



STUDYING LATTICE ARTIFACTS IN MULTI-BARYON SYSTEMS



Robert J. Perry University of Barcelona, Spain perryrobertjames@gmail.com

Zohreh Davoudi, William Detmold, Anthony V. Grebe, Marc Illa, William I. Jay, Assumpta Parreño, Phiala E. Shanahan, Michael L. Wagman (NPLQCD)

Why Nuclear Physics using LQCD?

- Understand emergence of nuclear complexity from the quarks and gluons.
- Particle content of SM has been observed, but SM cannot explain:
 - Dark matter,
 - Neutrino masses,
 - etc.
- Nuclear isotopes often employed as targets in BSM physics searches: often large source of systematic uncertainty.
 - LQCD has potential to be relevant to a broad set of experimental programs.





- Use LQCD as "virtual lab" to study more exotic systems: hypernuclear physics.
 - Information on YY and YN important for neutron star EoS.

NUCLEAR SYSTEMS ARE CHALLENGING

- Contraction cost
- Exponentially bad signal-to-noise (StN),
- Small energy gaps

$$C_{\chi\chi}(t) = |Z_{0\chi}|^2 e^{-tE_0} + |Z_{1\chi}|^2 e^{-tE_1} + \dots$$
$$\Delta E = E_1 - E_0 \sim \frac{1}{L^2}$$

We would like to understand systematics associated with:

- Non-physical quark masses
- Finite volume
- Lattice discretization
- Excited state contamination
- Operator dependence



H-DIBARYON

- Are there other dibaryon bound states?
- Jaffe (1976): Yes Lambda-Lambda
- S=-2 hexaquark
- Experimentally challenging.
- Lattice can contribute... provided we understand systematics





Challanging analysis!

Green et al strategy:

• Fit to logarithm of ratio (non-convex)

$$R_n(t) = \frac{\tilde{C}_{\Lambda\Lambda}(t)}{C_{\Lambda}^{\vec{p}_1}(t)C_{\Lambda}^{\vec{p}_2}(t)}$$

• Exponentially small StN at large times

DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO THE SAME CHALLENGE

Asymmetric Correlator

- Relatively cheap to calculate
- X Different operators at source and sink: not gauranteed to be positive-definite
- X Effective mass can approach from below

Lanczos*

- ✓ Potential for rigorous two-sided error on energy
- X Novel method less known about systematics etc.

*M. Wagman, "Lanczos, the transfer matrix, and the signal-to-noise problem" 2406.20009 [hep-lat]

Variational method

- Rigorous variational upper bounds
- Expensive to compute matrix of correlators

Direct Fits to Correlation Matrices

- Get the "most" out of correlation matrix
- X Expensive to compute matrix of correlators
- X Not gauranteed to bound energies: systematic error
- X Computationally expensive, large parameter space can get stuck in local minimums

$$H_{\rm QCD} \left| n \right\rangle = E_n \left| n \right\rangle$$

HALQCD

- Physically intuitive: "Nuclear potentials"
- X Requires only elastic scattering states contribute to correlator.

Lattice artifacts in multi-baryon systems

DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO THE SAME CHALLENGE

Asymmetric Correlator

- Relatively cheap to calculate
- Different operators at source and sink: not gauranteed to be positive-definite
- Effective mass can approach from below

Lanczos*

- Potential for rigorous two-sided error on energy
- X Novel method less known about systematics etc.

*M. Wagman, "Lanczos, the transfer matrix, and the signal-to-noise problem" 2406.20009 [hep-lat] *"Lanczos for matrix elements",*



- Rigorous variational upper bounds
- Expensive to compute matrix of correlators

Direct Fits to Correlation Matrices

- ✓ Get the "most" out of correlation matrix
- Expensive to compute matrix of correlators
- Not gauranteed to bound energies: systematic error
- Computationally expensive, large parameter space can get stuck in local minimums

$$H_{\rm QCD} \left| n \right\rangle = E_n \left| n \right\rangle$$

HALQCD

Physically intuitive: "Nuclear potentials"

Daniel Hackett

Friday, 12:35

X Requires only elastic scattering states contribute to correlator.

Lattice artifacts in multi-baryon systems

DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO THE SAME CHALLENGE

Asymmetric Correlator

- Relatively cheap to calculate
- X Different operators at source and sink: not gauranteed to be positive-definite
- X Effective mass can approach from below

Lanczos*

- ✓ Potential for rigorous two-sided error on energy
- X Novel method less known about systematics etc.

*M. Wagman, "Lanczos, the transfer matrix, and the signal-to-noise problem" 2406.20009 [hep-lat]



Variational method

- Rigorous variational upper bounds
- X Expensive to compute matrix of correlators

Direct Fits to Correlation Matrices

- Get the "most" out of correlation matrix
- X Expensive to compute matrix of correlators
- X Not gauranteed to bound energies: systematic error
- X Computationally expensive, large parameter space can get stuck in local minimums

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{QCD}} \left| n \right\rangle = E_n \left| n \right\rangle$$

HALQCD

- Physically intuitive: "Nuclear potentials"
- X Requires only elastic scattering states contribute to correlator.

The Variational Method

• (Hermitian) matrix of correlators:

$$\mathbf{C}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{O} \to \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \to \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} \to \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{$$

Most recent work: up to 46x46 correlation matrix!

• Solve Generalized Eigenvalue Problem (GEVP):

$$\mathbf{C}(t)\vec{v}_{\mathsf{n}}(t,t_0) = \lambda_{\mathsf{n}}(t,t_0)\mathbf{C}(t_0)\vec{v}_n(t,t_0)$$

 Cauchy Interlacing theorem: GEVP Eigenvalues provide rigorous (stochastic) variational upper bounds on energy levels. Provides lower bound on number of states



CURRENT OPERATOR SET



Local hexaquark operators

• Six Gaussian smeared quarks at a point

Dibaryon Operators

- Two spatially-separated plane-wave baryons with relative momenta
- Relative momentum: up to four units \rightarrow 5 operators



Quasi-local Operators

- Two exponentially localized baryons
- NN -EFT motivated deuteron-like structure



CURRENT OPERATOR SET

Local hexaquark operators

• Six Gaussian smeared quarks at a point

Dibaryon Operators

- Two spatially-separated plane-wave baryons with relative momenta
- Relative momentum: up to four units \rightarrow 5 operators

Quasi-local Operators

- Two exponentially localized baryons
- NN -EFT motivated deuteron-like structure

(i,j,k)

Lessons learnt from NN @ 800 MeV

Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023) 9, 094508

Interpolating operator dependence Removing interpolating operators leads to "missing energy levels" for states dominantly overlapping with omitted operators Variational upper bounds obtained using different interpolating operator sets are consistent 0.10.03 NPLQCD 13 [15] ∇ [D, H] 0.02 ♦ S_O O CalLat 17 [25] $\Delta E_{\rm n}^{(2,0,T_1^+,\,\mathbb{S})}$ $\Delta E_0^{(2,0,T_1^+,\mathbb{S})}$ 0.10△ NPLQCD 17 [18] 0.01 So 0.00 -0.010.05-0.02Ground-state energy estimates using different interpolating-operator sets 0.00 show large discrepancies So Sø Sø Sø So ŝo ŝ_o ŝ_o ŝ M. Wagman, Lattice 2022 Interpolating-operator set

Moral: Should be careful not to over-interpret results. Results consistent if viewed as variational bounds.

DETAILS OF ENSEMBLES USED

Ensemble	β	L/a	T/a	am_q	$c_{\rm sw}$	a (fm)	$N_{\rm sparse}$	$L (\mathrm{fm})$	T (fm)
A	6.5	48	96	-0.1788	1.1701	0.072	8	3.46	6.91
B	6.3	36	64	-0.2050	1.2054	0.086	6	3.10	5.50
\mathbf{C}	6.3	48	64	-0.205	1.2054	0.086	6	4.13	5.50
D	6.1	24	48	-0.245	1.2493	0.145	4	3.48	6.96
${ m E}$	6.1	32	48	-0.245	1.2493	0.145	4	4.64	6.96
F	6.1	48	64	-0.245	1.2493	0.145	4	6.96	9.28



In this talk: Data at two lattice spacings In the coming months: Add data at smaller physical volume **Goal**: Study finite volume and lattice artifacts for S=-2 systems at m_{π} = 806 MeV. Use knowledge to **inform near-physical point calculations**.

DETAILS OF ENSEMBLES USED

Ensemble	β	L/a	T/a	am_q	$c_{ m sw}$	$a \ (fm)$	$N_{\rm sparse}$	$L \ (fm)$	$T (\mathrm{fm})$
A	6.5	48	96	-0.1788	1.1701	0.072	8	3.46	6.91
В	6.3	36	64	-0.2050	1.2054	0.086	6	3.10	5.50
\mathbf{C}	6.3	48	64	-0.205	1.2054	0.086	6	4.13	5.50
D	6.1	24	48	-0.245	1.2493	0.145	4	3.48	6.96
${ m E}$	6.1	32	48	-0.245	1.2493	0.145	4	4.64	6.96
F	6.1	48	64	-0.245	1.2493	0.145	4	6.96	9.28



In this talk: Data at two lattice spacings In the coming months: Add data at smaller physical volume **Goal**: Study finite volume and lattice artifacts for S=-2 systems at m_{π} = 806 MeV. Use knowledge to **inform near-physical point**

calculations.

DETAILS OF ENSEMBLES USED

Ensemble	β	L/a	T/a	am_q	$c_{\rm sw}$	$a \ (fm)$	$N_{\rm sparse}$	$L \ (fm)$	$T (\mathrm{fm})$
A	6.5	48	96	-0.1788	1.1701	0.072	8	3.46	6.91
В	6.3	36	64	-0.2050	1.2054	0.086	6	3.10	5.50
С	6.3	48	64	-0.205	1.2054	0.086	6	4.13	5.50
D	6.1	24	48	-0.245	1.2493	0.145	4	3.48	6.96
E	6.1	32	48	-0.245	1.2493	0.145	4	4.64	6.96
F	6.1	48	64	-0.245	1.2493	0.145	4	6.96	9.28



In this talk: Data at two lattice spacings In the coming months: Add data at smaller physical volume **Goal**: Study finite volume and lattice artifacts for

S=-2 systems at m_{π} = 806 MeV.

Use knowledge to inform near-physical point calculations.

SU(3) Symmetry

• SU(3) flavor symmetric point: octet baryons are mass-degenerate



Lattice artifacts in multi-baryon systems

EFFECTIVE MASS PLOTS (J=1)



* Fit to 1B and 2B correlation functions. Then compute difference

EFFECTIVE MASS PLOTS (J=1)



* Fit to 1B and 2B correlation functions. Then compute difference



EFFECTIVE MASS PLOTS (J=1)



EFFECTIVE MASS PLOTS (J=0)



EFFECTIVE MASS PLOTS (J=0)





EFFECTIVE MASS PLOTS (J=0)



Summary Plot



Summary Plot



Lattice artifacts in multi-baryon systems

CONCLUSIONS

- LQCD has potential to be relevant to a broad set of experimental programs, provided we understand systematic errors.
- The variational method is **one** approach to study the (finite volume) energy eigenvalues of the QCD Hamiltonian.
- Computed variational bounds for all SU(3) irreps.
- If viewed as bounds, results from two lattice spacings are consistent.
- **If bounds have saturated**, evidence for lattice artifacts in flavor singlet (H-dibaryon).
- To make a determination, we think more evidence is required:
 - Explore the impact of other operators on variational bounds
 - Compute (Lanczos, brute force, something else) correlation function to larger Euclidean times.
- With data at two physical volumes, can study interplay of lattice artifacts and finite volume effects.

HOWEVER, CHALLENGING DATA ANALYSIS...

Analysis strategy (Green et al):

1) Fits to logarithm of ratio (non-convex)

$$R_n(t) = \frac{\tilde{C}_{\Lambda\Lambda}(t)}{C_{\Lambda}^{\vec{p}_1}(t)C_{\Lambda}^{\vec{p}_2}(t)}$$

2) Large statistical uncertainties in region where single-state dominates nucleon correlator.

