Two photon contribution to the $K_{
m L}
ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^$ decay amplitude on a $1/a \approx 1$ GeV lattice

En-Hung Chao¹, Ceran Hu

Columbia University

August 2, 2024, Lattice 2024 @ Liverpool, UK

On behalf of the RBC/UKQCD collaboration Based on on-going work with Norman Christ

¹Speaker En-Hung Chao (Columbia U)

Introduction

- ▶ Motivation: complementing the short-distance (SD) part of the $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^$ decay amplitude with the long-distance (LD) part for a precision test of the Standard Model [Br($K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$) = 6.84(11) × 10⁻⁹] [BNL E871 Collab., PRL '00].
- ► Goal: determine the experimentally-inaccessible real part of the 2γ contribution to the $K_{\rm L} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay amplitude.
- **Formalism**: QED_{∞} + effective weak Hamiltonian + lattice QCD

[extension of 2208.03834]

Master formula: [2406.07447]

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}_L \mu \mu} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{I}} + \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{II}}$$

with the unphysical exponentially-growing states removed

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{I}} = \int_{-\mathcal{T}_{v}^{-}}^{\mathcal{T}_{v}^{+}} dv_{0} \int_{V} d^{3} \mathbf{v} \int_{v_{0}}^{\mathcal{T}_{u}+v_{0}} du_{0} \int_{V} d^{3} \mathbf{u} \ e^{M_{K}(u_{0}+v_{0})/2} \\ L_{\mu\nu}(u-\nu) \langle \mathcal{T} \left\{ J_{\mu}(u) J_{\nu}(\nu) \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{W}}(0) \mathcal{K}_{L}(t_{i}) \right\} \rangle',$$

and their physical contributions added back

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{II}} &= -\sum_{n} \int_{V} d^{3} \mathbf{v} \int_{0}^{T_{u}} dw_{0} \int_{V} d^{3} \mathbf{u} \left[\frac{e^{M_{K} w_{0}/2}}{M_{K} - E_{n}} L_{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}, w_{0}) \right. \\ & \times \langle \mathcal{T} \left\{ J_{\mu} (\mathbf{u}, w_{0}) J_{\nu} (\mathbf{v}, 0) \right\} |n\rangle \langle n| \mathcal{T} \left\{ \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{W}} (0) \mathcal{K}_{L} (t_{i}) \right\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$K_{\rm L} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$
 from LQCD



Time-ordering and Wick rotation

- Set an IR cutoff *T* and consider the possible intermediate states in the particular time-ordering 0 ≤ v₀ ≤ u₀.
- The contribution from this time-ordering reads

$$\int_{0}^{T} du_{0} \int_{0}^{u_{0}} dv_{0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_{0} e^{i\left(\frac{M_{K}}{2} + p_{0}\right)u_{0}} e^{i\left(\frac{M_{K}}{2} - p_{0}\right)v_{0}}$$

$$\times \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu\nu}(p) e^{-iE_{n}u_{0}} e^{-i(E_{n\nu} - E_{n})v_{0}} \langle 0 | J_{\mu}(0) | n \rangle \langle n | J_{\nu}(0) | n' \rangle \langle n' | \mathcal{H}_{W}(0)$$



 $|K_L\rangle$.

▶ Under Wick rotation $u_0 \leftarrow -iu_0$, it converges at $T \rightarrow \infty$ iff

$$E'_n > M_K$$
 (S1) and $E_n + \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m_\gamma^2} \ge M_K$ (S2)

Otherwise, unphysical exponential terms appear.

- Repeating the above analysis for all possible time-orderings and intermediate states, the two sources for the exponential terms are
 - 1. π^0 with zero spatial momentum, coming from $K_{\rm L}$ turned into π^0 by the weak Hamiltonian.
 - 2. $\pi\pi(\gamma)$ states with low kinetic energy, propagating between the electromagnetic currents.

$$K_{\rm L} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$
 from LQCD

Limitations 1/3 [arXiv:2406.07447]

- ▶ In the case of non-interacting pions, (S2) is satisfied with $L \le 10$ fm in the continuum
 - \Rightarrow errors from above this volume threshold?
- Systematic errors due to finite-volume effects (FVEs); particular worry: the incomplete ππ spectrum
- With QED_∞, in general the FVEs are expected to be exponentially suppressed; however, in the current case, LQCD+QED_∞ does not conserve momentum ⇒ (S2) is violated by O(L⁻ⁿ) contributions.

Claim: these effects are numerically small \leftarrow important check from the calculation by varying the IR cutoff R_{max} between the two EM currents.

Limitations 2/3 [arXiv:2406.07447]

- $\begin{array}{c} \mu^{r}(\vec{k}_{*}) \\ p+\frac{p}{2}-k_{*} \\ \mu^{-}(\vec{k}_{*}) \\ p+\frac{p}{2}-k_{*} \\ \mu^{r}(\vec{k}_{*}) \\ p-\frac{p}{2} \\ p+\frac{p}{2} \\ p-\frac{p}{2} \\ p-\frac{p$
- Quantitative estimates of the CP-conserving $\pi\pi$ effects up to an energy of $E_{\pi\pi}$ from a spectral representation:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{K_{L}\mu\mu}^{\pi\pi\gamma}(E_{\pi\pi}) &= 4\pi C M_{K}^{2} \int d^{4}p \; \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{D(p)} \Pi(E_{\pi\pi},p;P) \,, \\ \Pi(E_{\pi\pi},p;P) &\equiv \int_{4M_{\pi}^{2}}^{E_{\pi\pi}^{2}-\mathbf{p}^{2}} \frac{ds}{2\pi} \; s \; \eta(s) [F_{\pi}^{V}(s)]^{*} V_{K_{L}\pi\pi\gamma}^{\text{pt}} \left[\frac{2}{\left(p - \frac{1}{2}P\right)^{2} + s - i\varepsilon} \right] \,, \end{split}$$

- Valid for a point-like K_L → π⁺π⁻γ vertex (fixed by experiment) and for a generic pion E&M form factor F^V_π.
- Two models for F_{π}^{V} are considered:
 - Point-like (scalar QED)
 - Gounaris-Sakuri
- **Caveat**: divergence as $E_{\pi\pi} \to \infty$, but irrelevant for our purpose.



Limitations 3/3 [arXiv:2406.07447]

• With $E_{\pi\pi}^{max} = 0.6$ GeV, we obtain the following ratios of the $\pi\pi$ contribution to the amplitude to the experimental results:

Model	${ m Re}{\cal A}/{ m Re}{\cal A}_{ m exp}$	${\rm Im}{\cal A}/{\rm Im}{\cal A}_{\rm exp}$
pt	-0.041	0.022
GS	-0.078	0.037

 \Rightarrow both models give <10% estimates.

The ρ meson is generally well captured on the lattice ⇒ the enhancement in the GS model in the energy region of interest may be included in the lattice result.



Numerical implementation

Contractions

• Wick-contractions for $\langle J_{\mu}(u)J_{\nu}(v)\mathcal{H}_{W}(x)K_{L}(t_{\mathcal{K}})\rangle$.

<u>Dashed line</u>: $K_{\rm L}(t_{\rm K})$, <u>crosses</u>: $\mathcal{H}_{\rm W}(x)$, <u>solid dots</u>: $J_{\mu}(u)$ and $J_{\nu}(v)$



(*)We acknowledge Luchang Jin for generating the propagators used in this work.

$$K_{\rm L} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$
 from LQCD

Preliminary results

	Parameter	Value
blo	$L^3 \times T \times L_s$	$24^3\times 64\times 24$
IDIE	m_{π} [MeV]	142
	M_{K} [Mev]	515
	a^{-1} [GeV]	1.023

- Lattice setup: Möbius Domain Wall fermion ensemble 24ID from the RBC/UKQCD collaboration.
- Current statistics:
 - O(100) configurations for the 4pt functions with O(500 2000) point sources with various variance reduction techniques (all-to-all, LMA+AMA).
 - O(700) configurations for the determination of the η mass \Rightarrow principle source of error.
- \blacktriangleright Various strategies for treating the slowing decay η intermediate state (cf. talk by Ceran Hu).
- Summary table

$$\langle \mu^+\mu^-|\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{W}}(\mathbf{0})|\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L}}
angle_{\mathrm{LD}}=rac{G_{\mathrm{F}}e^4}{\sqrt{2}}|V_{\mathit{us}}||V_{\mathit{ud}}|\mathcal{A}$$

	$ { m Re}{\cal A} imes 10^{-4}$ [MeV ³]	$ \mathrm{Im}\mathcal{A} imes 10^{-4} \ [\text{MeV}^3]$
24ID	5.68(1.49)	17.09(3.43)
SD	2.47(18)	—
exp.	1.53(14)	7.10(3)

▶ Outlook moving to the $48^3 \times 96 \ 1/a \approx 1.73$ GeV physical pion ensemble, followed by a finer lattice for a continuum limit.