Nested Sampling for U(1) in 2 + 1 dimensions

Simone Romiti, Gurtej Kanwar, Urs Wenger

University of Bern

29 Jul. 2024 - 2 Aug. 2024



Outline

Introduction

Nested sampling

U(1) gauge theory in 2+1 dimensions

Results and Discussion

Conclusion



Introduction

- ▶ What is nested sampling?
- ▶ Strenghts and limitations
- Application to a U(1) gauge theory



p.d.f. f(x, y)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Table of Contents

Introduction

Nested sampling

U(1) gauge theory in 2+1 dimensions

Results and Discussion

Conclusion



Nested Sampling algorithm (part 1/4) Origin: Bayesian statistics, calculation of the evidence p.d.f. Evidence p.d.f.

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{L}[\phi] = \int d^n \omega \, \pi(\omega) \mathcal{L}(\omega) \approx \lim_{\Delta \omega \to 0} \sum_i \Delta \omega \pi(\omega_i) \mathcal{L}(\omega_i)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Nested Sampling algorithm (part 1/4) Origin: Bayesian statistics, calculation of the evidence p.d.f. Evidence p.d.f.

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{L}[\phi] = \int d^n \omega \, \pi(\omega) \mathcal{L}(\omega) \approx \lim_{\Delta \omega \to 0} \sum_i \Delta \omega \pi(\omega_i) \mathcal{L}(\omega_i)$$

Multi-dimensional integral: change of variable **Naive idea**:

▶ We draw the hyper-contours where

$$P(\omega_i) = \pi(\omega_i)\mathcal{L}(\omega_i) = \text{const.}$$

• The hyper-volumes separating the contours are ΔX_i

$$Z \approx \sum_{i} \Delta X_{i} P(X_{i})$$

Multi-dimensional integral: change of variable **Rigorously**:

$$X(L^*) = \int_{L^* > L} \pi(\omega) d\omega$$

The evidence reads:

$$Z = \int_0^1 dX \mathcal{L}(X)$$

Remarks:

► By construction,

L(X=1)=0

L is monotonically decreasing with X



Multi-dimensional integral: change of variable **Rigorously**:

$$X(L^*) = \int_{L^* > L} \pi(\omega) d\omega$$

The evidence reads:

$$Z = \int_0^1 dX \mathcal{L}(X)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Multi-dimensional integral: change of variable **Rigorously**:

$$X(L^*) = \int_{L^* > L} \pi(\omega) d\omega$$

The evidence reads:

$$Z = \int_0^1 dX \mathcal{L}(X)$$

Application to lattice field theories

- ▶ The Likelyhood \mathcal{L} is the Boltzmann factor
- ▶ The prior is the d.o.f. measure (e.g. Haar)
- \blacktriangleright Z is the partition function

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \mathcal{O}[\phi] e^{-\beta S[\phi]}$$

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



L

Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$



Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$

Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$

Steps of the algorithm

- 1. Draw n_{live} points randomly in the parameter space
- 2. Find the one corresponding to $L^* = \min\{\mathcal{L}\}$
- 3. Save L^* , remove from the n_{live} points, and draw another one from the constrained prior:

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \Theta\left(L(\omega) > L^*\right) \, \pi(\omega)$$

Nested Sampling algorithm (part 4/4) At each step we restrict the phase space:

$$X_i = t_i X_{i-1}, X_0 = 1$$

How do we estimate the volume elements?

 \rightarrow compression factor t follows a β distribution:

$$\beta(t) \sim t^{n_{\text{live}}-1}$$

Volume elements

$$\Delta X_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(X_{i-1} - X_{i+1} \right)$$

We can estimate it as:

- $\blacktriangleright \log t \approx \langle \log t \rangle = -1/n_{\text{live}}$
- t drawn from a β p.d.f.



Applications to field theory

Recap on Monte Carlo integration

• Path integral is approximated by Monte Carlo (ϕ_i sampled according to $P[\phi]$):

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi P[\phi]O[\phi] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\phi_i} O[\phi_i]$$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

Statistical error scaling as $1/\sqrt{N}$ **Note:** We sample only the values of the action $S[\phi]$. *Generic observable*: Bad approximation if $O[\phi]$ level do not overlap with $P[\phi]$

Applications to field theory

Recap on Monte Carlo integration

• Path integral is approximated by Monte Carlo (ϕ_i sampled according to $P[\phi]$):

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi P[\phi]O[\phi] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\phi_i} O[\phi_i]$$

▶ Statistical error scaling as $1/\sqrt{N}$

Note: We sample only the values of the action $S[\phi]$. Generic observable: Bad approximation if $O[\phi]$ level do not

overlap with $P[\phi]$

Sampling configurations with NS

- \blacktriangleright Control variable: X
- ▶ Overlap can be controlled: Likelyhood VS observable

Sampling configurations with NS

$$Z = \int dX \mathcal{L}(X) = \int dS \rho(S) \mathcal{L}(S)$$

•
$$\rho(S) = dX/dS$$

• $\mathcal{L}(S) = e^{-\beta S}$

Remark: $\rho(S)$ is determined independently of β !

Sampling configurations with NS

$$Z = \int dX \mathcal{L}(X) = \int dS \rho(S) \mathcal{L}(S)$$

•
$$\rho(S) = dX/dS$$

• $\mathcal{L}(S) = e^{-\beta S}$

Remark: $\rho(S)$ is determined independently of β !

Applications

- Phase transitions
- ▶ Topological unfreezing

For further discussion, see talks by U. Wenger and G. Kanwar

Table of Contents

Introduction

Nested sampling

U(1) gauge theory in 2+1 dimensions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Results and Discussion

Conclusion

U(1) gauge theory in 2+1 dimensions

$$\beta S = \beta \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu < \nu} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 - P_{\mu\nu}(x) \right) \tag{1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

$$P_{\mu\nu}(x) = U_{\mu}(x)U_{\nu}(x+\mu)U^{\dagger}(x+\nu)U^{\dagger}(x)$$

Main features

- ► Confinement at all couplings (Dirac monopoles)
- ► Static quark potential

$$V(r) = a + b \log(r) + \sigma R$$

U(1) gauge theory in 2+1 dimensions

$$\beta S = \beta \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu < \nu} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 - P_{\mu\nu}(x) \right) \tag{1}$$

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

$$P_{\mu\nu}(x) = U_{\mu}(x)U_{\nu}(x+\mu)U^{\dagger}(x+\nu)U^{\dagger}(x)$$

Main features

- Confinement at all couplings (Dirac monopoles)
- Static quark potential

$$V(r) = a + b \log(r) + \sigma R$$

Geometry considered here

$$L/a = 4$$
 , $T/a = 8$, $d = 3$

Table of Contents

Introduction

Nested sampling

U(1) gauge theory in 2+1 dimensions

Results and Discussion

Conclusion



Gauge action through the phase space



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Total weights





Partition function



- **・**ロト *・* 個 ト *・* 国 ト ・ 国 ・ の 9

Density of states



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

Plaquette expectation value



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

Comparison with Metropolis and HMC



Conclusion

- ▶ Application of NS to pure gauge $U(1)_{2+1}$
- ▶ Compatibility with Metropolis and HMC

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Conclusion

- Application of NS to pure gauge $U(1)_{2+1}$
- Compatibility with Metropolis and HMC

Future directions

► Anisotropic actions:

$$\beta S = \frac{\beta}{\xi_0} \sum_{x,i} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 - P_{0i}(x) \right) + \beta \xi_0 \sum_{x,i>j} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 - P_{ij}(x) \right)$$

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

scan β dependence at fixed ξ_0

- ▶ U(1) in 3 + 1 dimensions: bulk phase transition
- ▶ SU(N) gauge theories

Thank you for your attention!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ ∃ ∽のへで