Project Motivations and Specifics

Fit Procedure

Fit Refining

Preliminary Results and Future Steps

# Towards more accurate $D_{(s)} \rightarrow \pi(K)$ and $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \pi(K)$ Form Factors

#### Logan Roberts

#### with Chris Bouchard, Olmo Francesconi, Will Parrott



Lattice 2024, July 30th

Project Motivations and Specifics

Fit Procedure

Fit Refining

Preliminary Results and Future Steps

## Form Factor Motivation

CKM matrix elements calculated from kinematic form factors.

$$rac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} \propto |V_{CKM}|^2 imes |f(q^2)|^2,$$

 $|V_{cd}| = 0.221 \pm 0.004, |V_{ub}| = (3.82 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$ [PDG, 2024].





- Generic heavy-quark simulated at various masses.
- Allows extrapolation to physical b-quark mass.



N<sub>f</sub> = 2 + 1 + 1 MILC-HISQ gluon fields [1004.0342], [1212.4768].

• Fully relativistic, nearly full kinematic range.

Fit Refining

Preliminary Results and Future Steps

#### **Gluon Field Ensembles**

Set	pprox <i>a</i> (fm)	$m_s/m_l$	$N_x^3 \times N_t$	<i>am<sub>h</sub></i> range	$ \overrightarrow{p}_{\max}^{\pi,K} $ [MeV/c <sup>2</sup> ]	T range
f-5	0.09	5	$32^3  imes 96$	0.450-0.8	311	15-24
f-phys	0.09	27	$64^3  imes 96$	0.433-0.8	330	15-24
sf-5	0.06	5	$48^{3} \times 144$	0.274-0.8	622	22-31
sf-phys	0.06	27	$96^3  imes 192$	0.2585-0.8	648	22-31
uf-5	0.04	5	64 <sup>3</sup> × 192	0.194-0.8	583	29-44

• Per ensemble we set smallest  $am_h \approx am_c^{phys}$ .

#### Coming soon:

- coarser ensembles,  $a \approx 0.12$  fm, 0.15 fm.
- $am_h = am_b^{phys}$  on select finer ensembles.
- Max  $q^2$  on uf5  $\approx$  20GeV<sup>2</sup>.

# **Correlator Fit Equations**

Two-point correlator fit equation (e.g.  $\pi$ ):

$$C_{2}^{\pi}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N_{exp}-1} \left[ |A_{i}^{\pi,n}|^{2} (e^{-E_{i}^{\pi,n}t} + e^{-E_{i}^{\pi,n}(N_{t}-t)}) - (-1)^{t} |A_{i}^{\pi,o}|^{2} (e^{-E_{i}^{\pi,o}t} + e^{-E_{i}^{\pi,o}(N_{t}-t)}) \right]$$

Three-point correlator fit equation (e.g.  $H \rightarrow \pi$ ):

$$C_{3}^{\pi,H}(t,T) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{N_{exp}-1} \Big[ A_{i}^{\pi,n} J_{ij}^{nn} A_{j}^{H,n} e^{-E_{i}^{\pi,n}t} e^{-E_{j}^{H,n}(T-t)} - (-1)^{(T-t)} A_{i}^{\pi,n} J_{ij}^{no} A_{j}^{H,o} e^{-E_{i}^{\pi,n}t} e^{-E_{j}^{H,o}(T-t)} - (-1)^{t} A_{i}^{\pi,o} J_{ij}^{on} A_{j}^{H,n} e^{-E_{i}^{\pi,o}t} e^{-E_{j}^{H,n}(T-t)} + (-1)^{T} A_{i}^{\pi,o} J_{ij}^{oo} A_{j}^{H,o} e^{-E_{i}^{\pi,o}t} e^{-E_{j}^{H,o}(T-t)} \Big].$$

Fit Refining

Preliminary Results and Future Steps

# Form Factor Equations

Matrix element for ground-state lattice current  $J_{00}^{nn}$  (e.g.  $H \rightarrow \pi$ ):

$$\langle \pi | \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathsf{latt}} | \boldsymbol{H} 
angle = 2 \boldsymbol{Z}_{\mathsf{disc}} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{M}_{\!\boldsymbol{H}} \boldsymbol{E}_{\pi}} imes \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathsf{00}}^{\boldsymbol{nn}}.$$

Form factor relations to lattice matrix elements:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Scalar:} & \langle \pi | \, \boldsymbol{S}_{\text{latt}} | H \rangle = f_0(q^2) \times \frac{M_H^2 - M_\pi^2}{m_h - m_u}, \\ \text{Vector:} & Z_V \langle \pi | \, \boldsymbol{V}_{\text{latt}}^\mu | \hat{H} \rangle = f_+(q^2) \left( p_H^\mu + p_\pi^\mu - \frac{M_H^2 - M_\pi^2}{q^2} q^\mu \right) \\ & \quad + f_0(q^2) \frac{M_H^2 - M_\pi^2}{q^2} q^\mu \\ \text{Tensor:} & Z_T(\mu) \langle \hat{\pi} | \, T_{\text{latt}}^{k0} | \hat{H} \rangle = f_T(q^2, \mu) \times \frac{2i M_H p_\pi^k}{M_H^2 + M_\pi^2}. \end{array}$$

Note:  $\hat{H}$  and  $\hat{\pi}$  denote local non-Goldstone pseudoscalars. *Z* terms calculated in [1211.6966], [1008.4562], [1305.1462], [2008.02024]

Fitting requires inverting correlation matrix. For  $H \rightarrow \pi$ , per ensemble we fit over:

- $4 \times am_h$ ,
- 5 ×  $\theta$ , where  $\theta = |a\overrightarrow{p}_{\pi,K}| \times \frac{N_x}{\sqrt{3}\pi}$ ,
- 4 × *T*,
- 4× spin-taste copies for *H* from using local current operators,
- 4× 3-point current components: (scalar, temporal vector, spacial vector, tensor),
- ... and the  $H_s \rightarrow K$  alternative of each of the above.



H to pi, f-5 ensemble, Sample Fit Correlation Matrix



H to pi, f-5 ensemble, Sample Fit Correlation Matrix



H to pi, f-5 ensemble, Sample Fit Correlation Matrix

Project Motivations and Specifics

Fit Procedure

Fit Refining ●000 Preliminary Results and Future Steps

# $N_{\rm exp}$ and $t_{\rm min}$ Testing



- Uncertainty decreases at smaller *t*<sub>min</sub>/*a*.
- Posterior central value saturates at higher *N*<sub>exp</sub>.

- Here I choose  $t_{\min}/a = 7$ .
- Empirical Bayes testing favors *N*<sub>exp</sub> = 4 across all ensembles.

Fit Refining o●oo Preliminary Results and Future Steps

# Priors and Bayesian Fitting

 $P_i \pm \sigma_i =$  Fit Parameter,  $\tilde{P}_i \pm \tilde{\sigma}_i =$  Prior on Parameter,

$$\begin{split} \chi^2 &\to \chi^2_{\text{aug}} = \chi^2 + \chi^2_{\text{prior}}, \\ \chi^2_{\text{prior}} &= \sum_i \big( \frac{P_i - \tilde{P}_i}{\tilde{\sigma}_i} \big). \end{split}$$



Priors  $\tilde{P}_i \pm \tilde{\sigma}_i$  are initially set from  $M_{eff}$  and  $A_{eff}$  plots, refined through Empirical Bayesian Analysis.

Catting Driago	000000	00000
Setting Priors		

#### Some parameters warrant precise priors with narrow widths:





## **Setting Priors**

#### Others warrant conservative priors with broad widths:



Fit Refining

Preliminary Results and Future Steps

#### Sample Fit Result - Prior vs. Posterior Bounds



Fit Refining

Preliminary Results and Future Steps

#### Sample Fit Result - Reconstructed Effective Amplitude



Preliminary Results and Future Steps

### $H \rightarrow \pi$ (a = 0.09 fm, $m_s/m_l = 5$ ) form factors



Preliminary Results and Future Steps

#### $H_s \rightarrow K \ (a = 0.09 \text{fm}, \ m_s/m_l = 5)$ form factors



# **Recap and Outlook**

#### Key Points

- Kinematic form factors  $\rightarrow |V_{ub}|$  and  $|V_{cd}|$ .
- First use of heavy-HISQ method for H<sub>(s)</sub> → π(K) across wide kinematic range.
- Bayesian Statistics integral to fitting method (priors).

#### Next steps:

- Fit refinement:
  - SVD cut analysis,
  - Empirical Bayes testing of 3pt priors,
  - Stability testing.
- Modified z-expansion:
  - Physical b-quark mass extrapolation,
  - Continuum limit extrapolation.

- Z<sub>disc</sub>: tree level discretization correction starting at (am<sub>h</sub>)<sup>4</sup> [Monahan, Shigemitsu, Horgan, 1211.6966].
- Z<sub>V</sub>: derived from the partially conserved vector current relation [Na *et al.*, 1008.4562],[Koponen *et al.*, 1305.1462].

For 
$$H \to \pi$$
:  $Z_V = \left| \frac{(m_h - m_l) \langle \pi | S | H \rangle}{(M_H - M_\pi) \langle \pi | V^0 | H \rangle} \right|_{q^2 = q_{\max}^2}$ 

•  $Z_T$ : tensor current renormalization at energy scale = 4.8GeV  $\approx m_b$  [Hatton *et al.*, 2008.02024].

Gaussian Bayes Factor (GBF) = probability density of randomly sampling the fit data from fit model (including priors). By construction it punishes over-fitting.

- Optimization: minimize  $\chi^2_{\rm aug}$ , maximise GBF.
- Δlog(GBF) ≥ 3 is considered significant. Increasing prior width artificially lowers χ<sup>2</sup><sub>aug</sub>.
- Adding "noise" to priors restores  $\chi^2/d.o.f. \approx 1$ .



#### Back-Up: Sample Correlator Fitting



F5 Ensemble 3pt-Correlator Comparison: am=0.675,  $\theta$ =1.282, T=24