# Exact lattice chiral symmetry

Aleksey Cherman University of Minnesota

Based on arXiv:2370.17539 with



Evan Berkowitz @ IKP, Julich



Theo Jacobson @ UCLA

## Massless fermions and their troubles

- Challenge: discretize massless fermions while preserving their internal symmetries.
  - Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem: can't discretize Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} = \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}$  while preserving
    - 1. Continuity in  $p_{\mu}$  Locality
    - 2.  $\mathcal{D}(p) = \gamma^{\mu} p_{\mu}$  for  $a | p | \ll 1$  Free fermion as  $a \to 0$
    - 3.  $\mathscr{D}$  invertible except at  $|p| \rightarrow 0$  No doublers
    - 4.  $\{\Gamma, \mathcal{D}\} = 0$  Chiral symmetry
  - Wilson, staggered, and overlap/domain wall discretizations give up various combinations of these features.



**Bosonize, then discretize** 

## The Idea

Berkowitz, AC, Jacobson, 2023

DeMarco, Lake, Wen, 2023 Morikawa, **Onoda**, Suzuki, (Friday)

• Discretizing  $\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}$  is hard, but we actually **need** det $(\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu})$ :

$$Z = \int da_{\mu} d\psi d\bar{\psi} e^{-S[a_{\mu},\psi,\bar{\psi}]}$$
$$= \int da_{\mu} \det(\gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu}) e^{-S[a_{\mu}]}$$

- There can be two expressions for  $det(\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu})$ : one involving  $\bar{\psi}, \psi$ , and a `dual' expression from e.g. bosonization.
  - Discretizing the bosonized expression turns out to be easier than discretizing  $\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}!$
- Gives lattice gauge theories with **exact** chiral symmetry.

## The punchlines

- Today I'll explain exact lattice chiral symmetry in 2d  $N_f = 1$  charge Q QED.
- Results generalize to many other U(1) gauge theory in 2d, including chiral gauge theories.
- Other generalizations:
  - Seems likely d > 2 is possible, work in progress!
  - Non-Abelian chiral symmetry much harder for now.

## Chiral symmetry in charge Q 2d QED

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4g^2} f_{\mu\nu}^2 + \bar{\psi} \left( \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - iQa_{\mu} \right) \psi$$

• ABJ anomaly  $\Rightarrow$  axial current is not conserved.

$$\partial_{\mu} j_A^{\mu} = Q q_{\text{top}}(a_{\mu}), \ q_{\text{top}}(a_{\mu}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} f_{\mu\nu}$$

•  $q_{top}(a_{\mu}) =$  topological charge density of the gauge field.  $\Delta Q_A = 2Q \int d^2x \, q_{top}(a_{\mu}) = 2Q\mathcal{I} \in 2Q\mathbb{Z}$ 

• So  $U(1)_A \to \mathbb{Z}_{2Q}$ , but  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq (-1)^F \subset \mathbb{Z}_{2Q}$  is gauged, so

$$G_A = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{2Q}}{\mathbb{Z}_2} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_Q$$

## Bosonization of a 1+1d Dirac fermion

$$\mathscr{L}_{\psi} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi$$
  
with gauged  $(-1)^{F}$ 



Compact boson 
$$\varphi$$
  
 $\mathscr{L}_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (\partial_{\mu} \varphi)^2$ 

(In QED  $(-1)^F$  is part of the U(1) gauge group)

- Evan Berkowitz (**next talk**!) will explain how to simulate the compact boson while preserving anomalies
- Gauging  $U(1)_V$  should give an ABJ anomaly reducing  $U(1)_A \to \mathbb{Z}_Q$ .
- Challenge: seeing ABJ anomaly requires e.g. exact instanton number quantization, among other things.
  - Topological charges usually not manifest on lattice!

#### **Compact boson review**

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (d\varphi)^2, \ \varphi \simeq \varphi + 2\pi$$

• Axial current:

$$j_A = \frac{1}{4\pi} d\varphi = \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_\mu \varphi dx^\mu$$

• Axial charge:

$$Q_A(C) = \int_C \star j_A = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_C \star d\varphi$$

 $\varphi$  shift charge

Conserved due to e.o.m.

$$j_V = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \star d\varphi = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \varphi dx_\mu$$

• Vector charge:

$$Q_V(C) = \int_C \star j_V = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C d\varphi$$

 $\varphi$  winding charge

Conserved by Bianchi identity

## Anomalies on the lattice

- Widespread historical view:
  - "Ultra-local lattice models can't capture anomalies."
- However, by now it is now well-known (by those who know it) that this isn't right:
  - Anomalies can be preserved on lattice, and even scalars can have anomalies.
  - Can preserve topological symmetries on lattice.

Sulejmanpasic, Gattringer; Shao, Seiberg, Gorantla; ... 2019 - now

Cond-mat, hep-lat examples and antecedents: Catterall et al (Friday), Singh+et al (Tuesday), Lieb+Shutz+Mattis, Kitaev, Kapustin+Thorngren, ...

#### The lattice

- Work on a square lattice with sites s, links  $\ell$ , plaquettes p, and cells on dual lattice  $\tilde{s}, \tilde{\ell}, \tilde{p}$ .
- "Hodge star" map from lattice to dual lattice
  \* s = p, \* l = l, \* p = s
  (d\u03cbc)\_{c^{r+1}} = \sum\_{c^r\u03cbc} \u03cbc\_{c^r\u03cbc}, so that (d\u03cbc)\_\u03cbla = \u03cbc\_{s+a\u03cbla} \u03cbc\_s, d^2 = 0.



# **Modified Villain formulation**

Villain 1970s; Gross, Klebanov 1990s; Cheng, Sulejmanpasic, Gattringer, Gorantla, Fazzi, Lam, Seiberg, Shao,... 2019 - now

•  $U(1) \simeq \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ , and it turns out a `more redundant' formulation with  $\mathbb{Z}$  gauge field helps preserve global symmetries.



- Continuum  $\varphi(x) \Rightarrow \{\varphi_{\tilde{s}} \in \mathbb{R}, \chi_{s} \in \mathbb{R}, n_{\tilde{\ell}} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- Continuum  $a_{\mu} \Rightarrow \{a_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}, r_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

#### Lattice action for 2d QED

$$S = \frac{\beta}{2} \left[ (da)_p - 2\pi r_p \right]^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} \left[ (d\varphi)_{\tilde{\ell}} - 2\pi n_{\tilde{\ell}} \right]^2$$
$$- i\chi_s (dn)_{\star s} + \frac{iQ}{2\pi} \varphi_{\star p} \left[ (da)_p - 2\pi r_p \right] - iQa_\ell n_{\star \ell}$$

- $\chi_s$  integral sets  $(dn)_{\tilde{p}} = (d \star j_V)_{\tilde{p}} = 0$ .  $Q_V$  is conserved!
- Gauge transformations:

$$\alpha_s \in \mathbb{R}, m_\ell, k_{\tilde{s}}, h_{\tilde{s}} \text{ are all } \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

• Short calculation: despite appearance of "raw"  $a_{\ell}, n_{\ell}, \chi_s$  fields in S,  $\exp(-S)$  is gauge invariant!

#### Exact chiral symmetry

$$S = \frac{\beta}{2} \left[ (da)_p - 2\pi r_p \right]^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} \left[ (d\varphi)_{\tilde{\ell}} - 2\pi n_{\tilde{\ell}} \right]^2$$
$$- i\chi_s (dn)_{\star s} + \frac{iQ}{2\pi} \varphi_{\star p} \left[ (da)_p - 2\pi r_p \right] - iQa_\ell n_{\star \ell}$$

- Quantization of  $Q_{top}$  on the lattice implies only  $\varphi_{\tilde{s}} \rightarrow \varphi_{\tilde{s}} + \frac{2\pi k}{Q}, k = 1, ..., Q - 1$  is a symmetry.  $\Delta S = \sum_{p \in \text{spacetime}} \frac{iQ}{2\pi} \left[ (da)_p - 2\pi r_p \right] \frac{2\pi k}{Q}$   $= -i2\pi k \sum_p r_p \in 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}$
- Chiral symmetry acts ultra-locally, and ABJ anomaly is reproduced at finite lattice spacing.



ink Glo

## **Positives and negatives**

#### • Negatives:

- Only have results only in 1+1d for now.
- Only works for Abelian gauge theories for now.
- ...
- Positives:
  - All symmetries act ultra-locally.
  - Capture anomalies on lattice for continuous and discrete symmetries, in gauge theories with massless fermions.
  - Discretization here is very different from usual ones.
  - Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem does not have an asterisk saying it doesn't apply to 1+1d Abelian models!
    - New evasion of the theorem seems interesting...

## Is the construction practical?

 Some of you may be thinking I hid a really big `negative': an apparently-horrible sign problem!

$$S_{\text{lat}} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \left[ (d\varphi)_{\tilde{\ell}} - 2\pi n_{\tilde{\ell}} \right]^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \left[ (da)_p - 2\pi r_p \right]^2$$
$$- \frac{i}{\chi_s} (dn)_{\star s} + \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{Q}{2\pi} \left[ (da)_p - 2\pi r_p \right] \varphi_{\star p}$$
$$- \frac{i}{Q} a_\ell n_{\star \ell}$$

- Evan will say more about how to evade sign problems in this type of model.
- They are just mirages, disappearing on closer inspection.



drawception.com

# Sign problem? What sign problem?

• Integrating over  $a_{\ell}$  and  $r_p$  gives yet another dual action:

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{dual}} &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \left[ (d\varphi)_{\tilde{\ell}} - \frac{2\pi}{Q} (dt)_{\tilde{\ell}} \right]^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\beta} \left( \frac{Q}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left( \varphi_{\tilde{s}} - \frac{2\pi}{Q} t_{\tilde{s}} \right)^2 - \frac{2\pi i}{Q} t_{\star p} (du)_p \end{split}$$

- $t_s, u_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}$  appear during duality from S to  $S_{\text{dual}}$ , and integral over  $u_{\ell}$  forces  $(dt)_{\star \ell} = 0 \mod Q$ .
  - Can easily maintain this condition when doing Monte Carlo field updates. No sign problem in practice!
- $S_{\text{dual}}$  contains precisely the expected `Schwinger boson'!

# Chiral gauge theory

Berkowitz, AC, Jacobson, 2023

See also Onogi (Tuesday)

- Can discretize many 2d Abelian chiral gauge theories, with internal symmetries and their 't Hooft anomalies intact!
- Example: `3450' model, with left-handed Weyl fermions with charges 3,4 + right-handed Weyl fermions with charges 5,0.
  - There's an anomaly-preserving sign-problem-free bosonized formulation for 3450 model with gauged  $(-1)^F$ .
  - Surprise: lattice definition reveals an unexpected extra global symmetry at a special point in parameter space.
    - Symmetry is quite **exotic** in terms of fermions, not noticed before.
  - Excellent target for numerical exploration!

## Conclusions

- Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem seems to kill hopes for exact, ultra-locally-acting, chiral symmetry on lattice.
- We've explored a new way around the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem that accomplishes this goal.
  - It's numerically very cheap (see Evan's talk next!), and works for some chiral gauge theories.
  - Approach may generalize to d > 2, work in progress...
  - Many open questions!

## Thanks for listening!