High-energy e⁺e⁻ colliders

A reminder of options on the table, differences in main parameters and how this influences physics opportunities...

...intentionally, there is no mention of cost, timescale, sustainability *etc.* This meeting is focused on physics opportunities, not project choice !

Guy Wilkinson UK EPPSU meeting, Durham 23/5/24

e⁺e⁻ Higgs factories – a wealth of choice



e⁺e⁻ Higgs factories – a wealth of choice

Technologically mature projects, with well-understood physics capabilities CEPC ct Linear Collider (CLIC) 380 GeV - 11.4 km (CLIC380 1.5 TeV - 29.0 km (CLIC1500) e- Main Linac 3 0 TeV - 50 1 km (CLIC 300 e+ Source Physics Detectors CLIC Beam delivery system (BDS) e- Source e+ Main Linac CLIC3000 ILC FCC-ee C^3 C3 - 8 km Footprint for 250/550 GeV Main Linac RTML)eliver Polarized Damping Ring Electron Source e-Damping Ring HALHF Positron Source More recent proposals needing further study

Physics considerations

What differences exist between physics opportunities at circular & linear machines?

Circular vs linear

Circular

Higher luminosities for Z, WW and ZH. No operation above ttbar

Transverse polarisation allows for precise beam-energy calibration (important for Z and W EW physics)

Longitudinal polarisation more challenging (but in CEPC baseline, and will be considered by FCC)

Linear

Possible to operate at energies well above ttbar threshold

Longitudinal polarisation generally available (useful for Z and Higgs physics)

Options exist with much smaller footprint that circular machines

Physics considerations

What differences exist between physics opportunities at circular & linear machines?

- Circular vs linear
- Higgs physics capabilities

Broadly similar at all machines, but takes a little longer at linear colliders.



FCC-ee can also probe electron Yukawa, whereas linear colliders with high-energy upgrade can probe top Yukawa & Higgs self-coupling. But none of these options are in baseline plans.

Physics considerations

What differences exist between physics opportunities at circular & linear machines?

- Circular vs linear
- Higgs physics capabilities
- Other physics capabilities

Very high luminosity at lower energies, and resonant depolarisation, give circular colliders exciting opportunities in electroweak & flavour physics.

High-energy upgrades to linear colliders would access the TeV regime.

ILC – current baseline

Well-established and mature project. Current baseline for first stage is descoped from 500 GeV machine proposed in 2013 <u>TDR</u>.



ILC – upgrade options

Extendable to higher luminosity, and higher energies (and also Z-pole operation).

Quantity	Symbol	Unit	Initial	\mathcal{L} Upgrade	Z pole	Ul	pgrades	
Centre of mass energy	\sqrt{s}	GeV	250	250	91.2	500	250	1000
Luminosity	$\mathcal{L} = 10^{34}$	$\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	1.35	2.7	0.21/0.41	1.8/3.6	5.4	5.1
Polarization for e^{-}/e^{+}	$P_{-}(P_{+})$	%	80(30)	80(30)	80(30)	80(30)	80(30)	80(20)
Repetition frequency	$f_{ m rep}$	Hz	5	5	3.7	5	10	4
Bunches per pulse	$n_{ m bunch}$	1	1312	2625	1312/2625	1312/2625	2625	2450
Bunch population	$N_{ m e}$	10^{10}	2	2	2	2	2	1.74
Linac bunch interval	$\Delta t_{ m b}$	\mathbf{ns}	554	366	554/366	554/366	366	366
Beam current in pulse	$I_{\rm pulse}$	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{A}$	5.8	8.8	5.8/8.8	5.8/8.8	8.8	7.6
Beam pulse duration	$t_{\rm pulse}$	μs	727	961	727/961	727/961	961	897
Average beam power	$P_{\rm ave}$	MW	5.3	10.5	$1.42/2.84^{*)}$	10.5/21	21	27.2
RMS bunch length	$\sigma^*_{ m z}$	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}$	0.3	0.3	0.41	0.3	0.3	0.225
Norm. hor. emitt. at IP	$\gamma \epsilon_{ m x}$	$\mu { m m}$	5	5	5	5	5	5
Norm. vert. emitt. at IP	$\gamma \epsilon_{ m y}$	nm	35	35	35	35	35	30
RMS hor. beam size at IP	$\sigma^*_{\mathbf{x}}$	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{m}$	516	516	1120	474	516	335
RMS vert. beam size at IP	$\sigma_{\rm v}^*$	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{m}$	7.7	7.7	14.6	5.9	7.7	2.7
Luminosity in top 1%	$\mathcal{L}_{0.01}/\mathcal{L}$		73%	73%	99%	58.3%	73%	44.5%
Beamstrahlung energy loss	$\delta_{ m BS}$		2.6%	2.6%	0.16%	4.5%	2.6%	10.5%
Site AC power	$P_{\rm site}$	MW	111	138	94/115	173/215	198	300
Site length	$L_{\rm site}$	\mathbf{km}	20.5	20.5	20.5	31	31	40

FCC-ee

91 km tunnel, four IPs, E_{CM} running points from Z pole to 365 GeV.



FCC-ee: baseline run plan

FCC-ee will enable precision studies of all the heavy particles in the SM.



Other running points, e.g. 125 GeV for electron Yukawa measurement, under study.

Meanwhile in China...

CEPC is a broadly similar project to FCC-ee (albeit with interesting differences).





(More information available from <u>website</u> of recent Marseille workshop)

Compact Linear e⁺e⁻ Collider (CLIC)

High energy e⁺e⁻ at CERN for post HL-LHC era, *i.e.* an alternative to FCC.

Novel and unique two-beam accelerating technique, based on high-gradient warm RF.

First stage:

- 380 GeV
- 11 km
- 20,500 cavities

Can be upgraded up to 1.5, 3 TeV.



Extensively studied (CDR 2012), with substantial inputs to last EPPSU.

Cool Copper Collider (C³)

Driving concept: improvements in normal-conducting RF cavities since the adoption of SCRF as technology for ILC, a decision made ~20 years ago.



Big idea: cool copper to 80 K. Here the conductivity is higher, which reduces the resistive heating that cases defects, and allows for higher gradients (~100 MeV/m).

Hybrid, asymmetric, linear Higgs factory (HALHF)

Plasma-wakefield acceleration (PWA) very promising technology for producing GV/m gradients, with high beam quality and power. However, this works much better for electrons than for positrons. So, why not build an asymmetric collider, with high-energy PWA-driven e⁻ beam, and conventional, lower energy e⁺ beam ?



[Foster, D'Arcy and Lindstrøm. New J. Phys. 25 (2023) 093037, Lindstrøm, D'Arcy and Foster arXiv:2312.04975]

Machine parameters	Unit	Value
Centre-of-mass energy	GeV	250
Centre-of-mass boost		2.13
Bunches per train		100
Train repetition rate	Hz	100
Average collision rate	kHz	10
Luminosity	$cm^{-2} s^{-1}$	0.81×10^{34}
Luminosity fraction in top 1%	57%	
Estimated total power usage	MW	100

Significant R&D required for PWA.