


Neutrino Physics

Neutrino Oscillations in vacuum

Jessica Turner

Atmospheric neutrinos

- Neutrinos produced via cosmic rays (accelerated protons, He) in the atmosphere







$$R_{\frac{\mu}{e}} \approx \frac{N_{\nu_\mu} + N_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}}{N_{\nu_e} + N_{\bar{\nu}_e}} \sim 2$$

Exercise: show that

$$\frac{\Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e)}{\Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu)} \approx 2 \times 10^{-4}$$



Atmospheric neutrinos

- 1998 Super-Kamiokande (50kton water cherenkov detector, 11146 PMTs) detected atmospheric neutrinos



Atmospheric neutrinos

- 1998 Super-Kamiokande (50kton water cherenkov experiment) detected atmospheric neutrinos



Board

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu1} & U_{\mu2} & U_{\mu3} \\ U_{\tau1} & U_{\tau2} & U_{\tau3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{\text{CP}}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\text{CP}}} & 0 & c_{13} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$


$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu1} & U_{\mu2} & U_{\mu3} \\ U_{\tau1} & U_{\tau2} & U_{\tau3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{\text{CP}}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\text{CP}}} & 0 & c_{13} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha_{21}/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\alpha_{31}/2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Current knowledge

- Solar mass squared splitting: $\Delta m_{21}^2 \sim 7.42 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$
- Atmospheric mass squared splitting: $|\Delta m_{3\ell}^2| \sim 2.515 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$

Normal hierarchy $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 \implies \Delta m_{32}^2 > 0,$
Inverted hierarchy $m_3 < m_1 < m_2 \implies \Delta m_{32}^2 < 0.$



Current knowledge




Nu-fit global fit 5.1

	Normal Ordering (best fit)		Inverted Ordering ($\Delta\chi^2 = 7.0$)		
	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	3σ range	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	3σ range	
with SK atmospheric data	$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.304^{+0.012}_{-0.012}$	0.269 \rightarrow 0.343	$0.304^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$	0.269 \rightarrow 0.343
	$\theta_{12}/^\circ$	$33.45^{+0.77}_{-0.75}$	31.27 \rightarrow 35.87	$33.45^{+0.78}_{-0.75}$	31.27 \rightarrow 35.87
	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$0.450^{+0.019}_{-0.016}$	0.408 \rightarrow 0.603	$0.570^{+0.016}_{-0.022}$	0.410 \rightarrow 0.613
	$\theta_{23}/^\circ$	$42.1^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$	39.7 \rightarrow 50.9	$49.0^{+0.9}_{-1.3}$	39.8 \rightarrow 51.6
	$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$0.02246^{+0.00062}_{-0.00062}$	0.02060 \rightarrow 0.02435	$0.02241^{+0.00074}_{-0.00062}$	0.02055 \rightarrow 0.02457
	$\theta_{13}/^\circ$	$8.62^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	8.25 \rightarrow 8.98	$8.61^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$	8.24 \rightarrow 9.02
	$\delta_{CP}/^\circ$	230^{+36}_{-25}	144 \rightarrow 350	278^{+22}_{-30}	194 \rightarrow 345
	$\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2}$	$7.42^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	6.82 \rightarrow 8.04	$7.42^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	6.82 \rightarrow 8.04
	$\frac{\Delta m_{3\ell}^2}{10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2}$	$+2.510^{+0.027}_{-0.027}$	+2.430 \rightarrow +2.593	$-2.490^{+0.026}_{-0.028}$	-2.574 \rightarrow -2.410


Current knowledge

Lepton Sector




$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.1 \\ 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.7 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix}$$

Quark Sector



$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 0.98 & 0.2 & 0.0 \\ 0.2 & 0.99 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.04 & 1.0 \end{pmatrix}$$



- The mixing and masses of each sector of the SM are so different, better measurements can help us understand why

Neutrino oscillation physics - reactor experiments

$$P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) = 1 - \underbrace{\cos^4 \theta_{13} \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{21}}_{\text{orange}} - \underbrace{\sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \Delta_{32}}_{\text{blue}}$$

Consider the wavelength of each contribution

$$\Delta_{ij} = \left(\frac{1.27 \Delta m_{ij}^2 [\text{eV}^2] L [\text{km}]}{E_\nu [\text{GeV}]} \right)$$

$$\theta_{12} = 30^\circ, \theta_{13} = 8^\circ, \theta_{23} = 45^\circ, E_\nu = 3 \text{ MeV}$$


$$\Delta^2 m_{21} = 8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 \quad \Delta^2 m_{32} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$$

Oscillation minimum \implies orange term maximise

$$\Delta_{ij} = \left(\frac{1.27 \Delta m_{ij}^2 [\text{eV}^2] L [\text{km}]}{E_\nu [\text{GeV}]} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$


Oscillation minima: $L_{\text{sol}} \approx 46 \text{ km}$, $L_{\text{atm}} \approx 1.5 \text{ km}$

Show that oscillation maximum occurs at $L_{\text{sol}} \sim 94 \text{ km}$



Neutrino oscillation physics - reactor experiments

- KamLand is a medium baseline reactor experiment in same cavern as SK




- Baseline between **130 - 240 km**

Neutrino oscillation physics - reactor experiments

- Daya Bay, RENO and Double Chooz measured reactor mixing angle in 2012

$\bar{\nu}_e$ $\bar{\nu}_e$ $\bar{\nu}_e$ $\bar{\nu}_e$ $\bar{\nu}_e$



$\bar{\nu}_e$ $\bar{\nu}_e$ $\bar{\nu}_e$




$\bar{\nu}_e$
 $\bar{\nu}_e$
 $\bar{\nu}_e$
 $\bar{\nu}_e$



$$\langle E_\nu \rangle \sim \text{MeV}$$

4 km

**Liquid scintillator
doped Gadolinium**



- At such short baselines, the short wavelength term dominates

$$P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) = 1 - \cos^4 \theta_{13} \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{21} - \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \Delta_{32}$$

$$P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \Delta_{32}$$

Neutrino oscillation physics - reactor experiments


- Medium baseline \implies KamLand cannot resolve short wavelength oscillations:

$$P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) = 1 - \cos^4 \theta_{13} \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{21} - \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \Delta_{32}$$

$$\approx \underbrace{\cos^4 \theta_{13}}_{\sim 1} \underbrace{(1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{21})}_{\text{green underline}}$$

$$\langle \sin^2 \Delta_{32} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$$


$1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 2x = \cos(x)^4 + \sin(x)^4$
 neglect $\mathcal{O}(\sin^4(\theta_{13}))$



- Survival probability KamLand measures θ_{12} , Δm_{21}^2



Neutrino oscillation physics - accelerator experiments

- Long baseline accelerator experiment such as **MINOS**, NOvA and T2K can determine the atmospheric angle and mass squared splitting.



FD planes of iron
4cm wide plastic
scintillator strips

Magnetised
momentum muon
from CC
interactions




Size of circle indicates
amount light recorded
In scintillators in MINOS

Neutrino oscillation physics - accelerator experiments

- Long baseline accelerator experiment: MINOS, NOvA and T2K determine the **atmospheric angle** and **mass squared splitting**.

$$\begin{aligned} P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu) &= 1 - 4 \sin^2(\theta_{23}) \cos^2(\theta_{13}) [1 - \sin^2(\theta_{23}) \cos^2(\theta_{13})] \sin^2 \Delta_{32} \\ &= 1 - \underbrace{[\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) \cos^2(\theta_{13}) + \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\theta_{23})]}_{\text{dominant term since reactor mixing angle small}} \sin^2 \Delta_{32} \end{aligned}$$

dominant term since reactor mixing angle small




Neutrino oscillation physics - CP-violation

- To observe CP-violation \implies difference between an oscillation process and its CP-conjugate process:

$$(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e) \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} (\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e)$$

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e) - P(\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) \propto \text{Im} [U_{e1}^* U_{\mu 1} U_{e2} U_{\mu 2}^*] \sin \Delta_{12} \sin \Delta_{13} \sin \Delta_{23}$$

- What is the current status of CP-violation in the neutrino sector?





T2K






NoVA

- T2K mild preference $\theta_{23} > 45^\circ$ and normal ordering
- T2K disfavors CP-conservation at 90% CL



- NOVA has preference for normal ordering, $\delta \sim 145^\circ$
- Exclude IO and $\delta = \pi/2 > 3\sigma$





VISOSim
— VISualisation of OScillation
interactive mode




One-Click Demo

No CPV ¹⁾	Max CPV	τ Flavour	e Flavour
T2K Vacuum	T2K Crust	DUNE CPV	DUNE E _{ν}
DUNE MH ²⁾ I	DUNE MH II	JUNO MH I	JUNO MH II

¹⁾ CPV: CP Violation; ²⁾ MH: Mass Hierarchy

There are amazing neutrino Oscillation visualisation tools, check these out!



<http://www-pnp.physics.ox.ac.uk/~luxi/visos/>

<http://www-pnp.physics.ox.ac.uk/~luxi/visos/im/>

Thanks to Xianguo Lu

Record GIF 1 (s) Duration Replay
 Legend 10 Frames [] Reset
 Save as SVG Render GIF
 Legend 10 Frames [] Reset
 Record GIF 1 (s) Duration Replay

Neutrino Physics


Neutrino Oscillations in matter

Jessica Turner

Solar neutrinos - PP chain

- The Sun shines by making hydrogen \rightarrow helium. There are two main ways of doing this: pp chain or CNO cycle

pp-chain I



Step 3 repeat steps 1 & 2



Sun most loses its energy (98.5 %) through pp-chain. This is **pp-I chain** and occurs around **85% of the time**


Binding energy of deuterium
 $\sim 2.2 \text{ MeV} \implies E_\nu < 0.5 \text{ MeV}$

pp-neutrinos are hard to detect

Solar neutrinos - PP chain

- The Sun shines by making hydrogen into helium. There are two main ways of doing this: pp chain or CNO cycle


pp-chain II




Solar neutrinos - PP chain

- The Sun shines by making hydrogen into helium. There are two main ways of doing this: pp chain or CNO cycle


pp-chain III








pp-III chain occurs around **0.3%** of the time




Neutrinos produced in this reaction have energies up to 15 MeV



Solar neutrinos - CNO cycle



- Many nuclear processes (pp chain and CNO cycle) produces electron neutrinos
- Energies of the neutrinos will differ, depending on the reaction.



$p + p + e^- \rightarrow D + \nu_e$
Occurs $\sim 1\%$ of the time

Subdominant to pp-I
since getting 3 particles
in one place at one time is
PS suppressed


Solar neutrinos

- 1964 Homestake experiment (headed by Davis & Bahcall) detected solar neutrinos but there were approximately $2/3$ less than expected from Bahcall's Standard Solar Model prediction.
- It was initially proposed that the solar models were wrong.
- Or that two experiment Homestake and GALLEX were wrong!
- As you can guess, the resolution to this problem is neither!

Board

Solar neutrinos

- Confirmation of neutrino oscillations came in 2001 by the Sudbury Neutrino. They measured not only electron neutrino flux but all flavour neutrinos via NC interactions



SNO 1 ton heavy water (D_2O) tank surrounded by 9600 PMTs. Deuterium has binding energy 2.2 MeV \implies SNO can detect Boron 8 solar neutrinos.

