HADRONIC VACUUM POLARIZATION CONTRIBUTION TO THE MUON $g\!-\!2$ At short and long distances

SIMON KUBERSKI FOR THE MAINZ LATTICE GROUP

JULY 29, 2024





Funded by the European Union





[BNL *g*-2, hep-ex/0602035] [FNAL *g*-2, 2104.03281, 2308.06230]

Community goal

Several ab initio results at < 0.5% precision.

 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

$$a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$$

a_{μ}^{hvp} from lattice QCD



Community goal

Several ab initio results at < 0.5% precision.

 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

$$a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$$



Community goal

Several ab initio results at < 0.5% precision.

 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

 $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$

► Intermediate distance (√): removes most systematics



Community goal

Several ab initio results at < 0.5% precision.

 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

 $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$

► Intermediate distance (√): removes most systematics



Community goal

Several ab initio results at < 0.5% precision.

 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

 $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$

- ► Intermediate distance (√): removes most systematics
- ► Short distance (√, this talk): severe cutoff effects



Community goal

Several ab initio results at < 0.5% precision.

 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

 $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$

- ► Intermediate distance (√): removes most systematics
- ► Short distance (√, this talk): severe cutoff effects
- Long distance (..., this talk): noise and finite-volume effects

1 / 16

THE MAINZ/CLS SETUP

 $a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp}$ from 2+1 flavors of ${\rm O}(a)$ improved Wilson-clover fermions

$2+1\ {\rm flavor}\ {\rm CLS}\ {\rm ensembles}$



- Six values of $a \in [0.039, 0.099]$ fm.
- Open boundary conditions in the temporal direction.
- $a \operatorname{Tr}[M_q] = 2am_l + am_s = \text{const.}$ and $m_s \approx m_s^{\text{phys}}$ to stabilize the strange-quark interpolation.

• New ensemble / • significantly improved statistics since [Gérardin et al., 1904.03120].

Generating a third ensemble with $m_{\pi} \approx m_{\pi}^{\text{phys}}$: F300 with 256×128^3 at 0.05 fm, \rightarrow increase precision and further constrain $(am_{\pi})^2$ effects.

$\blacksquare~{\rm O}(a)$ improved correlation functions with

- ▶ local-local (*LL*) and local-conserved (*LC*) vector currents
- ► two different lines of constant physics for the improvement (set 1/ set 2) that all differ by $O(a^2)$.
- Finite-volume correction via spacelike [Hansen and Patella, 1904.10010, 2004.03935] and timelike [Meyer, 1105.1892] [Lellouch and Lüscher, hep-lat/0003023] pion formfactor.
- Scale setting via t_0^{phys} : uncertainty propagates significantly into $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{LD}}$.

THE SHORT DISTANCE CONTRIBUTION

[SK ET AL., 2401.11895]

$a_{\mu}^{ m hvp}$ at short distances

Cutoff effects are the main concern at short distances, especially those of O(a² log(a)) [Della Morte et al., 0807.1120][Cè et al., 2106.15293] [Sommer et al., 2211.15750]:
 removal via perturbative QCD in the spacelike regime at high energies Q².

Starting from the well-known formula [Bernecker and Meyer, 1107.4388]

$$(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})^{\rm SD} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty {\rm d}t \, w^{\rm SD}(t) \widetilde{K}(t) G(t) \,,$$

with the short-distance window $w^{
m SD}(t)$, we change to a modified QED kernel via

$$\begin{split} w^{\rm SD}(t)\tilde{K}(t) \to {\rm K}^{\rm SD}_{\rm sub}(Q,t) &= w^{\rm SD}(t)\tilde{K}(t) - w^{\rm SD}(0)\frac{16\pi^2m_{\mu}^2}{9Q^2}f(Q,t) \\ \text{where } f(Q,t) &= \frac{16}{Q^2}\sin^4\left(\frac{Qt}{4}\right) \text{ is the kernel to compute} \\ \Pi(Q^2) - \Pi((Q/2)^2) &= \int_0^\infty {\rm d}t\, f(Q,t)G(t)\,. \end{split}$$

THE REGULATED TMR KERNEL



Based on the Adler function $D(Q^2)$, we evaluate [Baikov et al., 0801.1821, 1001.3606],

$$\Pi(Q^2) - \Pi((Q/2)^2) = \frac{\pi^2}{12} \int_{(Q/2)^2}^{Q^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}Q'^2}{Q'^2} D(Q'^2)$$

and expect good convergence of the perturbative series [Jegerlehner, 2020].

$(a_{\mu}^{ m hvp})^{ m SD}$ in the isovector channel



- Tiny uncertainties, benign chiral dependence, significant cutoff effects.
- Use tree-level improvement to reduce the cutoff effects.
- Combine with strange, disconnected, charm and valence connected isospin-breaking contributions for the full $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{SD}}$.

Full result for $(a_{\mu}^{ m hvp})^{ m SD}$



Stability under variation of the modification scale Q.

- Small but noticeable shift when $a^2 \log(a)$ effects are not removed (1/Q = 0).
- Final uncertainty dominated by systematics from the continuum limit.

THE LONG DISTANCE CONTRIBUTION

PRELIMINARY, BLINDED

THE LONG DISTANCE CONTRIBUTION

Our goal

Determine with $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})^{\rm LD}$ the last building block for the full $a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp}$.

- Noise reduction techniques to get to sub-percent precision for I = 1:
 - **Low-mode averaging** (LMA).
 - Spectral reconstruction.
- Finite-volume effects are sizable.
- Expect cutoff effects to be less relevant for Wilson quarks.
- Everything is **blinded**: Analyze multiple TMR kernels with
 - multiplicative offsets,
 - artificial cutoff effects,
 - ▶ ...?

NOISE REDUCTION: LOW-MODE AVERAGING



• Use low-mode averaging for all ensembles where $m_{\pi} < 280 \,\mathrm{MeV}$.

- Left: $m_{\pi} = 132 \,\mathrm{MeV}$, $a = 0.064 \,\mathrm{fm}$ (E250)
- Right: $m_{\pi} = 177 \,\mathrm{MeV}$, $a = 0.049 \,\mathrm{fm}$ (E300)
- Autocorrelation becomes a limiting factor at fine lattice spacing.

NOISE REDUCTION: SPECTRAL RECONSTRUCTION



Careful extraction of energies and overlaps: [Nolan Miller, Tue 16:15]

- Spectral reconstruction of the isovector correlation function on E250 at m_{π}^{phys} .
- Solves the signal-to-noise problem, but LMA is more precise for t < 2.5 fm.
- Inclusion reduces the uncertainty on this ensemble by a factor of 2.

$(a_{\mu}^{ m hvp})^{ m LD}$ in the isovector channel: chiral dependence



Dependence of
$$(a_{\mu}^{3,3})^{\text{LD}}$$

on $\Phi_2 = 8t_0 m_{\pi}^2$.

- Data is corrected for finite-size effects.
- Weak dependence on the cutoff.
- Mass-dependent cutoff effects noticeable.

Chiral dependence well constrained across the range of pion masses.
 Need to include a term that is divergent in the chiral limit for good fit quality.

$(a^{ m hvp}_{\mu})^{ m LD}$ in the isovector channel: cutoff dependence



- Dependence of (a^{3,3}_µ)^{LD} on a² at physical quark masses.
- Four sets of data (colors) differ by O(*a*²).
- Each line represents a fit in the model average.
- Include terms à la $[\alpha_{\rm s}(1/a)]^{0.395} a^2$ [Husung, 2401.04303].
- Contains **artificial cutoff effects from the blinding** procedure.
- Higher order cutoff effects have a small weight in the model average.

$(a_{\mu}^{ m hvp})^{ m LD}$ in the isoscalar channel



- Quark-disconnected diagram contributes significantly to noise in the isoscalar channel, despite using multiple noise reduction techniques [Cè et al., 2203.08676].
- Bounding method in the isoscalar channel to tame the long-distance tail.
- Leading finite-size effects of light-connected and disconnected cancel.

FINITE-SIZE CORRECTION: CONSISTENCY CHECK



- $\circ m_{\pi} = 286 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ $\circ L: 3 \,\mathrm{fm} \rightarrow 4.1 \,\mathrm{fm}$
 - $\circ m_{\pi}L: 4.4 \rightarrow 5.9$
 - $\circ a = 0.064 \, \text{fm}$

- Compare finite-size effects in the data with the two model predictions.
- Excellent agreement (with large statistical uncertainties).



Achievements

- High statistical precision at m_{π}^{phys} and excellent control of the m_{π} dependence.
- Large span of lattice spacings to control the continuum extrapolation.

Challenges

- Scale setting remains a dominant source of uncertainty. The global status of gradient flow scales is unsatisfactory [FLAG23].
- Autocorrelation hinders precise estimates at very fine lattice spacing.
- Isospin breaking effects need to be computed accurately.
 [Julian Parrino, Thu 9:40] [Dominik Erb, Thu 10:00]

- Stay tuned for our unblinded result for $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})^{\rm LD}!$
- Related work of the Mainz group at Lattice 2024:
 - The timelike pion form factor and other applications of $I = 1\pi\pi$ scattering [Nolan Miller, Tue 16:15]
 - The hadronic contribution to the running of α and the electroweak mixing angle [Alessandro Conigli, Thu 9:40]
 - Machine-learning techniques as noise reduction strategies in lattice calculations of the muon g 2 [Hartmut Wittig, Wed 11:35]
 - ► UV-finite QED correction to the hadronic vacuum polarization contribution to $(g-2)_{\mu}$ [Julian Parrino, Thu 9:40]
 - The isospin-violating part of the hadronic vacuum polarisation [Dominik Erb, Thu 10:00]