Scale setting on the 2+1+1 HISQ ensembles: progress report

Alexei Bazavov Michigan State University/CERN

with Claude Bernard, Carleton E. DeTar, Aida X. El-Khadra, Elvira Gamiz, Steven Gottlieb, Anthony V. Grebe, Urs M. Heller, William I. Jay, Andreas S. Kronfeld, Yin Lin, Ruth Van de Water [Fermilab Lattice and MILC Collaborations]

> Lattice 2024 Liverpool, July 28 — August 3, 2024

- FLAG: gradient flow scales
- The gradient flow: definitions, corrections, integration
- Relative scale and the integrated autocorrelation time
- Absolute scale: a few examples $-w_0/r_1, w_0 f_{\pi}, w_0 M_{\Omega}$
- Conclusion

FLAG 2023 update: gradient flow scales

			dication status al atton status tiputus boldus o vou creation	sice when we about the		
Collaboration	Ref.	N_{f}		No Charles	$\sqrt{t_0}$ [fm]	$w_0 [{ m fm}]$
ETM 21	[43]	2+1+1	A ★ ★ ★	f_{π}	0.14436(61)	0.17383(63)
CalLat 20A	[115]	2 + 1 + 1	A ★ ★ ★	m_Ω	0.1422(14)	0.1709(11)
BMW 20	[119]	1 + 1 + 1 +	-1 A ★ ★ ★	m_{Ω}		0.17236(29)(63)[70]
ETM 20	[1057]	2 + 1 + 1	$C \star \star \star$	f_π		0.1706(18)
MILC 15	[116]	2 + 1 + 1	A ★ ★ ★	$F_{p4s}(f_{\pi})^{\#}$	0.1416(+8/-5)	0.1714(+15/-12)
HPQCD 13A	[40]	2 + 1 + 1	$A \star \circ \star$	f_π	0.1420(8)	0.1715(9)
RQCD 22	[1058]	2+1	$P \star \star \star$	m_{Ξ}	0.1449(+7/-9)	
CLS 21	[1059]	2 + 1	$C \star \star \star$	f_{π}, f_K	0.1443(7)(13)	
CLS 16	[117]	2 + 1	$A \circ \star \star$	f_{π}, f_{K}	0.1467(14)(7)	
QCDSF/UKQCD 15I	B [718]	2 + 1	Ροοο	$m_P^{SU(3)}$	0.1511(22)(6)(5)(3)	0.1808(23)(5)(6)(4)
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[10]	2 + 1	$A \star \star \star$	m_Ω	0.14389(81)	0.17250(91)
HotQCD 14	[120]	2 + 1	$A \star \star \star$	$r_1(f_\pi)^\#$		0.1749(14)
BMW 12A	[118]	2 + 1	$A \star \star \star$	m_Ω	0.1465(21)(13)	0.1755(18)(4)

FLAG 2023 update: gradient flow scales

			lication al cation strated status tinuun abolatus o von cation	sical scale		
Collaboration	Ref.	N_{f}	Dun Dun Con Con Thui	NO NO	$\sqrt{t_0}$ [fm]	$w_0 [{ m fm}]$
ETM 21	[43]	2+1+1	A ★ ★ ★	f_{π}	0.14436(61)	0.17383(63)
CalLat 20A	[115]	2 + 1 + 1	A ★ ★ ★	m_{Ω}	0.1422(14)	0.1709(11)
BMW 20	[119]	1 + 1 + 1 +	-1 A ★ ★ ★	m_Ω		0.17236(29)(63)[70]
ETM 20	[1057]	2 + 1 + 1	$C \star \star \star$	f_{π}		0.1706(18)
MILC 15	[116]	2 + 1 + 1	A ★ ★ ★	$F_{p4s}(f_{\pi})^{\#}$	0.1416(+8/-5)	0.1714(+15/-12)
HPQCD 13A	[40]	2 + 1 + 1	$A \star \circ \star$	f_{π}	0.1420(8)	0.1715(9)
RQCD 22	[1058]	2+1	$P \star \star \star$	m_{Ξ}	0.1449(+7/-9)	
CLS 21	[1059]	2 + 1	$C \star \star \star$	f_{π}, f_K	0.1443(7)(13)	
CLS 16	[117]	2 + 1	$A \circ \star \star$	f_{π}, f_K	0.1467(14)(7)	
QCDSF/UKQCD 15	B [718]	2 + 1	Ροοο	$m_P^{SU(3)}$	0.1511(22)(6)(5)(3)	0.1808(23)(5)(6)(4)
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[10]	2 + 1	A ★ ★ ★	m_{Ω}	0.14389(81)	0.17250(91)
HotQCD 14	[120]	2 + 1	A ★ ★ ★	$r_1(f_\pi)^{\#}$		0.1749(14)
BMW 12A	[118]	2+1	A ★ ★ ★	m_{Ω}	0.1465(21)(13)	0.1755(18)(4)

FLAG 2023 update: gradient flow scales

		dication al cation strated status inutur 2002 dus	Sice/ Sice/of 200/2010		
Collaboration Ref	N_f	Duri Chin	ŴQ.	$\sqrt{t_0}$ [fm]	$w_0 [{ m fm}]$
ETM 21 [43] 2+1+1	A \star \star ★	f_{π}	0.14436(61)	0.17383(63)
CalLat 20A SSCc [115] 2+1+1	A ★ ★ ★	m_Ω	0.1422(14)	0.1709(11)
BMW 20 [119] 1+1+1-	+1 A ★ ★ ★	m_Ω		0.17236(29)(63)[70]
ETM 20 [1057] 2+1+1	$C \star \star \star$	f_{π}		0.1706(18)
MILC 15 SSCc [116] 2+1+1	A ★ ★ ★	$F_{p4s}(f_{\pi})^{\#}$	0.1416(+8/-5)	0.1714(+15/-12)
HPQCD 13A SWCo [40] 2+1+1	A ★ O ★	f_π	0.1420(8)	0.1715(9)
RQCD 22 [1058] 2+1	P \star \star ★	m_{Ξ}	0.1449(+7/-9)	
CLS 21 [1059] 2+1	$C \star \star \star$	f_{π}, f_K	0.1443(7)(13)	
CLS 16 [117] 2+1	$A \circ \star \star$	f_{π}, f_{K}	0.1467(14)(7)	
QCDSF/UKQCD 15B [718] 2+1	Ροοο	$m_P^{SU(3)}$	0.1511(22)(6)(5)(3)	0.1808(23)(5)(6)(4)
RBC/UKQCD 14B [10] 2+1	A ★ ★ ★	m_{Ω}^{-}	0.14389(81)	0.17250(91)
HotQCD 14 $[120]$] 2+1	A ★ ★ ★	$r_1(f_\pi)^{\#}$		0.1749(14)
BMW 12A [118] 2+1	A ★ ★ ★	m_Ω	0.1465(21)(13)	0.1755(18)(4)

$\approx a$	Key	β	am'_l	am'_s	am'_c	$(L/a)^3 \times (T/a)$	L	M_{π}	$M_{\pi}L$	$N_{\rm conf}$
(fm)							(fm)	(MeV)		
0.15	$m_s/5$	5.80	0.013	0.065	0.838	$16^{3} \times 48$	2.45	305	3.8	1020
0.15	$m_s/10$	5.80	0.0064	0.064	0.828	$24^3 \times 48$	3.67	214	4.0	1000
0.15	physical	5.80	0.00235	0.0647	0.831	$32^3 \times 48$	4.89	131	3.3	1000
0.12	$m_s/5$	6.00	0.0102	0.0509	0.635	$24^3 \times 64$	2.93	305	4.5	1040
0.12	unphysA	6.00	0.0102	0.03054^\dagger	0.635	$24^3 \times 64$	2.93	304	4.5	1020
0.12	small	6.00	0.00507	0.0507	0.628	$24^3 \times 64$	2.93	218	3.2	1020
0.12	$m_s/10$	6.00	0.00507	0.0507	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	217	4.3	1000
0.12	large	6.00	0.00507	0.0507	0.628	$40^3 \times 64$	4.89	216	5.4	1028
0.12	unphysB	6.00	0.01275	0.01275^\dagger	0.640	$24^3 \times 64$	2.93	337	5.0	1020
0.12	unphysC	6.00	0.00507	0.0304^\dagger	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	215	4.3	1020
0.12	unphysD	6.00	0.00507	0.022815^\dagger	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	214	4.2	1020
0.12	unphysE	6.00	0.00507	0.012675^\dagger	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	214	4.2	1020
0.12	unphysF	6.00	0.00507	0.00507^{\dagger}	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	213	4.2	1020
0.12	unphysG	6.00	0.0088725	0.022815^\dagger	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	282	5.6	1020
0.12	physical	6.00	0.00184	0.0507	0.628	$48^3 \times 64$	5.87	132	3.9	999
0.09	$m_s/5$	6.30	0.0074	0.037	0.440	$32^{3} \times 96$	2.81	316	4.5	1005
0.09	$m_s/10$	6.30	0.00363	0.0363	0.430	$48^3 \times 96$	4.22	221	4.7	999
0.09	physical	6.30	0.0012	0.0363	0.432	$64^3 \times 96$	5.62	129	3.7	484

$\approx a$	Key	β	am'_l	am'_s	am'_c	$(L/a)^3 \times (T/a)$	L	M_{π}	$M_{\pi}L$	$N_{\rm conf}$	
(fm)							(fm)	(MeV)			
0.15	$m_s/5$	5.80	0.013	0.065	0.838	$16^{3} \times 48$	2.45	305	3.8	1020	
0.15	$m_s/10$	5.80	0.0064	0.064	0.828	$24^3 \times 48$	3.67	214	4.0	1000	
0.15	physical	5.80	0.00235	0.0647	0.831	$32^3 \times 48$	4.89	131	3.3	1000	
0.12	$m_s/5$	6.00	0.0102	0.0509	0.635	$24^3 \times 64$	2.93	305	4.5	1040	
0.12	unphysA	6.00	0.0102	0.03054^\dagger	0.635	$24^3 \times 64$	2.93	304	4.5	1020	
0.12	small	6.00	0.00507	0.0507	0.628	$24^3 \times 64$	2.93	218	3.2	1020	
0.12	$m_s/10$	6.00	0.00507	0.0507	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	217	4.3	1000	
0.12	large	6.00	0.00507	0.0507	0.628	$40^3 \times 64$	4.89	216	5.4	1028	
0.12	unphysB	6.00	0.01275	0.01275^\dagger	0.640	$24^3 \times 64$	2.93	337	5.0	1020	
0.12	unphysC	6.00	0.00507	0.0304^\dagger	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	215	4.3	1020	
0.12	unphysD	6.00	0.00507	0.022815^\dagger	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	214	4.2	1020	2013
0.12	unphysE	6.00	0.00507	0.012675^\dagger	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	214	4.2	1020	
0.12	unphysF	6.00	0.00507	0.00507^\dagger	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	213	4.2	1020	
0.12	unphysG	6.00	0.0088725	0.022815^\dagger	0.628	$32^3 \times 64$	3.91	282	5.6	1020	
0.12	physical	6.00	0.00184	0.0507	0.628	$48^3 \times 64$	5.87	132	3.9	999	
0.09	$m_s/5$	6.30	0.0074	0.037	0.440	$32^3 \times 96$	2.81	316	4.5	1005	
0.09	$m_s/10$	6.30	0.00363	0.0363	0.430	$48^3 \times 96$	4.22	221	4.7	999	
0.09	physical	6.30	0.0012	0.0363	0.432	$64^3 \times 96$	5.62	129	3.7	484	+

0.06	$m_s/5$	6.72	0.0048	0.024	0.286	$48^3 \times 144$	2.72	329	4.5	1016
0.06	$m_s/10$	6.72	0.0024	0.024	0.286	$64^3 \times 144$	3.62	234	4.3	572
0.06	physical	6.72	0.0008	0.022	0.260	$96^3 \times 192$	5.44	135	3.7	842
0.042	$m_s/5$	7.00	0.00316	0.0158	0.188	$64^3 \times 192$	2.73	315	4.3	1167
0.042	physical	7.00	0.000569	0.01555	0.1827	$144^3\!\times\!288$	6.13	134	4.2	420
0.03	$m_s/5$	7.28	0.00223	0.01115	0.1316	$96^{3} \times 288$	3.09	309	4.8	724

											2015
0.06	$m_s/5$	6.72	0.0048	0.024	0.286	$48^3 \times 144$	2.72	329	4.5	1016	'2020
0.06	$m_s/10$	6.72	0.0024	0.024	0.286	$64^3 \times 144$	3.62	234	4.3	572	
0.06	physical	6.72	0.0008	0.022	0.260	$96^3 \times 192$	5.44	135	3.7	842	
0.042	$m_s/5$	7.00	0.00316	0.0158	0.188	$64^3 \times 192$	2.73	315	4.3	1167	
0.042	physical	7.00	0.000569	0.01555	0.1827	$144^3\!\times\!288$	6.13	134	4.2	420	
0.03	$m_s/5$	7.28	0.00223	0.01115	0.1316	$96^3 \times 288$	3.09	309	4.8	724	

											2015
0.06	$m_s/5$	6.72	0.0048	0.024	0.286	$48^3 \times 144$	2.72	329	4.5	1016	'2020
0.06	$m_s/10$	6.72	0.0024	0.024	0.286	$64^3 \times 144$	3.62	234	4.3	572	
0.06	physical	6.72	0.0008	0.022	0.260	$96^3 \times 192$	5.44	135	3.7	842	<u> </u>
0.042	$m_s/5$	7.00	0.00316	0.0158	0.188	$64^3 \times 192$	2.73	315	4.3	1167	
0.042	physical	7.00	0.000569	0.01555	0.1827	$144^3 \times 288$	6.13	134	4.2	420	
0.03	$m_s/5$	7.28	0.00223	0.01115	0.1316	$96^3 \times 288$	3.09	309	4.8	724	

- Additionally:
 - Retuned physical m_l/m_s at a = 0.15, 0.12 and 0.09 (CalLat) fm with CalLat, 2011.12166 CalLat, 2011.12166
 - Larger volume $128^3 \times 96$ at physical $m_l/m_s a = 0.09$ fm.
 - 6 ensembles at a = 0.06 and 0.09 fm with lighter-than-physical strange quark mass.

											2015
0.06	$m_s/5$	6.72	0.0048	0.024	0.286	$48^3 \times 144$	2.72	329	4.5	1016	'2020
0.06	$m_s/10$	6.72	0.0024	0.024	0.286	$64^3 \times 144$	3.62	234	4.3	572	
0.06	physical	6.72	0.0008	0.022	0.260	$96^3 \times 192$	5.44	135	3.7	842	
0.042	$m_s/5$	7.00	0.00316	0.0158	0.188	$64^3 \times 192$	2.73	315	4.3	1167	
0.042	physical	7.00	0.000569	0.01555	0.1827	$144^3\!\times\!288$	6.13	134	4.2	420	this
0.03	$m_s/5$	7.28	0.00223	0.01115	0.1316	$96^3 \times 288$	3.09	309	4.8	724	work

- Additionally:
 - Returned physical m_l/m_s at a = 0.15, 0.12 and 0.09 (CalLat) fm with CalLat, 2011.12166 CalLat, 2011.12166
 - Larger volume $128^3 \times 96$ at physical $m_l/m_s a = 0.09$ fm.
 - 6 ensembles at a = 0.06 and 0.09 fm with lighter-than-physical strange quark mass.

• Smoothing of the original gauge field $U_{x,\mu}$ towards stationary points of the action S^{f} : Lüscher, 1006.4518

$$\frac{dV_{x,\mu}}{dt} = -\left\{\partial_{x,\mu}S^{f}(t)\right\}V_{x,\mu}, \quad V_{x,\mu}(t=0) = U_{x,\mu},$$

where the flow action $S^f = S_{Wilson}$ or $S_{Symanzik}$.

• (We have not experimented with the Zeuthen flow.) Ramos, Sint, 1508.05552

• Smoothing of the original gauge field $U_{x,\mu}$ towards stationary points of the action S^{f} : Lüscher, 1006.4518

$$\frac{dV_{x,\mu}}{dt} = -\left\{\partial_{x,\mu}S^{f}(t)\right\}V_{x,\mu}, \quad V_{x,\mu}(t=0) = U_{x,\mu},$$

where the flow action $S^f = S_{Wilson}$ or $S_{Symanzik}$.

- (We have not experimented with the Zeuthen flow.) Ramos, Sint, 1508.05552
- Scale setting:

$$t^2 \langle S^o(t) \rangle \Big|_{t=t_0}^{\text{Lüscher, 1006.4518}} \text{ or } \left[t \frac{d}{dt} t^2 \langle S^o(t) \rangle \right]_{t=w_0^2}^{\text{Borsanyi et al., 1203.4469}} = Const,$$

where the observable $S^o = S_{clover}$ or S_{Wilson} or $S_{Symanzik}$.

• In practice Const = 0.3.

The gradient flow

• For a given combination of the dynamical action, flow action and the observable the leading discretization effects can be canceled at tree level:

$$t^{2}S(t) \rightarrow t^{2}S_{corr}(t) = \frac{t^{2}S(t)}{1 + \sum_{m=1}^{4} C_{m}(a^{2m}/t^{m})}$$

Fodor et al, 1406.0827

• Expansion in a^2/t

$$\langle t^2 S(t) \rangle_a = \frac{3(N^2 - 1)g_0^2}{128\pi^2} (C(a^2/t) + O(g_0^2))$$

The gradient flow

	SWS	WWC	SSS	SWW	WSW	WSC
C_2	1/72	-1/24	-1/24	-1/24	5/72	-7/72
C_4	7/320	-1/512	1/32	1/32	23/1280	19/2560
C_6	-8539/1935360	-1/5120	-283/27648	-283/27648	2077/483840	-2237/1935360
C_8	76819/18579456	-1/65536	3229/442368	3229/442368	16049/9289728	14419/74317824
	SSW	WWW	WSS	WWS	SWC	SSC
C_2	-7/72	1/8	1/8	13/72	-5/24	-19/72
C_4	35/768	3/128	3/128	13/384	167/2560	145/1536
C_6	-5131/276480	13/2048	13/2048	277/30720	-58033/1935360	-12871/276480
C_8	10957/884736	77/32768	77/32768	323/98304	457033/24772608	52967/1769472

The gradient flow

	SWS	WWC	SSS	SWW	WSW	WSC
C_2	1/72	-1/24	-1/24	-1/24	5/72	-7/72
C_4	7/320	-1/512	1/32	1/32	23/1280	19/2560
C_6	-8539/1935360	-1/5120	-283/27648	-283/27648	2077/483840	-2237/1935360
C_8	76819/18579456	-1/65536	3229/442368	3229/442368	16049/9289728	14419/74317824
	SSW	WWW	WSS	WWS	SWC	SSC
C_2	-7/72	1/8	1/8	13/72	-5/24	-19/72
C_4	35/768	3/128	3/128	13/384	167/2560	145/1536
C_6	-5131/276480	13/2048	13/2048	277/30720	-58033/1935360	-12871/276480
C_8	10957/884736	77/32768	77/32768	323/98304	457033/24772608	52967/1769472

• Corrections for the relevant gauge-flow-observable combinations that we measure.

Integration of the flow

• We use (6,4) 2N-storage Runge-Kutta method.

Bazavov, 2007.04225 Bazavov, Chuna, 2101.05320 Berland, Bogey, Bailly, Computers and Fluids (2006)

• For all ensembles we integrate the flow at two step sizes $\Delta t = 1/20$, 1/40 to fully control the global integration error.

• Define the integration error as

$$\Delta S \equiv \left\langle S^{o}(t, \Delta t = 1/40) \right\rangle \Big|_{t=w_0^2} - \left\langle S^{o}(t, \Delta t = 1/20) \right\rangle \Big|_{t=w_0^2}$$

• Define the integration error as

$$\Delta S \equiv \left\langle S^{o}(t, \Delta t = 1/40) \right\rangle \Big|_{t=w_0^2} - \left\langle S^{o}(t, \Delta t = 1/20) \right\rangle \Big|_{t=w_0^2}$$



• The integration error on the physical mass ensembles at a = 0.12 fm (left) and a = 0.042 fm (right).

- Define the autocorrelation function for an observable \mathcal{O} : $C(n) \equiv \langle \mathcal{O}_0 \mathcal{O}_n \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^2$
- The integrated autocorrelation time

$$\tau_{int} = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N} \right) \frac{C(n)}{C(0)}, \quad \sigma^2(\bar{\mathcal{O}}) = \frac{\sigma^2(\bar{\mathcal{O}})}{N} \tau_{int}$$

- Define the autocorrelation function for an observable \mathcal{O} : $C(n) \equiv \langle \mathcal{O}_0 \mathcal{O}_n \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^2$
- The integrated autocorrelation time

$$\tau_{int} = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N} \right) \frac{C(n)}{C(0)}, \quad \sigma^2(\bar{\mathcal{O}}) = \frac{\sigma^2(\bar{\mathcal{O}})}{N} \tau_{int}$$

• Window method to estimate the integrated autocorrelation time

$$\tau_{int}(n) = 1 + 2\sum_{n'=1}^{n} \frac{C(n')}{C(0)}$$

• If the autocorrelation function is a single exponential

$$C(n) = C(0) \exp(-an)$$
 then $\tau_{int}^1 = \frac{e^a + 1}{e^a - 1}$

Autocorrelations: a = 0.06 fm, 300 MeV pion



- MC time series: \sim 6,000 time units
- Observable: Clover action density at $t \sim w_0^2$
- Normalized autocorrelation function (left) and integrated autocorrelation time $\tau_{int}(t_{MC})$ (right)
- Single-exponential fit: $\tau_{int}^1 = 122 \pm 31$

Autocorrelations: a = 0.042 fm, physical pion



- MC time series: \sim 6,000 time units
- Observable: Clover action density at $t \sim w_0^2$
- Normalized autocorrelation function (left) and integrated autocorrelation time $\tau_{int}(t_{MC})$ (right)
- Single-exponential fit: $\tau_{int}^1 = 100 \pm 12$

Relative scale

- Statistical uncertainty:
 - Propagated with jackknife on binned data.
 - Bin size is extrapolated to infinity.

RHMC vs RHMD



• Histogram of the clover observable at $t \simeq w_0^2$ on the

 $m_{\pi} = 200 \text{ MeV}$ a = 0.06 fm ensemble

• w₀/a in SSCc: 2.9557(34) RHMC vs 2.9520(47) RHMD

- Our plan:
 - $w_0 f_{\pi}$ on all ensembles (also as a crosscheck of our earlier work, MILC, PRD 93 (2016), 1503.02769).
 - $w_0 M_{\Omega}$ on the physical mass ensembles.





- Crosscheck against the r_1 scale that has been recently determined on most of the HISQ ensembles. TUMQCD, 2206.03156
- Simple fits: linear and quadratic in a^2 .

 $W_0 f$



- The $w_0 f_{\pi}$ quantity on the physical mass a = 0.042, 0.06, 0.09(original and retuned) and 0.12 (original and retuned) fm ensembles.
- No corrections of the mass mistuning yet. The magnitude of the effect seems comparable to the spread of the flow-observable schemes.

Omega baryon

- We use HISQ in the valence sector for computing M_{Ω} .
- General challenges:
 - Signal-to-noise for baryons.
 - Excited states at early Euclidean times.
 - Staggered baryon spectroscopy.

Golterman, Smit, NPB 255 (1985) Kilcup, Sharpe, NPB 283 (1987) Bailey, hep-lat/0611023 Hughes, Lin, Meyer, 1912.00028

Omega baryon: selected fits

- Wall-point correlation functions.
- N + N state constrained fits with N = 1, 2, 3.
- Scan t_{min} with fixed t_{max} .

Omega baryon: selected fits

- Wall-point correlation functions.
- N + N state constrained fits with N = 1, 2, 3.
- Scan t_{min} with fixed t_{max} .
- To avoid small eigenvalues in the correlation matrix for the

 a = 0.042 fm ensemble every third time slice is included in the fit,
 for the 0.06 and 0.09 fm ensembles every third time slice is dropped from the fit.

Omega baryon: selected fits

- Wall-point correlation functions.
- N + N state constrained fits with N = 1, 2, 3.
- Scan t_{min} with fixed t_{max} .
- To avoid small eigenvalues in the correlation matrix for the

 a = 0.042 fm ensemble every third time slice is included in the fit,
 for the 0.06 and 0.09 fm ensembles every third time slice is dropped from the fit.
- Fit results from all t_{min} are averaged with the Bayesian Model Averaging (BMA) procedure. _{Jay, Neil, 2008.01069}

Neil, Sitison, 2208.14983

M_{Ω} on the a = 0.06 fm ensemble



Aug 2, 2024

M_{Ω} on the a = 0.042 fm ensemble



$w_0 M_{\Omega}$ on all physical mass ensembles



• Not corrected for the strange quark mass mistuning.

- Ongoing program of the gradient flow scales $\sqrt{t_0}/a$ and w_0/a computations for all MILC HISQ ensembles with two flow and three observable combinations.
- Ongoing computation of aM_{Ω} with HISQ on the physical-mass ensembles.
- Next steps:
 - Full chiral-continuum analysis of $w_0 f_{\pi}$.
 - Continuum extrapolation of $w_0 M_{\Omega}$.
 - Adding electromagnetic effects for M_{Ω} .