



The mass-distribution of LIGO's events as a probe for primordial black holes

Ongoing works with: Muhsin Aljaf (OU) and Mehdi El Bouhaddouti (OU)

NEHOP 2024

NEW HORIZONS IN PRIMORDIAL BLACK HOLE PHESICS

Edinburgh, Scotland June 17th to June 20st 2024



Ilias Cholis 6/18/2024

Making a connection between BHs in the LIGO range and DM

Bird, **IC**, Munoz, Ali-Haimoud, Kamionkowski, Kovetz, Raccanelli and Riess PRL 2016

Assuming Dark Matter is composed by Primordial BHs.

There was some allowed parameter space around ~20-70 M_{\odot}



Making a connection between BHs in the LIGO range and DM

Bird, **IC**, Munoz, Ali-Haimoud, Kamionkowski, Kovetz, Raccanelli and Riess PRL 2016

Assuming Dark Matter is composed by Primordial BHs.

There was some allowed parameter space around ~20-70 M_{\odot}



Making a connection between BHs in the LIGO range and DM

Bird, **IC**, Munoz, Ali-Haimoud, Kamionkowski, Kovetz, Raccanelli and Riess PRL 2016

NLTT 3

Assuming Dark Matter is composed by Primordial BHs.





 M_{\odot}

Possible paths for mergers of stellar-mass PBHs in dark matter halos



How fast do two BHs form a binary (from direct captures)?

$$\sigma = 2^{3/7} \pi \left(\frac{85 \pi}{6\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2/7} R_s^2 \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^{-18/7}$$

In easy units: $\sigma = 1.37 \times 10^{-14} M_{30}^2 v_{199}^{-18/7} \text{ pc}^2$

Assuming an NFW profile for the PBHs:

$$\rho_{NFW}(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{(r/R_s) \cdot (1 + r/R_s)^2}$$

One gets a Rate of PBHs mergers:

$$\mathcal{R} = 4\pi \int_0^{R_{\rm vir}} r^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\rho_{\rm nfw}(r)}{M_{\rm pbh}}\right)^2 \langle \sigma v_{\rm pbh} \rangle \ dr$$



Updates on PBH merger rates



FIG. 6: Distributions of PBH mass: a) lognormal, broken power-law (BPL), and critical collapse (CC) mass function. b) log-normal distributions across various σ values

Aljaf and IC (in progress)

Updates on PBH merger rates



Updates on PBH merger rates



0

Taking a distribution of PBH-mass to peak around 30 M_{\odot} Aljaf and IC (in progress) Rates evaluated a redshift z=0

Direct capture PBH merger rates vs redshift Assuming all DM is in PBHs



FIG. 7: The total merger rate for Press-Shecter mass function

PBH merger rates from three-body interactions

Within a DM halo some PBHs will be as single objects and others will be in binaries. We take a certain fraction of them in binaries. Of those binaries only those satisfying,

$$a_{h} = \frac{Gm_{1}}{4v_{dis}^{2}} \qquad \text{with} \qquad v_{dis.}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2GM(r)}{r}}$$
will be hard binanes (surviving interactions with other PBHs)
We subdivide the DM halos in 10 rings and evolve them since redshift of 12
This depends on the of the PBH-binaries location within the

DM halo and the properties

(mass and concentration) of the

DM halo at a given redshift

The rings grow with the halo's evolution



PBH merger rates from three-body interactions vs time

Mass is halo mass at z=0



Connecting to the LIGO-VIRGO-KAGRA list of Binary Merger Events

The O1, O2, O3 (GWTC-3) list of LVK events

Name	$\mathbf{FAR}_{\min} (\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$) $p_{\rm astro}$	m_1/M_{\odot}	m_2/M_{\odot}	\mathcal{M}/M_{\odot}	$\chi_{ m eff}$	First appears in
			$ \land $	$ \land $			
GW150914	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$35.6^{+4.7}_{-3.1}$	$30.6^{+3.0}_{-4.4}$	$28.6^{+1.7}_{-1.5}$	$-0.01^{+0.12}_{-0.13}$	[13]
GW151012	7.92×10^{-3}	> 0.99	$23.2^{+14.}_{-5.5}$	$13.6^{+4.1}_{-4.8}$	$15.2^{+2.1}_{-1.2}$	$0.05\substack{+0.31 \\ -0.20}$	[14]
GW151226	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$13.7^{+8.8}_{-3.2}$	$7.7^{+2.2}_{-2.5}$	$8.9^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$	$0.18\substack{+0.20 \\ -0.12}$	[15]
GW170104	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$30.8^{+7.3}_{-5.6}$	$20.0^{+4.9}_{-4.6}$	$21.4^{+2.2}_{-1.8}$	$-0.04^{+0.17}_{-0.21}$	[16]
GW170608	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$11.0^{+5.5}_{-1.7}$	$7.6^{+1.4}_{-2.2}$	$7.9^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$0.03\substack{+0.19 \\ -0.07}$	[17]
GW170729	1.80×10^{-1}	0.98	$50.2^{+16.2}_{-10.2}$	$34.0^{+9.1}_{-10.1}$	$35.4_{-4.8}^{+6.5}$	$0.37^{+0.21}_{-0.25}$	[2]
GW170809	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$35.0^{+8.3}_{-5.9}$	$23.8^{+5.1}_{-5.2}$	$24.9^{+2.1}_{-1.7}$	$0.08\substack{+0.17 \\ -0.17}$	[2]
GW170814	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$30.6^{+5.6}_{-3.0}$	$25.2^{+2.8}_{-4.0}$	$24.1^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$	$0.07\substack{+0.12 \\ -0.12}$	[18]
GW170817	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$1.46_{-0.10}^{+0.12}$	$1.27^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$	$1.186^{+0.001}_{-0.001}$	$0.00\substack{+0.02\\-0.01}$	[19]
GW170818	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$35.4_{-4.7}^{+7.5}$	$26.7^{+4.3}_{-5.2}$	$26.5^{+2.1}_{-1.7}$	$-0.09^{+0.18}_{-0.21}$	[2]
GW170823	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$39.5^{+11.2}_{-6.7}$	$29.0^{+6.7}_{-7.8}$	$29.2^{+4.6}_{-3.6}$	$0.09\substack{+0.22\\-0.26}$	[2]
GW190408_181802	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$24.6^{+5.1}_{-3.4}$	$18.4^{+3.3}_{-3.6}$	$18.3^{+1.9}_{-1.2}$	$-0.03^{+0.14}_{-0.19}$	[4]
GW190412_053044	$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	> 0.99	$30.1^{+4.7}_{-5.1}$	$8.3^{+1.6}_{-0.9}$	$13.3_{-0.3}^{+0.4}$	$0.25_{-0.11}^{+0.08}$	[20]
						Abbot	t et al. PRX 2

We use binary black hole mergers with a false alarm rate (FAR) $< 1 \text{ yr}^{-1}$

Take M_1 and M_2 values

El Bouhaddouti and IC (in progress)

With aLIGO design sensitivity

2D Binned Mass Distribution of BBH Mergers: $\beta=0$



Using a skew-normal distribution for each BBH merger event,



And then summing up the M_1 and M_2 distributions



And then summing up the M_1 and M_2 distributions



We then simulate BBH populations.

I) A regular population of stellar-origin BBHs with:

$$\frac{dN}{dM_1} \propto H[M_1 - M_{min}] M_1^{-\alpha}$$

or

$$\frac{dN}{dM_1} \propto H[M_1 - M_{min}] M_1^{-\alpha} \exp\{-\frac{M_1}{M_{cut}}\}\$$

with

$$rac{dN}{dq} \propto q^{eta}$$
 and $rac{dN}{d(z+1)} \propto (1+z)^{\kappa}$

II) A Binary PBH population

And calculate the Signal to Noise ratio for the LVK sensitivities

And then fit to the LVK data An example of $\alpha = 2.52, \beta = 0.2, \kappa = 2.9$ El Bouhaddouti and IC LIGO data i (in. progress) **Observed LVK BBHs** Preliminary Simulated BBHs Number of BHs E $M_1(M_{\odot})$

We find that the second peak at ~40 solar masses forces us to assume somewhat strange assumptions on the stellar-origin BBH population. However, LVK O4 runs will truly determine if indeed this is significant enough. In the process of deriving PBH limits.

Conclusions

- The rate of stellar-mass PBHs mergers from direct captures depends only within a factor of 3 on the exact mass-distribution (for the LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA range)
- We have included three-body PBH-binary to PBH interactions by evolving the DM halos properties. Most of the three-body interactions happen early on in the history of the DM halos.
- At early times the three-body interactions are important to include
- We are in the process of updating PBH limits form the LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA observations

Acknowledgements

Muhsin Aljaf (OU), Mehdi El Bouhaddouti (OU)



U.S. National Science Foundation

National Science Foundation Grant #2207912

Thank you!