Conclusions

Renormalisation Group Equations for 2+1 clover Fermions

K. U. Can, R. Horsley, P. E. L. Rakow, G. Schierholz, H. Stüben, R. D. Young, J. M. Zanotti

> - QCDSF-UKQCD Collaboration - Adelaide - Edinburgh - Liverpool - DESY - Hamburg

Lattice 2024, Liverpool, UK

2 August 15:35



QCDSF related talks with 2 + 1 flavours:

- Utku Can 2 August 15:55 Updates on the parity-odd structure function of the nucleon from the Compton amplitude
- Joshua Crawford

1 August 10:20

Transverse Force Distributions in the Proton from Lattice QCD

Conclusions

Introduction

Problem:

Usually make individual fits at a particular lattice spacing and then extrapolate to the continuum limit – noisy procedure

Here:

Try to use Renormalisation Group (RG) to 'smooth' data more globally before determining (eg) a



Scaling:

Lines of constant physics (eg constant mass ratios) passing through our parameter space $% \left({{{\rm{c}}} \right)_{\rm{c}}} \right)$

• Constant physics trajectory: Measure relative rate at which lattice spacing *a* changes by monitoring rate at which correlation lengths change as we move along the trajectory

- Overall scale: Λ^{lat}
- Work in progress

Approach

Conclusions

Deriving the RG equation – Wilson type fermions

In g_0 , κ_q space

$$\left. a rac{\partial}{\partial a}
ight|_{_{
m physics}} = U(g_0, \{\kappa\}) \left. rac{\partial}{\partial g_0}
ight|_{\kappa} + \sum_q V_q(g_0, \{\kappa\}) \left. rac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_q}
ight|_{g_0}$$

• Re-write in terms of *am_q*

$$am_q \equiv rac{1}{2} \left(rac{1}{\kappa_q} - rac{1}{\kappa_{0c}(g_0)}
ight)$$

• Taylor expand U and V_q

This gives

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} a \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \right|_{_{\rm physics}} &= \left. B_0(g_0) \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial g_0} \right|_{_{\left\{am\right\}}} + B_1(g_0) a \overline{m} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial g_0} \right|_{_{\left\{am\right\}}} \\ &+ G_0(g_0) \sum_q am_q \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial am_q} \right|_{g_0} + H_0(g_0) a \overline{m} \sum_q \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial am_q} \right|_{g_0} \\ &+ G_1(g_0) a \overline{m} \sum_q am_q \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial am_q} \right|_{g_0} + G_2(g_0) \sum_q (am_q)^2 \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial am_q} \right|_{g_0} \\ &+ H_1(g_0) (a \overline{m})^2 \sum_q \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial am_q} \right|_{g_0} + H_2(g_0) a^2 \overline{m^2} \sum_q \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial am_q} \right|_{g_0} \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$a\overline{m} \equiv \frac{1}{n_f} \sum_{q} am_q \qquad a^2 \overline{m^2} \equiv \frac{1}{n_f} \sum_{q} a^2 m_q^2$$

- Coefficients: B_0 , G_0 , H_0 'renormalisation'
- Coefficients: B_1 , G_1 , G_2 , H_1 , H_2 'improvement'
- In principle treats renorm/improv terms in unified fashion

Drop $O(m^2)$ terms

$$\left. a \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \right|_{\text{physics}} = B_0(g_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial g_0} \left|_{\{am\}} + B_1(g_0) a \overline{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_0} \right|_{\{am\}} \\ + G_0(g_0) \sum_q a m_q \frac{\partial}{\partial a m_q} \left|_{g_0} + H_0(g_0) a \overline{m} \sum_q \frac{\partial}{\partial a m_q} \right|_{g_0}$$

Leading functions related to usual renormalisation coefficients

$$\begin{array}{lll} B_0(g_0) &=& -\beta(g_0) \\ G_0(g_0) &=& 1 - \gamma_m^{\rm NS}(g_0) \\ H_0(g_0) &=& \gamma_m^{\rm NS}(g_0) - \gamma_m^{\rm S}(g_0) \end{array}$$

•
$$eta(g_0) = -b_0 g_0^3 - b_1 g_0^5 - b_2^{ ext{lat}} g_0^7 + O(g_0^9)$$

• $\gamma_m^{_{\rm NS}}(g_0) = d_0 g_0^2 + d_1^{_{\rm NS\,lat}} g_0^4 + O(g_0^6); \ \gamma_m^{_{\rm S}}(g_0) = d_0 g_0^2 + d_1^{_{\rm S\,lat}} g_0^4 + O(g_0^6)$

•
$$B_1(g_0) = b_{10}^{\text{lat}}g_0^3 + O(g_0^5)$$

Solving the RG equation

(I) Renormalised masses

Wilson-like fermions (no chiral symmetry), so Singlet/Non-Singlet pieces evolve differently

$$m_q^{\text{rgi}} = (am_q - a\overline{m}) v(g_0) + a\overline{m} u(g_0) + O(m^2)$$

Solve the RG equation

$$\left. a \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \right|_{\text{physics}} m_q^{\text{rgi}} = 0$$

(I) Renormalised masses

Singlet and Non-Singlet pieces

$$\overline{m}^{\mathrm{rgi}} = a\overline{m} u(g_0) \qquad m_q^{\mathrm{rgi}} - \overline{m}^{\mathrm{rgi}} = (am_q - a\overline{m}) v(g_0)$$

$$u(g_0) = C(g_0) \exp\left[\int_0^{g_0} d\xi \left\{\frac{1}{b_0\xi^3} - \frac{b_1 + b_0d_0}{b_0^2\xi} - \frac{G_0(\xi) + H_0(\xi)}{B_0(\xi)}\right\}\right]$$

and

$$v(g_0) = C(g_0) \exp\left[\int_0^{g_0} d\xi \left\{\frac{1}{b_0\xi^3} - \frac{b_1 + b_0d_0}{b_0^2\xi} - \frac{G_0(\xi)}{B_0(\xi)}\right\}\right]$$

where

$$C(g_0) = \Lambda^{ ext{lat}} \left[2b_0 g_0^2
ight]^{rac{d_0}{2b_0}} \left[b_0 g_0^2
ight]^{rac{b_1}{2b_0^2}} \exp \left[rac{1}{2b_0 g_0^2}
ight]$$

Approach

Conclusions

(II) Coupling constant

(IIA) Changing lattice spacing

[ie include am in definition]

Setting

 $a = s(g_0) \left\{ 1 + a\overline{m} r(g_0) \right\}$

and solving

$$\left. a \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \right|_{\text{physics}} a = a \right.$$

gives

$$s(g_0) = A(g_0) \exp\left(\int_0^{g_0} d\xi \left\{\frac{1}{B_0(\xi)} - \frac{1}{b_0\xi^3} + \frac{b_1}{b_0^2\xi}\right\}\right),$$

$$r(g_0) = -u(g_0) \int_0^{g_0} d\xi \frac{B_1(\xi)}{u(\xi)B_0^2(\xi)} \stackrel{=}{\underset{g_0^2 \to 0}{=}} -\frac{b_{10}^{\text{lat}}}{b_0}g_0^2 + O(g_0^4)$$

with

$$A(g_0) = \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\text{iat}}} \left[b_0 g_0^2 \right]^{-\frac{b_1}{2b_0^2}} \exp\left[- \frac{1}{2b_0 g_0^2} \right]$$

Approach

Conclusions

(IIB) Alternatively: Changing coupling constant eg ALPHA arXiv:2401.00216

Setting

$$\tilde{g}_0^2 = g_0^2 \left\{ 1 + b_g(g_0) a \overline{m} \right\}$$

and solving

$$\left. \mathsf{a} \left. rac{\partial}{\partial \mathsf{a}} \right|_{ ext{physics}} ilde{g}_0 = B_0(ilde{g}_0)$$

gives

$$b_g(g_0) = 2 rac{B_0(g_0)}{g_0} r(g_0)$$

Approach

Conclusions

[arXiv:1102.5300]

QCDSF strategy:

• Consider a flavour singlet quantity

 $X_S(m_u, m_d, m_s)$

For example

$$X_{\pi}^2 = rac{1}{3} \left(2M_K^2 + M_{\pi}^2
ight) , \quad X_{t_0}^2 = rac{1}{t_0} , \quad X_N^2 = rac{1}{3} \left(M_N^2 + M_{\Sigma}^2 + M_{\Xi}^2
ight)$$

- Invariant under *u*, *d*, *s* permutations (by definition)
- Stationary point about the SU(3) flavour symmetric line

$$\overline{m} = m_u = m_d = m_s$$

has the simple property:

 $X_{s}(\overline{m} + \delta m_{u}, \overline{m} + \delta m_{d}, \overline{m} + \delta m_{s}) = X_{s}(\overline{m}, \overline{m}, \overline{m}) + O((\delta m_{q})^{2})$

Lattice

- O(a) NP improved clover action
 - tree level Symanzik glue
 - mildy stout smeared 2 + 1 clover fermion
 - $\beta = \frac{10}{g_0^2} = 5.40, 5.50, 5.65, 5.80, 5.95 [24^3 \times 48, 32^3 \times 64, 48^3 \times 96]$





We have

 $X_s^{\text{lat}}(g_0, \overline{m}^{\text{rgi}}) = a(g_0, \overline{m}^{\text{rgi}}) X_s(\overline{m}^{\text{rgi}}) \qquad [M_\pi^{\text{lat}}(g_0, \overline{m}^{\text{rgi}}) = a(g_0, \overline{m}^{\text{rgi}}) M_\pi(\overline{m}^{\text{rgi}})]$

Write: $\overline{m}^{\rm rgi}/\Lambda^{\rm lat} \to \overline{m}^{\rm rgi}$ Conversion: $\overline{m}^{\rm rgi} = u(g_0)a\overline{m}$

$$\frac{X_{\pi}^{\text{lat 2}}}{X_{t_0}^{\text{lat 2}}} = \frac{X_{\pi}^2(\overline{m}^{\text{rgi}})}{X_{t_0}^2(\overline{m}^{\text{rgi}})} = \frac{X_{\pi}^2(0)\overline{m}^{\text{rgi}} + O(m^2)}{X_{t_0}^2(0) + O(m)} = \frac{X_{\pi}^2(0)}{X_{t_0}^2(0)}\overline{m}^{\text{rgi}} + \ldots = \frac{X_{\pi}^2(0)}{X_{t_0}^2(0)}u(g_0)a\overline{m} + \ldots$$

- $X_{\pi}^{\mathrm{lat}\,2}/X_{t_0}^{\mathrm{lat}\,2}$ proxy for $\overline{m}^{\mathrm{rgi}}$
- Can measure

$$D_{t_0}(g_0) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X_{\pi}^2(0)}{X_{t_0}^2(0)} u(g_0)$$

as gradient of $a\overline{m}$ or $1/\kappa_0$



Lattice scaling

- Compare lattice spacings as a function of β with matching physics (ie same $\overline{m}^{\rm rgi})$
- Plot y-axis

– proxy for \overline{m}^{rgi}

$$y_{t_0} = rac{X_\pi^{ ext{lat}\,2}}{X_{t_0}^{ ext{lat}\,2}} = rac{X_\pi^2(\overline{m}^{ ext{rgi}})}{X_{t_0}^2(\overline{m}^{ ext{rgi}})}$$

• Against *x*-axis

$$x_{\pi} = X_{\pi}^{ ext{lat}\,2} = a^2(g_0, \overline{m}^{ ext{rgi}}) X_{\pi}^2(\overline{m}^{ ext{rgi}})$$

Interpretation

For two β -values with common \overline{m}^{rgi} (ie same height for *y*-axis) then ratio of *x*-axes is ratio of lattice spacings only

$$\ln x_{\pi}(\beta, \overline{m}^{\rm rgi}) - \ln x_{\pi}(\beta_{\rm ref}, \overline{m}^{\rm rgi}) = \ln \left(\frac{a^2(\beta, \overline{m}^{\rm rgi})}{a^2(\beta_{\rm ref}, \overline{m}^{\rm rgi})}\right)$$



•
$$y_{t_0} = X_\pi^2(\overline{m}^{\mathrm{rgi}})/X_{t_0}^2(\overline{m}^{\mathrm{rgi}})$$
 versus $x_\pi = X_\pi^{\mathrm{lat}\,2}$

• 2-parameter fit:
$$x_{t_0} = A_{t_0} + B_{t_0}y_{t_0}$$

- Sample lines when the y-axis height $y_{t_0} = 0.08, 0.10, 0.13$
- Physical region: $y_0 \sim 0.09 0.10$



- $a^2(\beta, \overline{m}^{rgi})/a^2(\beta_{ref}, \overline{m}^{rgi})$ [ie previous x_{π}/x_{π}] versus $X^2_{\pi}(\overline{m}^{rgi})/X^2_{t_0}(\overline{m}^{rgi})$ [ie previous y_{t_0} , proxy \overline{m}^{rgi}]
- Range of previous sample lines $y_{t_0} = 0.08 0.13$
- Slowly varying:

eg 10% change in $(X_{\pi}^2/X_{t_0})^2(\overline{m}^{\rm rgi})$ gives \sim 1-2% change in $a^2(5.40,\overline{m}^{\rm rgi})/a^2(5.95,\overline{m}^{\rm rgi})$ So effectively only overall shift in scale if miss a $\overline{m}^{\rm rgi}*$

$$a(g_0, \overline{m}^{\mathrm{rgi}}) = s(g_0) \{1 + a \overline{m} r(g_0)\}$$

Forming

$$\underbrace{\frac{a^2(\beta,\overline{m}^{\mathrm{rgi}})}{a^2(\beta_{\mathrm{ref}},\overline{m}^{\mathrm{rgi}})}}_{x_{\pi}/x_{\pi}} = \underbrace{\frac{s^2(\beta)}{s^2(\beta_{\mathrm{ref}})}}_{\frac{A_{t_0}(\beta)}{A_{t_0}(\beta_{\mathrm{ref}})}} \left\{ 1 + \left(\underbrace{\frac{r(\beta)}{D_{t_0}(\beta)} - \frac{r(\beta_{\mathrm{ref}})}{D_{t_0}(\beta_{\mathrm{ref}})}}_{\frac{B_{t_0}(\beta)}{A_{t_0}(\beta)} - \frac{B_{t_0}(\beta_{\mathrm{ref}})}{A_{t_0}(\beta_{\mathrm{ref}})}} \right) \underbrace{\frac{X_{\pi}^2(\overline{m}^{\mathrm{rgi}})}{X_{t_0}^2(\overline{m}^{\mathrm{rgi}})}}_{y_{t_0}} \right\}$$

$s^2(eta)/s^2(eta_{ m ref})$

Recall solution for $s(g_0)$ and forming ratio

$$\frac{s^2(g_0)}{s^2(g_{0\,\mathrm{ref}})} = \exp\left[-2\int_{g_{0\,\mathrm{ref}}}^{g_0} \frac{d\xi}{B_0(\xi)}\right]$$

• Estimate the $\beta\text{-function}$ using the [1/1] Padé approximation

$$B_0(g_0) = -eta_{[1/1]}(g_0) = b_0 g_0^3 \, rac{1 + \left(rac{b_1}{b_0} - rac{b_2^{
m eff}}{b_1}
ight) g_0^2}{1 - rac{b_2^{
m eff}}{b_1} g_0^2}$$

• [Can integrate analytically]



• Range of previous sample lines $y_{t_0} = (X_{\pi}/X_{t_0})^2 (\overline{m}^{rgi}) = 0.08 - 0.13$ • $b_2^{eff} \sim 0.00105$

 $r(eta)/D_{t_0}(eta) - r(eta_{ ext{ref}})/D_{t_0}(eta_{ ext{ref}}) \propto r(eta)/u(eta) - r(eta_{ ext{ref}})/u(eta_{ ext{ref}})$



as $u(g_0)$ appears not to vary much in small g_0 interval

Re-construct $a^2(\beta, \overline{m}^{rgi})/a^2(\beta, \overline{m}^{rgi})$

Preliminary:



- Use previously determined fitted values
- Reasonable agreement
- Errors to be improved (!)

Conclusions

- RG equations given for 2 + 1 Clover fermions
- Attempting to use this as guide on constructing some (effective) RG functions
- Find scaling, with little dependence on $\overline{m}^{\rm rgi}$
- Hope this can help with determination of *a* and give smoother continuum extrapolations
- All very preliminary (!)