \to \pi\pi)$ e.g. from bullet cluster...

Dilaton EF

Relic Density

Summary and Outlook

Freezeout Temperature



Figure: Plot of $x_f = M_{\pi}/T_f$ as a function of the dark-matter mass. The mass splitting δ has been adjusted to ensure that the dark matter relic density is equal to its observed value.

| Introduction | Dilaton EFT | Relic Density | Summary and Outlook |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|
| 000 | 00 | 000000●0 | |
| | | | |

Coupling to Visible Sector

At the level of dilaton EFT, the necessary couplings take the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \epsilon F_d^{4-d_{\text{SM}}} \left(\frac{\bar{\chi}}{F_d}\right) \mathcal{O}_{\text{SM}} \,, \tag{8}$$

where ϵ are weak, dimensionless constants, and \mathcal{O}_{SM} are singlet, scalar operators involving light SM fields (e.g $\mathcal{O} = F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$).

- The dilaton couplings are not constrained by the form of the SM energy momentum tensor (as dilaton is a composite of dark sector, and not SM dofs).
- 2 Bounds exist for specific subsets of these couplings from astrophysics, CMB, collider experiments. We leave this for future work.
- 3 We can however derive a more model independent constraint...



Consistency Condition

- The inclusive decay rate Γ_{χ→SM} must be large enough to bring the dark sector and SM into thermal equilibrium long before freezeout.
- 2 The decay rate must also be small enough so that direct annihilations $\pi\pi \rightarrow SM$ do not overwhelm forbidden annihilations to dilatons.



Two-Sided Bound on the Inclusive Decay Rate

$$H_{T=M_{\pi}} \lesssim \Gamma_{\chi \to \mathsf{SM}} \lesssim H_{T=T_f} \frac{M_{\pi} N_{\pi} F_d^2}{n_{\pi}^{\mathsf{eq}}(T_f)}, \qquad (9)$$

ELE SQA

Summary and Outlook

- B We have proposed a description of composite DM.
- The DM is a pion of a nearly conformal gauge theory, and the dilaton plays the role of a mediator with the standard model.
- We have used a dilaton EFT to describe these states and their scattering cross sections.
- ^{IIII} Our framework naturally implements the forbidden dark matter mechanism. The DM is a thermal relic with abundance set by forbidden $\pi\pi \to \chi\chi$ annihilations. The framework accommodates a wide range of DM masses: $M_{\pi} \sim 10$ MeV – 100 GeV.

Summary and Outlook

- B We have proposed a description of composite DM.
- The DM is a pion of a nearly conformal gauge theory, and the dilaton plays the role of a mediator with the standard model.
- We have used a dilaton EFT to describe these states and their scattering cross sections.
- ^{ISS} Our framework naturally implements the forbidden dark matter mechanism. The DM is a thermal relic with abundance set by forbidden $\pi\pi \to \chi\chi$ annihilations. The framework accommodates a wide range of DM masses: $M_{\pi} \sim 10$ MeV – 100 GeV.
- Lattice studies can reveal qualitative features of new dark sectors.

ELE SOC

Thank you!



Lattice Action

- Our numerical calculations use improved nHYP smeared staggered fermions with smearing parameters $\alpha = (0.5, 0.5, 0.4)$. [LSD PRD 99(2019)014509]
- $\beta_A / \beta_F = -0.25$ where $\beta_F = 4.8$.
- After taste splitting, only $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ flavor symmetry preserved in massless theory (3 exact NGBs).
- Spectral study has revealed that the taste splitting of the 63-plet masses are on the order of 20–30%. [LSD PRD 99(2019)014509]

Summary of Improvements to Lattice Dataset Presented in 2306.06095

Since the previous LSD study of the $N_f = 8$ theory PRD 99 (2019) 014509, we have made some changes.

- **1** We have data for a new observable: The scalar decay constant F_S .
- 2 We have extrapolated the quantities M_{π} , F_{π} , M_{σ} (and also F_{S}) to the infinite volume limit.
- 3 We have improved our estimates of systematic uncertainties using Bayesian Model Averaging Jay, Neil PRD 103 (2021) 114502
- The $N_f = 8$ spectrum has also been calculated before in LatKMI PRD 96 (2017) 014508

3 N 2 1 2 N 0 0

Result Of Global Fit to dEFT

| Parameter | Value and Uncertainty |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| у | 2.091(32) |
| aB_{π} | 2.45(13) |
| Δ | 3.06(41) |
| $a^2 f_\pi^2$ | $6.1(3.2) 	imes 10^{-5}$ |
| f_{π}^2/f_d^2 | 0.1023(35) |
| m_d^2/f_d^2 | 1.94(65) |
| $\chi^2/{ m dof}$ | 21.3/19=1.12 |

Table: Central values of fit parameters obtained in a six parameter global fit to LSD data for $M_{\pi,d}^2$, $F_{\pi,S}^2$ and scattering length.

ELE SOC

Corrections to Scaling



Figure: Lattice data from 2306.06095, indicating corrections to scaling. In a mass-deformed CFT (at the fixed point), dimensionless ratios of quantities should be independent of quark mass.

-

NLO Corrections in dEFT

We do not have complete NLO calculations for all our observables in dEFT.

Some of these corrections will likely come suppressed by $M_{\pi}^2/(4\pi F_{\pi})^2$.

Lets take a phenomenological approach and add a contribution to the observable that shows the largest tension in the fit:

$$M_{\pi}a_{0}^{(2)} = \frac{-M_{\pi}^{2}}{16\pi F_{\pi}^{2}} \left(1 - (y - 2)^{2}\frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{f_{d}^{2}}\frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{M_{d}^{2}} + \frac{I_{a}M_{\pi}^{2}}{(4\pi F_{\pi})^{2}}\right), \qquad (10)$$

We neglect potential chiral logs.

5/6

Interpretation of Δ



1 Strongly coupled over large interval of scales \implies possibility of large anomalous dimensions. Note our lattice fits showed $y \approx 2$.

Interpretation of Δ



- **1** Strongly coupled over large interval of scales \implies possibility of large anomalous dimensions. Note our lattice fits showed $y \approx 2$.
- 2 Allows for new relevant interactions besides (near marginal) gauge interaction.

Interpretation of Δ



- **1** Strongly coupled over large interval of scales \implies possibility of large anomalous dimensions. Note our lattice fits showed $y \approx 2$.
- 2 Allows for new relevant interactions besides (near marginal) gauge interaction.
- **3** Δ should be identified with the engineering plus anomalous dimension of this new relevant operator.
- **4** We are agnostic about the value of Δ . See theoretical arguments for $\Delta = 2$ [Zwicky] and $\Delta \rightarrow 4$ [Golterman and Shamir].