

Abstract **Computing Time Delay of Wavepacket** We compute scattering phase shift due to interaction between different flavors of fermions in a (1+1) D lattice toy model- Gross-Neveu model. The model shares some similar characteristics with QCD Model particle Ground state - Asymptotically free relativistic field theory. Real-time evolution excitations preparation – Dynamical mass generation. Real time evolution of the model with quantum computer has been investigated in [1]. There has been recent investigations of scattering with quantum computers for different lattice models [2-5]. Here, we explore further on finding the phase shifts due to the interaction introduced between different flavors of fermions on the same lattice site. 1. Prepare the ground state: $|\Omega\rangle$ 2. Create two wavepackets in the position space separated by a finite distance N/2Introduction $\psi_w(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{N} \left(e^{ik^{(1)}x^{(1)} - (x^{(1)} - N/4)^2 / (N/8)^2} e^{-ik^{(2)}x^{(2)} - (x^{(2)} - 3N/4)^2 / (N/8)^2} \right)$ Lattice Hamiltonian [1] for L-spatial sites and N-flavors of fermions in terms of reduced 3. We time evolve the resulting excitation to obtain the evolved state $|\psi_f\rangle$. staggered fields χ_{a} 4. Find projections on the (slowest) left and the right moving momentum eigenstates. $H^{(N)} = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \left[i \sum_{f=1}^{N} \chi_n^{\dagger f} \left[\chi_{n+1}^f - \chi_{n-1}^f \right] + m (-1)^n \chi_n^{\dagger f} \chi_n^f + G^2 \left(\sum_{f=1}^{N} \chi_n^{\dagger f} \chi_n^f \right)^2 \right]$ where, *f* is the flavor index and *n* is the lattice site index. Qubitization of the model is 5. Compute rescaled probability with and without interaction performed using Jordan-Wigner transformation $H^{(N)} = \sum_{n=1}^{L-1} \left[\sum_{f=1}^{N} \left(-X_n^f Y_{n+1}^f + Y_n^f X_{n-1}^f + (-1)^n m (1-Z_n^f) + \frac{G^2}{2} \sum_{g,g>f} (I-Z_n^f) (I-Z_n^g) \right) \right].$ Now, time-evolution of a state can be performed using some local-rotation and entangling 6. Compute time delay: operations $\mathcal{U}(t) = \exp(-i\delta t X_{fL+n} Y_{fL+n+1}) \exp(i\delta t Y_{fL+n} X_{fL+n-1}) \exp(-iG^2 \delta t Z_{fL+n} Z_{gL+n})$ 1.0 * * * L=10L=10L=16, f=2 -0.50.60 -4-2-4-4-20.55 Fig 1. Gap(left), average Magnetization (middle) and average same-site correlation of the magnetization of two flavors of fermions (right). **☆** 0.50



-100





Fig 2: TEBD circuit structure for the time evolution of the MPS.

Contact

Muhammad Asaduzzaman (Asad): masaduzzaman@uiowa.edu



Scattering phase shift in Gross-Neveu model

Muhammad Asaduzzaman*, Zheyue Hang*, Goksu Can Toga**, Simon Catterall**, Yannick Meurice*

*University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

**Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA



$$|k_{\pm}^{(f)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(\pm i \frac{2\pi}{N} j^{(f)}\right) |j^{(f)}\rangle$$

$$P_{\pm}^{(f)} = \langle k_{\pm}^{(f)} | \psi_f \rangle$$

$$R_{-}^{(G^2)} = \frac{P_{-}^{(1)}}{P_{-}^{(1)} + P_{+}^{(1)}}$$

0.45

0.40

$$T_W(G^2, k) = t(R_-^{(0)} = 0.5) - t(R_-^{(G^2)} = 0.5)$$



Fig 4. Phase delay due to interaction can be computed from the scaled return probability. The phase delay can be computed from the delay at $R_{-} \sim 0.5$.

References

- 1. Asaduzzaman, Muhammad, et al. "Quantum simulation of the N-flavor Gross-Neveu model." Physical Review D 106.11 (2022): 114515
- 2. Gustafson, Erik, et al. "Benchmarking quantum computers for real-time evolution of a \$(1+1) \$ field theory with error mitigation." arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.09478 (2019)
- 3. Van Damme, Maarten, et al. "Real-time scattering of interacting quasiparticles in quantum spin chains." Physical Review Research 3.1 (2021): 013078.

Parameters:

Matrix product state (MPS) based Time Evolving Block Decimation (TEBD) algorithm used for the simulation.

- 1. lattice site: 16
- 2. no of flavor of fermions: 2
- 3. chosen momenta: $k = \frac{2\pi}{N}$

Results:

$$T_W(0.3, \pi/$$

be estimated.

 $2\delta(k_f)$

Additional steps to estimate time delay using quantum simulation?

— applying efficient state preparation algorithm for the ground state and the wave packet creation, QETU algorithm [6].

— perform inverse Fourier transform for the measurements in the momentum basis [7].

— investigate the impact of different sources of noise in the time-delay calculation: coherent and incoherent. Demonstrate importance of the error mitigation in solving scattering problems with real time evolution.

4. Davoudi, Zohreh, Chung-Chun Hsieh, and Saurabh V. Kadam. "Scattering wave packets of hadrons in gauge theories: Preparation on a quantum computer." arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.00840 (2024).

5. Chai, Yahui, et al. "Entanglement production from scattering of fermionic wave packets: a quantum computing approach." arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02272 (2023) 6. Dong, Yulong, Lin Lin, and Yu Tong. "Ground-state preparation and energy estimation on early fault-tolerant quantum computers via quantum eigenvalue transformation of unitary matrices." PRX Quantum 3.4 (2022): 040305.

7. Ferris, Andrew J. "Fourier transform for fermionic systems and the spectral tensor network." Physical review letters 113.1 (2014): 010401.



Results

Computed time delay after scattering due to interaction

(8) = 0.1039 $T_W(0.6, \pi/8) = 0.2343$

Future Prospects

Using the information of the time delay due to interaction, the scattering phase shift can

$$(k) = 2\delta(k_0) + \int_{k_0}^{k_f} \mathrm{d}k T_W(k) (\partial E/\partial k)$$