# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Improvement of Heatbath Algorithm in LFT using Generative model

41st Lattice Conference, Liverpool, UK

Ankur Singha

ML group, BIFOLD TU Berlin

Collaborators: Ali Faraz, Prof. Dipankar Chakraborti, Prof. Vipul Arora, Dr. Shinichi Nakajima.



# Introduction

### **Generative Models for Sampling Lattices**

- **Albergo et al. (2019)**: Normalizing flows for Phi4 theory.
- **Nicoli et al. (2020, 2021)**: Used NF for thermodynamic observables and mode collapse in Phi4 theory.
- **Kanwar et al. (2020)**, **Boyda et al. (2020)**: Applied forward KL optimization of NF for  $U(1)$ ,  $SU(N)$  gauge theories.
- **Singha et al. (2022, 2023)** : Conditional Normalizing flows for LFT.
- **Gerdes et al. (2022, 2023)**: Continuous Normalizing flows for LFT.
- **Caselle et al. (2022)**: Stochastic Normalizing flows for LFT.
- **Wang et al. (2023)**: Diffusion model for LFT.
- **Abbott et al. (2023, 2024)**: Sampled QCD fields with gauge-equivariant flow models.

### **Exploring the Heat-Bath Algorithm**

- **Motivation**: Generative models are promising but complex. Heat-Bath offers a simpler, exact method.
- **Objective**: Investigate Heat-Bath algorithm's performance, especially construct a good proposal. イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト  $QQ$

### Introduction: Gibbs Sampling & Heat-Bath

**Objective:** A Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm used to sample from a joint probability distribution  $P(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ . **Steps in Gibbs Sampling:**

**1** Initialization: Start with an initial state  $(x_1^{(0)})$  $\binom{(0)}{1}, x_2^{(0)}$  $x_2^{(0)}, \ldots, x_n^{(0)}$ ).

**Iterative Sampling:** 

$$
x_1^{(t+1)} \sim P(x_1 | x_2^{(t)}, x_3^{(t)}, \dots, x_n^{(t)})
$$
  
\n
$$
x_2^{(t+1)} \sim P(x_2 | x_1^{(t+1)}, x_3^{(t)}, \dots, x_n^{(t)})
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
  
\n
$$
x_n^{(t+1)} \sim P(x_n | x_1^{(t+1)}, x_2^{(t+1)}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{(t+1)})
$$

This is a sequential single site update from the conditional distribution.

)

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨ トー

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>Gibbs sampling & Heat-Bath

In lattice theories the number of conditions can be few only, like local theories,

$$
P(x_1 | x_2^{(t)}, x_3^{(t)}, \dots, x_n^{(t)}) = P(x_1 | x_2^{(t)}, x_3^{(t)}, x_4^{(t)}, x_5^{(t)})
$$

- If the conditional distribution can be factorise further, then parallel sampling is possible: Heatbath.
- This makes Heat-bath as faster sampling method.
- Sampling is done at two steps:

$$
X_{odd}^{(t)} \sim P(X_{odd}|X_{even})
$$
  

$$
X_{even}^{(t+1)} \sim P(X_{even}^t|X_{odd})
$$

### Heat-Bath: Discrete Case

**• Ising**: Probabilities for state  $s_i = +1$  and  $s_i = -1$ ,

$$
P(s_i = +1) = \frac{e^{\beta h_i}}{e^{\beta h_i} + e^{-\beta h_i}}, \ \ P(s_i = -1) = \frac{e^{-\beta h_i}}{e^{\beta h_i} + e^{-\beta h_i}}
$$

- Draw a random number  $u$  from a uniform distribution  $U(0, 1)$ .
- Set  $s_i = +1$  if  $u < P(s_i = +1)$ , otherwise set  $s_i = -1$ .
- **q state spins**:Probabilities

$$
P(s_i = k) = \frac{e^{\beta J n_k}}{\sum_{l=1}^{q} e^{\beta J n_l}}
$$

- Sample  $s_i$  from this distribution:
- Draw a random number  $u$  from a uniform distribution  $U(0, 1)$ .
- Use cumulative probabilities to determine the state *k*:

$$
k
$$
 = smallest integer such that  $\sum_{m=1}^{k} P(s_i = m) > u$ 

### <span id="page-5-0"></span>Heatbath: Continuous Case

#### **Probability Distribution:**

$$
P(\varphi_x) \propto \exp(-S(\varphi_x))
$$

#### **Cumulative Distribution Function:**

$$
F(\varphi_x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\varphi_x} P(\varphi') d\varphi'
$$

#### **Numerical Integration:**

Evaluating the CDF  $F(\varphi_x)$  non-trivial, often requires numerical methods. Thus need looks alternate methods such as rejection sampling.

### <span id="page-6-0"></span>Heat-Bath: Rejection Sampling

### **Action for Scalar LFT:**

• The local action is given by:

$$
S_{loc}(\varphi_{i,j}, \lambda, m^2, \kappa_{i,j}) = \left(m^2 + 4\right)\varphi_{i,j}^2 + \lambda\varphi_{i,j}^4 - 2\varphi_{i,j}\kappa_{i,j}
$$

Where:

$$
\kappa_{i,j} = \varphi_{i+1,j} + \varphi_{i,j+1} + \varphi_{i-1,j} + \varphi_{i,j-1}
$$

*λ* and *m* are theory parameters.

#### **Target Distribution:**

• The normalized target distribution is:

$$
p(\varphi_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-S_{loc}(\varphi_{i,j}, \lambda, m^2, \kappa_{i,j}))
$$

This represents the distribution we want to sample from.

Edwards, R. G., et al. Nuclear Physics B 10.1016/0550-32[13\(](#page-5-0)91[\)90](#page-7-0)[35](#page-5-0)[7-4](#page-6-0)

# <span id="page-7-0"></span>Heat-Bath Algorithm: Rejection Sampling

### **Proposal Distribution:**

• For Gaussian proposal distribution:

$$
g(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\varphi - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)
$$

where,

$$
\mu = \frac{\kappa_{i,j}}{m^2 + 4}, \ \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2(m^2 + 4)}
$$

Heat-Bath Algorithm:

• For each site 
$$
(i, j)
$$
:

- Calculate  $\mu = \frac{\kappa_{i,j}}{m^2+4}$  and  $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2(m^2+4)}$  from the Gaussian approximation.
- Draw a candidate  $\varphi_{i,j}$  from the Gaussian distribution.
- Accept the candidate with probability:

$$
\exp\left(-\lambda\varphi^4\right)
$$

• If rejected, draw a new candidate and repeat [un](#page-6-0)ti[l](#page-8-0) [ac](#page-6-0)[ce](#page-7-0)[p](#page-8-0)[ted](#page-0-0)[.](#page-18-0)

# <span id="page-8-0"></span>Challenges with Continuous Degrees of Freedom

#### **Challenges in Heatbath with rejection sampling**

- The primary challenge with this rejection method is finding an effective proposal distribution.
- A poor proposal distribution can result in a high rejection rate, which increases the overall simulation cost.
- The proposal distribution needs to be adjusted for different regions of the action parameters.
- Occasionally, the same type of proposal distribution may not be effective for simulations in parameter regimes where the target distribution has multiple modes.

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

**Target:** The conditional distribution of the lattice site (*i, j*) can be written as

$$
p(\varphi_{i,j}|\lambda, m^2, \kappa_{i,j}) = p(\varphi_{i,j}|\mathbf{v}_{i,j}) \propto e^{-S_{loc}(\varphi_{i,j}, \mathbf{v}_{i,j})}
$$
(1)

where, $\mathbf{v}_{i,j} = (\lambda, m^2, \kappa_{i,j})$  is the condition vector for the distribution. Eq. ([1\)](#page-9-0) is the target distribution which we model using generative approach. **Proposal:** We model the proposal distribution using GMM with *K* Gaussian components.

$$
q(\varphi_{i,j}|\mathbf{v}_{i,j};\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k(\mathbf{v}_{i,j};\theta_k) \mathcal{N}(\varphi_{i,j}|\mu_k(\mathbf{v}_{i,j};\theta_k), \sigma_k(\mathbf{v}_{i,j};\theta_k))
$$

where,  $\mu_k$ ,  $\sigma_k$ ,  $\pi_k$  are the mean, standard deviation and mixing coefficient of the  $k^{th}$ Gaussian distribution, which are estimated using NNs with parameters  $\theta = {\theta_k}_{k=1}^K$ .

<span id="page-9-0"></span>メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 トー

# Training: PBMG-Phi4

#### **Training data:**

We generate samples of  $v_{i,j}$  from  $p_v(v_{i,j})$  as the training set. Here,  $p_v(\mathbf{v}_{i,j}) = \text{Unif}([2.5, 15] \times [-8, 0] \times [-20, 20])$  as  $\lambda \in [2.5, 15]$ , *m*<sup>2</sup> ∈ [−8, 0] and  $\kappa_{i,j}$  ∈ [−20, 20] are the parameter ranges chosen for training.

**Loss Function** The training is done by minimizing the KL divergence between the proposal and the target :

$$
\mathcal{L} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [\log q((\varphi_{i,j})_k | (\mathbf{v}_{i,j})_r; ) - \log p((\varphi_{i,j})_k | (\mathbf{v}_{i,j})_r)] + ||\pi((\mathbf{v}_{i,j})_r; \theta|| \right]
$$
(2)

### PBMG Method: XY Model

The local Hamiltonian for  $\varphi_{i,j}$  is

$$
H(\varphi_{i,j}) = -\left[\cos(\varphi_{i,j} - \varphi_{i+1,j}) + \cos(\varphi_{i,j} - \varphi_{i,j+1}) + \cos(\varphi_{i,j} - \varphi_{i-1,j}) + \cos(\varphi_{i,j} - \varphi_{i,j-1})\right]
$$
(3)

$$
p(\varphi_{i,j}|\mathbf{v}_{i,j}) \propto e^{-\frac{H(\varphi_{i,j})}{T}}.
$$
\n(4)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

Here,  $\mathbf{v}_{i,j} = (\varphi_{i+1,j}, \varphi_{i,j+1}, \varphi_{i-1,j}, \varphi_{i,j-1}, T)$ .

舌

We use NF to model the proposal distribution  $q(\varphi_{i,j}|\mathbf{v}_{i,j};\theta)$ .  $p_Z(z|\mathbf{v}_{i,j};\theta_B)$  is the base distribution and  $f(z; \theta_R)$  is the invertible transformation used in the NF. Here,  $\theta = {\theta_{\bf R}, \theta_{\bf R}}$ .

Using the change of variables formula,

$$
q(\varphi_{i,j}|\mathbf{v}_{i,j};\theta) = p_Z \left( f^{-1}(\varphi_{i,j};\theta_R) |\mathbf{v}_{i,j};\theta_B \right) \newline \left| \det \left( \frac{\partial f^{-1}(\varphi_{i,j};\theta_R)}{\partial \varphi_{i,j}} \right) \right| \tag{5}
$$

Here, we use Rational Quadratic Splines (RQS) as the transform *f*. The parameters of the RQS flow are learned through neural networks.

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト

<span id="page-13-0"></span>The base distribution of RQS flow is chosen to be uniform, over a learnable interval *wint*.

We use four different NNs  $(NN_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  to learn these parameters. The Loss function:

$$
\mathcal{L} \approx \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [\log p_Z(z_k | (\mathbf{v}_{i,j})_r; \theta_B) +
$$
  
log | det  $J_f(z_k | (\mathbf{v}_{i,j})_r; \theta_R) |^{-1} - \log p (f(z_k; \theta_R) | (\mathbf{v}_{i,j})_r)].$ 

Here,  $p_v(\mathbf{v}_{i,j}) = \text{Unif}([0,2\pi]^4 \times [0.13,2.05])$  as the first four components of  $v_{i,j}$  belong to  $[0, 2\pi]$  and  $T \in [0.13, 2.05]$  is the training range of *T*.

∢ □ ▶ ⊀ 何 ▶ ⊀ ∃ ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶ ..

### <span id="page-14-0"></span>Results: PBMG vs Heatbath for Phi4 Theory

The trained models are used as proposal for Heatbath sampling. **Acceptance rate:** The acceptance rate R on lattice with lattice sites  $N_{tot}$  can be calculated as:



Figu[re](#page-13-0): Acceptance rate of Heatbath vs PBMG for differen[t a](#page-15-0)[c](#page-13-0)[tio](#page-14-0)[n](#page-15-0) [pa](#page-0-0)[ra](#page-18-0)[me](#page-0-0)[ter](#page-18-0) [v](#page-0-0)[alu](#page-18-0)es.

# <span id="page-15-0"></span>Results: PBMG vs Heatbath XY model

We compare PBMG XY model against Heatbath with uniform distribution as proposal:



Figure: Acceptance rate of Heatbath vs PBMG for different Temperatures.

For both Phi4 and XY model we consider same training time for the acceptance rate. For XY it is around 90% which further improves with tr[ai](#page-14-0)n[in](#page-16-0)[g](#page-14-0) [ti](#page-15-0)[m](#page-16-0)[e.](#page-0-0)  $QQ$ 

Ankur Singha (TU Berlin) [41st Lattice Conference, Liverpool, UK.](#page-0-0) 16/19

<span id="page-16-0"></span>The observables such as energy and magnetization are compared using Earth Mover Distance (EMD).



Ε

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

## Summary and Future Outlook:

- We propose a method to train a conditional generative to generate proposal for Heatbath.
- Its a conditional model both on lattice site (not restricted to local sites) and action parameters.
- The model has high acceptance rate and can be used across the wide range of action parameter.
- **Multiscale sampling**: A single model can be utilized for all levels of multi-scale sampling where effective actions need different proposals for Heatbath sampling.
- **Guage Thoery**: We are exploring sampling of Gauge theory using PBMG also theories which cannot be sampled by usual Heatbath.

# <span id="page-18-0"></span>**Thank You!**

K ロ K K ④ K K X K X X X X → C → G → G Q Q Q