Finite-volume formalism for physical processes with an electroweak loop integral

Xin-Yu Tuo, Xu Feng

Based on arxiv:2407.16930

Lattice 2024, Liverpool, UK

2/8/2024





Processes with an electroweak loop integral

In QED corrections and rare decays, electroweak propagators and hadronic matrix elements often form a loop integral structure



(g) Radiative decay: $K^+ \to \ell^+ \nu_\ell \gamma^* \to \ell^+ \nu_\ell \ell'^+ \ell'^-$

Processes with an electroweak loop integral

In QED corrections and rare decays, electroweak propagators and hadronic matrix elements often form a loop integral structure







Idea of EW_{∞} (QED_{∞})

> Examples using $EW_{\infty}(QED_{\infty})$:



arxiv:1812.09817

Idea of EW_{∞} (QED_{∞})

▶ Examples using $EW_{\infty}(QED_{\infty})$:



> This work develops the finite-volume formalism of EW_{∞} method if the hadronic intermediate states are one particle or two particles.

How to analyze finite-volume effects?

FV effects depend on smoothness of the summand

$$\frac{1}{L^3}\sum_{\pmb{k}}\tilde{f}(\pmb{k})$$

 $\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \begin{cases} \text{singular: } O(1/L) \text{ FV effects} \\ \text{continuously differentiable up to order N: } O(1/L^{N+1}) \text{ FV effects} \\ \text{analytic: } O(e^{-mL}) \text{ FV effects} \end{cases}$

How to analyze finite-volume effects?

> FV effects depend on smoothness of the summand $\frac{1}{L^3}\sum_{i} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{k})$

singular:
$$O(1/L)$$
 FV effects

- $\tilde{f}(k) = \begin{cases} \text{singular. } O(1/L) + 1 \\ \text{continuously differentiable up to order N: } O(1/L^{N+1}) \text{ FV effects} \\ \text{analytic: } O(e^{-mL}) \text{ FV effects} \end{cases}$
 - > What if we do the integral in coordinate space? A simple model:

$$\int_{V} d^{3}x A^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{x}) B^{(L)}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$A^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \tilde{A}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}'} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \delta_{L} (\boldsymbol{k}' - \boldsymbol{k}) \tilde{A}(\boldsymbol{k}) \tilde{B}(\boldsymbol{k}')$$

$$B^{(L)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}'} \tilde{B}(\boldsymbol{k}') e^{i\boldsymbol{k}'\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \qquad \delta_{L}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \int_{V} d^{3}x e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$$
Integral any two functions with EW_{∞} idea momentum-space analysis

How to analyze finite-volume effects?

FV effects depend on smoothness of the summand

$$\frac{1}{L^3}\sum_{\bm{k}}\tilde{f}(\bm{k})$$

- $\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{singular: } O(1/L) \text{ FV effects} \\ \text{continuously differentiable up to order N: } O(1/L^{N+1}) \text{ FV effects} \\ \text{analytic: } O(e^{-mL}) \text{ FV effects} \end{bmatrix}$
- > What if we do the integral in coordinate space? A simple model:

$$\int_{V} d^{3}x A^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{x}) B^{(L)}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$A^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \tilde{A}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}'} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \delta_{L} (\boldsymbol{k}' - \boldsymbol{k}) \tilde{A}(\boldsymbol{k}) \tilde{B}(\boldsymbol{k}')$$

$$B^{(L)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}'} \tilde{B}(\boldsymbol{k}') e^{i\boldsymbol{k}'\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$B^{(L)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}'} \tilde{B}(\boldsymbol{k}') e^{i\boldsymbol{k}'\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$\delta_{L}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \int_{V} d^{3}x e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$\int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \delta_{L} (\boldsymbol{k}' - \boldsymbol{k}) \tilde{A}(\boldsymbol{k}) \tilde{B}(\boldsymbol{k}')$$

$$\delta_{L}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \int_{V} d^{3}x e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$B^{(L)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}'} \tilde{B}(\boldsymbol{k}') e^{i\boldsymbol{k}'\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$B^{(L)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}'} \tilde{B}(\boldsymbol{k}') e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$B^{(L)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}'} \tilde{B}(\boldsymbol{x}') e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$B^{(L)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}'} e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$B^{(L)}$$

 \succ We prove: FV effects still depend on the smoothness of the summand.

The momentum-space analysis still works!

Physical case

$$I^{\infty} = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} L^{\infty}(k) H^{\infty}(k, p),$$
$$H^{\infty}(k, p) = \int d^3x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{ik \cdot x} \langle f | T[J_1(t, \boldsymbol{x}) J_2(0)] | i \rangle$$



 $\succ EW_{\infty} \text{ method } (t < 0 \text{ time ordering})$ $I^{(LT)} = c_{ME} \int_{V} d^{3}x \int_{-t_{s}}^{0} d\tau L_{E}^{\infty}(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}) H_{E}^{(L)}(\tau, \boldsymbol{x})$

Physical case

$$I^{\infty} = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} L^{\infty}(k) H^{\infty}(k, p),$$
$$H^{\infty}(k, p) = \int d^3x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{ik \cdot x} \langle f | T[J_1(t, \boldsymbol{x}) J_2(0)] | i \rangle$$



 $I^{(LT)}$

 $\succ EW_{\infty} \text{ method } (t < 0 \text{ time ordering})$ $I^{(LT)} = c_{ME} \int_{V} d^{3}x \int_{-t_{s}}^{0} d\tau L_{E}^{\infty}(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}) H_{E}^{(L)}(\tau, \boldsymbol{x})$ $I^{(LT)} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}' \in \Gamma} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \delta_{L}(\boldsymbol{k}' - \boldsymbol{k})}_{C} \int_{C} \frac{dk^{0}}{2\pi} L^{\infty}(k) H^{(LT)}(\boldsymbol{k}', p)$

There are also FV effects in momentum-space hadronic function

$$\begin{split} H_{t<0}^{\infty}(k,p) &= i \sum_{\alpha} \frac{A_{\alpha}^{\infty}(-k, E_{\alpha})}{m - k^{0} - E_{\alpha} + i\epsilon} \\ H^{(LT)}(k',p) &= i \sum_{\alpha_{L}} \frac{A_{\alpha}^{(L)}(-k', E_{\alpha_{L}})}{m - k^{0} - E_{\alpha_{L}}} \left(1 - e^{(m - k^{0} - E_{\alpha_{L}})t_{s}}\right) \end{split}$$

Two corrections



Two corrections



What we know

e.g., for two-particles intermediate states PRD 101 (2020) 1, 014509 arxiv:1911.04036

What's new

FV effects due to coordinate space integral in EW_{∞} method

Analysis the singularity of the summand

One-particle case

Example: QED self-energy



One-particle case



One-particle case



ground-state dominance from lattice data at $t = t_s$.











Two-particle case



[1] R. A. Briceno, Z. Davoudi, M. T. Hansen, M. R. Schindler, and A. Baroni, Phys. Rev. D101, 014509 (2020)



Similar as one-particle case, the summand is still IR finite





- Similar as one-particle case, the summand is still IR finite
- ► Cusp effects: $\hat{l}(\mathbf{k}')$ has nonsmooth points at threshold $|\mathbf{k}'| = \sqrt{(m_{\eta} - k^0)^2 - 4m_{\pi}^2},$ and is differentiable up to order N = l (angular momentum). $\longrightarrow O(1/L^{l+1})$ FV effects. (P wave: $O(1/L^2)$)

 $\mu^+(p^+)$

 $\mu^-(p^-$

Numerical test of ΔI_2

➤ Numerical test of ΔI₂ in η → μ⁺μ⁻: one ππ loop (ignore rescattering effects), GS model



Conclusion

➤ This work develops the finite-volume formalism of EW_{∞} method in 1.One-particle case: Why in IVR method $\delta_{IVR} \sim O(e^{-mL})$?

2.Two-particle case:



Low-lying $\pi\pi$ state in P wave is suppressed due to rho resonance. En-Hung Chao, Norman Christ, (2024), arxiv:2406.07447