

Valentina De Romeri (IFIC Valencia - UV/CSIC)

NEW PHYSICS SEARCHES WITH COHERENT ELASTIC NEUTRINO-NUCLEUS SCATTERING



PASCOS 2025 Durham, UK 24 July 2025

NEUTRINO INTERACTIONS WITH NUCLEI



COHERENT ELASTIC VEUTRINO-NUCLEUS SCATTERING (CEVNS)

Neutral-current process: $v + N(A,Z) \rightarrow v + N(A,Z)$

- The neutrino sees the nucleus as a whole
- CEvNS occurs when:
 - Wavelength of the mediator > nuclear radius
 - or
 - $\left| \vec{q} \right| \le 1/R_{nucleus}$
- Coherent: target nucleon wave functions remain in phase with each other before and after the collision.
 Amplitudes of scattering on individual nucleons add.
- Elastic: no new particles are created and nuclear target remains in the same energy state.



COHERENT ELASTIC VEUTRINO-NUCLEUS SCATTERING (CEVNS)

Total cross section scales approximately like N²

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} \propto N^2$$

- CEvNS cross section is large!
- Despite its large cross section, not observed for years due to tiny nuclear recoil energies.



AN ACT OF HUBRIS

First theoretically predicted in 1974

D.Z. Freedman, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) V.B. Kopeliovich and L.L. Frankfurt, JETP Lett. 19 4 236 (1974)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D	VOLUME 9, NUMBER 5	1 MARCH 1974
Coh	SICAL REVIEW D VOLUME 9, NUMBER 5 1 MARCH 1974 Deniel 2. Freedman [†] National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510 and Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11790 (Received 15 October 1973; revised manuscript received 19 November 1973) If there is a weak neutral current, then the elastic scattering process ν + A → v + A should have a sharp coherent forward peak just as e + A → e + A does. Experiments to observe this peak can give important information on the isospin structure of the neutral current. The experiments are very difficult, although the estimated cross sections (about 10 ⁻³⁸ cm ² on carbon) are favorable. Therefore, energies as 100 MeV may be suitable. Quasi-coherent nuclear excitation processes v + A → v + A* provide possible tests of the conservation of the weak neutral current. Because of strong coherent effects at very low energies, the nuclear elastic scattering process may be important in inhibiting cooling by neutrino	
Nation and Institute for Theoretics (Received 15 Oc	Daniel Z. Freedman [†] al Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 6 al Physics, State University of New York, Ston ctober 1973; revised manuscript received 19 N	0510 y Brook, New York 11790 ovember 1973)
If there is a weak neut have a sharp coherent fo peak can give important experiments are very di carbon) are favorable. The energy-independent. The coherent nuclear excitate the weak neutral current nuclear elastic scatterin emission in stellar collar	ral current, then the elastic scattering process rward peak just as $e + A \rightarrow e + A$ does. Experim information on the isospin structure of the neu fficult, although the estimated cross sections (The coherent cross sections (in contrast to inco- erefore, energies as low as 100 MeV may be s ion processes $\nu + A \rightarrow \nu + A^*$ provide possible test. Because of strong coherent effects at very lo g process may be important in inhibiting coolin- pse and neutron stars.	s $\nu + A \rightarrow \nu + A$ should nents to observe this tral current. The about 10^{-38} cm ² on oherent) are almost uitable. Quasi- sts of the conservation of ow energies, the ng by neutrino

Our suggestion may be an act of hubris, because the inevitable constraints of interaction rate, resolution, and background pose grave experimental difficulties for elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering. We will discuss these problems at the end of this note, but first we wish to present the theoretical ideas relevant to the experiments. Experimentally the most conspicuous and most difficult feature of our process is that the only detectable reaction product is a recoil nucleus of low momentum. Ideally the apparatus should have sufficient resolution to identify and determine the momentum of the recoil nucleus and sufficient mass to achieve a reasonable interaction rate. Neutron background is a serious problem

 CE_VNS was observed for the first time ~40 years later, in 2017 by the COHERENT experiment at the Oak Ridge Spallation Neutron Source.

LOW-ENERGY NEUTRINO SOURCES



LOW-ENERGY NUCLEAR RECOIL DETECTION STRATEGIES



CEVNS EXPERIMENTS WORLDWIDE



Updated from I. Nasteva @NEUTRINO 2024



Stopped pions > 2017(->2021): COHERENT-Csl[Na], 11.6σ CL (Decay at rest) ► 2020: COHERENT-LAr, 3.9σ CL

► 2024: COHERENT-Ge, 3.9σ CL D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Science 357, 1123-1126 (2017) D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 081801 D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 012002 (2021) S. Adamski et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 134, 231801 (2025)



Stopped pions > 2017(->2021): COHERENT-Csl[Na], 11.6σ CL (Decay at rest) > 2020: COHERENT-LAr, 3.9σ CL > 2024: COHERENT-Ge, 3.9σ CL



D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Science 357, 1123–1126 (2017)
D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 081801
D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 012002 (2021)
S. Adamski et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 134, 231801 (2025)



► 2022: Dresden-II, Ge

Colaresi, Collar et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 211802

► 2025: CONUS+, Ge, 3.7 o CL

Ackermann+ 2501.05206



Stopped pions 2017(->2021): COHERENT-Csl[Na], 11.6σ CL (Decay at rest) 2020: COHERENT-LAr, 3.9σ CL 2024: COHERENT-Ge, 3.9σ CL D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Science 357, 1123-1126 (2017)

D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 081801 D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 012002 (2021)

S. Adamski et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 134, 231801 (2025)



Reactors



Colaresi, Collar et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 211802

> 2025: CONUS+, Ge, 3.7σ CL

Ackermann+ 2501.05206

Quenching factor dependence!



Stopped pions ► 2017(->2021): COHERENT-Csl[Na], 11.6σ CL (Decay at rest) ► 2020: COHERENT-LAr, 3.9σ CL ► 2024: COHERENT-Ge, 3.9σ CL



D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Science 357, 1123–1126 (2017)
D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 081801
D. Akimov et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 012002 (2021)
S. Adamski et al. (COHERENT) Phys. Rev. Lett. 134, 231801 (2025)



► 2022: Dresden-II, Ge

Colaresi, Collar et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 211802

2025: CONUS+, Ge, 3.7σ CL
 Ackermann+ 2501.05206

⁸B Solar neutrinos



E. Aprile et al. (XENONnT) PRL 133, 191002 (2024) Z. Bo et al. (PandaX) PRL **133**, 191001



CEVNS CROSS SECTION IN THE SM



$$Q_{\rm W} = \left[Z(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_{\rm W}) - N\right]$$

 $sw^2 = 0.23 \rightarrow protons unimportant$ Neutron contribution dominates

- E_{ν} : is the incident neutrino energy
- M : the nuclear mass of the detector material
- 3-momentum transfer $|\vec{q}|^2 = 2MT$
- $(Q_A \text{ included in } F_A)$

Axial contribution is small for most nuclei, spin-dependent. It vanishes for nuclei with even number of protons and neutrons

Freedman, PRD 9 (1974) 1389; Drukier, Stodolsky, PRD 30 (1984) 2295; Barranco, Miranda, Rashba, hep-ph/0508299





STANDARD MODEL PHYSICS

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\nu\mathcal{N}}}{dE_{\rm nr}}\Big|_{\rm CE\nu NS}^{\rm SM} = \frac{G_F^2 m_N}{\pi} F_W^2(\mathbf{q}^2) \mathcal{Q}_{\rm w}^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_N E_{\rm nr}}{2E_{\nu}^2} - \frac{E_{\rm nr}}{E_{\nu}} + \frac{E_{\rm nr}^2}{2E_{\nu}^2}\right)$$

$$Q_{\rm W} = -N/2 + (1/2 - 2\sin^2\theta_{\rm w})Z$$

 $sw^2 = 0.23 \rightarrow protons unimportant$ Neutron contribution dominates

Information on the value of the neutrino neutral-current interaction at low energy:

- Observable: $\sin^2 \theta_w$
- poorly measured at low energies
- Affects the normalization of CEvNS spectra



EW PRECISION TESTS: WEAK MIXING ANGLE



COHERENT Csl (2021) + LAr (2020)



VDR, Miranda, Papoulias+ JHEP 04 (2023) 035 See also Cadeddu et al. '20,'21,'22,'23,'24 (Also combination with APV data)

EW PRECISION TESTS: WEAK MIXING ANGLE

CONUS+ (2025)



VDR, Papoulias, Sanchez Garcia PRD 111, 075025 (2025)

PandaX-4T (2024), XENONnT (2024)



Aristizabal, VDR, Papoulias JHEP 09 (2022) 076 Majumdar+ Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 9, 093010 Boehm, Maity arXiv:2409.0438 VDR, Papoulias, Ternes JCAP 05 (2025) 012

See also Alpízar-Vanegas+ 2501.10355, Chattaraj+ 2501.12441



NEUTRINO MAGNETIC MOMENT

Predicted to be zero for massless neutrinos. It can arise in BSM extensions for massive neutrinos. Neutrino magnetic moment interactions flip chirality and do not interfere with the SM terms.

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\nu_{\ell}\mathcal{N}}}{dE_{\mathrm{nr}}}\Big|_{\mathrm{CE}\nu\mathrm{NS}}^{\mathrm{MM}} = \frac{\pi\alpha_{\mathrm{EM}}^2}{m_e^2} \left(\frac{1}{E_{\mathrm{nr}}} - \frac{1}{E_{\nu}}\right) Z^2 F_W^2(|\vec{q}|^2) \left|\frac{\mu_{\nu_{\ell}}}{\mu_{\mathrm{B}}}\right|^2$$

$$Vogel, \,\mathrm{Engel. \, PRD \, 39 \, [1989] \, 3378}$$

$$Careful \, \text{with comparisons}$$

 μ_{ν^2} is an effective neutrino magnetic moment dependent on a given neutrino beam.

- can be dominant for sub-keV threshold experiments
- may lead to detectable distortions of the recoil spectrum

Schechter Valle, PhysRevD.24.1883 Canas+ Phys.Lett. B753 (2016) 191–198 Miranda+ JHEP 1907 (2019) 103,

Aristizabal-Sierra+ Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 035027 Ternes, Tortola, 2505.02633



C. Giunti, A. Studenikin, Rev Mod Phys, 87, 531 (2015)

NEUTRINO MAGNETIC MOMENT

CEvNS data at PandaX-4T (2024), XENONnT (2024)



VDR, Papoulias, Sanchez Garcia, Ternes, Tortola JCAP 05 (2025) 080

CON		$(\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}$	
CON	US+ ((20)	125



VDR, Papoulias, Sanchez Garcia PRD 111, 075025 (2025)

New best limits using solar $E\nu ES$ in LZ and XENONnT

XENONnT Collab. PRL 129, 161805 (2022) Giunti, Ternes, PRD 108 (2023) 9, 095044

See also: Atzori-Corona+ PRD 107 (2023) 5, 053001; ShivaSankar K. A.+, Phys.Lett.B 839 (2023) 137742; Khan, Phys. Lett. B 837 (2023) 137650 and Phys. Lett. B 839 (2023) 137742

Experiment	$\mu_{ u_e}^{ m eff}~(10^{-11}~\mu_B)$	Process	Reference
CONUS+	≤ 11	CEvNS+EvES	this work
COHERENT (CsI+LAr)	≤ 360	CEvNS+EvES	[89]
DRESDEN-II	≤ 19	CEvNS+EvES	[35]
XENONnT + PandaX-4T (combined)	≤ 190	CEvNS	[20]
CONUS	≤ 7.5	EνES	[42]
Borexino	≤ 3.7	EνES	[96]
TEXONO	≤ 7.4	$E\nu ES$	[97]
GEMMA	≤ 2.9	EνES	[98]
LZ	≤ 1.4	EνES	[99]
XENONnT	≤ 0.9	EvES	[99]
XENONnT+PandaX-4T+LZ (combined)	≤ 1.03	EvES	[100]

VDR, Papoulias, Sanchez Garcia PRD 111, 075025 (2025) VDR, Papoulias, Sanchez Garcia, Ternes, Tortola JCAP 05 (2025) 080



Neutrino NSI can be formulated in terms of the effective (dimension-6) four-fermion Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{NC}}^{\mathrm{NSI}} = -2\sqrt{2}G_F \sum_{q,\ell,\ell'} \varepsilon_{\ell\ell'}^{qX} (\bar{\nu}_{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_L\nu_{\ell'}) (\bar{f}\gamma_{\mu}P_Xf)$$



$$Q_{V}^{\text{NSI}} = \left[\left(g_{V}^{p} + 2\varepsilon_{\ell\ell}^{uV} + \varepsilon_{\ell\ell}^{dV} \right) Z + \left(g_{V}^{n} + \varepsilon_{\ell\ell}^{uV} + 2\varepsilon_{\ell\ell}^{dV} \right) N \right] \\ + \sum_{\ell,\ell'} \left[\left(2\varepsilon_{\ell\ell'}^{uV} + \varepsilon_{\ell\ell'}^{dV} \right) Z + \left(\varepsilon_{\ell\ell'}^{uV} + 2\varepsilon_{\ell\ell'}^{dV} \right) N \right]$$

The NSI couplings quantify the relative strength of the NSI in terms of G_F and can be either flavour preserving (non-universal) or flavor changing.

See also: S. Davidson et. al., JHEP 03 (2003) 011 J. Barranco, O.G. Miranda and T.I. Rashba, JHEP 0512 (2005) 021, K. Scholberg, PRD 73 (2006) 033005, Coloma+ Phys. Rev. D 96, 115007 (2017), JHEP 02, 023 (2020), JHEP 05 (2022) 037, Papoulias+ Phys. Rev. D 97, 033003 (2018), Giunti PRD 101, 035039 (2020), Denton+ JHEP 04, 266 (2021), Esteban+ JHEP 08, 180 (2018), COHERENT Colab. arXiv:2110.07730, Coloma+ JHEP 05 (2022) 037, Bresó-Pla+ JHEP 05 (2023) 074, Coloma+ JHEP 08 (2023) 03, Liao+ arXiv:2408.06255, Alpízar-Vanegas+ 2501.10355, Chattaraj+ 2501.12441...

Neutrino NSI can be formulated in terms of the effective four-fermion Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{NC}}^{\mathrm{NSI}} = -2\sqrt{2}G_F \sum_{q,\ell,\ell'} \varepsilon_{\ell\ell'}^{qX} (\bar{\nu}_{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_L\nu_{\ell'}) (\bar{f}\gamma_{\mu}P_Xf)$$
COHERENT Csl (2021) + LAr



LAI

VDR, Miranda, Papoulias, Sanchez-García, Tórtola and Valle, JHEP 04 (2023) 035

Neutrino NSI can be formulated in terms of the effective four-fermion Lagrangian:



Valentina De Romeri (IFIC UV/CSIC Valencia)

Neutrino NSI can be formulated in terms of the effective four-fermion Lagrangian:



NEW NEUTRINO INTERACTIONS: LIGHT MEDIATORS

New BSM scenarios might be associated with different types of interactions and mediators. These mediators would contribute to CEvNS leading to detectable distortions of the event rates, especially at low-energy recoils.

Cerdeño+ JHEP 1605 (2016) 118 Bertuzzo+ JHEP 1704 (2017) 073 Farzan+ JHEP 1805 (2018) 066 Denton+ PRD 106 (2022) 015022

Low-energy neutrino experiments are sensitive to interactions involving light mediators, inducing spectral distortions at low recoil energies.

We may consider light mediators with a mass comparable to the typical momentum transfer

$$|\mathbf{q}| \approx \sqrt{2m_{\mathcal{N}}E_{\mathrm{nr}}}$$

$$G_F^2 | \varepsilon_\ell^X |^2 \to \frac{2g_X^4}{(m_X^2 + |\mathbf{q}|^2)^2}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_{\rm nr}}\Big|_{\rm CE\nu NS}^{\rm LV} = \left(1 + \kappa \frac{C_V}{\sqrt{2}G_F Q_W^{\rm SM} \left(2m_N E_{\rm nr} + m_V^2\right)}}\right)^2 \frac{d\sigma}{dE_{\rm nr}}\Big|_{\rm CE\nu NS}^{\rm SM}$$

$$C_{V} = g_{\nu V} \left[\left(2g_{uV} + g_{dV} \right) Z + \left(g_{uV} + 2g_{dV} \right) N \right]$$



 $\kappa = 1$ for universal couplings $\kappa = -1/3$ in the B – L model

$$g_V = \sqrt{g_{\nu V} g_{q V}}$$



ELASTIC **VEUTRINO-ELECTRON SCATTERING** (Eves)

effective number of electrons that can be ionized with an energy deposition Eer



 $\frac{d\sigma_{\nu_{\ell}\mathscr{A}}}{dE_{\rm er}}\Big|_{\rm E\nu ES}^{\rm SM} = Z_{\rm eff}^{\mathscr{A}}(E_{\rm er})\frac{G_F^2 m_e}{2\pi} \left[(g_V^{\nu_{\ell}} + g_A^{\nu_{\ell}})^2 + (g_V^{\nu_{\ell}} - g_A^{\nu_{\ell}})^2 \left(1 - \frac{E_{\rm er}}{E_{\nu}}\right)^2 - \left((g_V^{\nu_{\ell}})^2 - (g_A^{\nu_{\ell}})^2\right) \frac{m_e E_{\rm er}}{E_{\nu}^2} \right]$



ELASTIC VEUTRINO-ELECTRON SCATTERING (EVES)

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\nu_{\ell}\mathscr{A}}}{dE_{\rm er}}\Big|_{\rm E\nu ES}^{\rm SM} = Z_{\rm eff}^{\mathscr{A}}(E_{\rm er})\frac{G_F^2 m_e}{2\pi} \left[(g_V^{\nu_{\ell}} + g_A^{\nu_{\ell}})^2 + (g_V^{\nu_{\ell}} - g_A^{\nu_{\ell}})^2 \left(1 - \frac{E_{\rm er}}{E_{\nu}}\right)^2 - \left((g_V^{\nu_{\ell}})^2 - (g_A^{\nu_{\ell}})^2\right) \frac{m_e E_{\rm er}}{E_{\nu}^2} \right]$$

$$g_{V} \rightarrow g_{V}^{\text{SM}} + \frac{(g_{Z'})^{2} Q_{Z'}^{e} Q_{Z'}^{\nu_{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{2} G_{F}(2m_{e}T_{e} + m_{Z'}^{2})}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z'} = g_{Z'} Z'_{\mu} \left(Q_{Z'}^{f} \bar{f} \gamma^{\mu} f + \sum_{\alpha} Q_{Z'}^{\nu_{\alpha}} \bar{\nu}_{\alpha,L} \gamma^{\mu} \nu_{\alpha,L} \right) + \frac{1}{2} m_{Z'}^{2} Z'^{\mu} Z'_{\mu}$$



COHERENT CsI (2021) + LAr

VDR, Miranda, Papoulias, Sanchez-García, Tórtola and Valle, JHEP 04 (2023) 035

J. Liao, H. Liu, and D. Marfatia, 2202.10622, Coloma et al. 2202.10829, Atzori-Corona et al. 2205.09484, A. Khan 2203.08892, Majumdar+ 2208.13262 Complementary analyses in:

Valentina De Romeri (IFIC UV/CSIC Valencia)

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\nu_{\ell}\mathcal{N}}}{dE_{\rm nr}}\Big|_{\rm CE\nu NS}^{\rm LS} = \frac{m_N^2 E_{\rm nr} C_S^2}{4\pi E_{\nu}^2 \left(2m_N E_{\rm nr} + m_S^2\right)^2} F_W^2(|\vec{q}|^2)$$

$$C_{S} = g_{\nu S} \left(Z \sum_{q} g_{qS} \frac{m_{p}}{m_{q}} f_{q}^{p} + N \sum_{q} g_{qS} \frac{m_{n}}{m_{q}} f_{q}^{n} \right)$$

$$g_{S} = \sqrt{g_{\nu S} g_{qS}}$$

$$O$$

Dresden-II (Ge) - iron filter 10^{-3} 10^{-3} 10^{-4} 10^{-4} CsI+LAr 10^{-5} $\stackrel{S}{\approx} 10^{-5}$ CONUS k = 0.2 g_S 10^{-6} effective interaction 10^{-6} 10^{-7} 3σ excluded 2σ excluded 1σ excluded 10^{-7} 10^{-8} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{0} 10^{1} 10^{2} 10^{-1} 10^{3} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{1} 10^{0} 10^{2} 10^{-4} 10^{-1} 10^{3} m_S [MeV] m_S [MeV] Aristizabal, VDR, Papoulias JHEP 09 (2022) 076 VDR, Miranda, Papoulias, Sanchez-García, Tórtola and Valle, JHEP 04 (2023) 035

COHERENT CsI (2021) + LAr

Complementary analyses in: J. Liao, H. Liu, and D. Marfatia, 2202.10622, Coloma et al. 2202.10829, Atzori-Corona et al. 2205.09484, A. Khan 2203.08892, Majumdar+ 2208.13262

NEW NEUTRINO INTERACTIONS: LIGHT MEDIATORS

XENONnT + PandaX-4T (2024)





VDR, Papoulias, Ternes JCAP 05 (2025) 012

See also Blanco-Mas+ 2411.14206

NEW NEUTRINO INTERACTIONS: LIGHT MEDIATORS

CONUS+ (2025)





VDR, Papoulias, Sanchez Garcia PRD 111, 075025 (2025)

See also Chattaraj+ 2501.12441



STERILE NEUTRINO DIPOLE PORTAL

Transition of an active neutrino to a massive sterile state, induced by a magnetic coupling: $v_{L} + N \rightarrow F_{4} + N$

McKeen, Pospelov PRD 82 (2010)

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm DP} = \bar{\nu}_4 (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m_4)\nu_4 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi\alpha_{\rm EM}}}{2m_e} \left| \frac{\mu_{\nu_\ell}^{\rm eff}}{\mu_{\rm B}} \right|^2 \bar{\nu}_4 \sigma_{\mu\nu} \nu_\ell F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\nu\mathcal{N}}}{dT_{\mathcal{N}}}\Big|_{CE\nu NS}^{DP} = \frac{\pi\alpha_{EM}^2}{m_e^2} Z^2 F_W^2 (|\vec{q}|^2) \left| \frac{\mu_{\nu_\ell}^{\text{eff}}}{\mu_B} \right|^2 \left[\frac{1}{T_{\mathcal{N}}} - \frac{1}{E_\nu} - \frac{m_4^2}{2E_\nu T_{\mathcal{N}} m_{\mathcal{N}}} \left(1 - \frac{T_{\mathcal{N}}}{2E_\nu} + \frac{m_{\mathcal{N}}}{2E_\nu} \right) + \frac{m_4^4 (T_{\mathcal{N}} - m_{\mathcal{N}})}{8E_\nu^2 T_{\mathcal{N}}^2 m_{\mathcal{N}}^2} \right]$$

Valentina De Romeri (IFIC UV/CSIC Valencia)

STERILE NEUTRINO DIPOLE PORTAL

XENONnT + PandaX-4T (2024) CONUS+ (2025)

VDR, Papoulias, Sanchez Garcia, Ternes, Tortola JCAP 05 (2025) 080 VDR, Papoulias, Sanchez Garcia PRD 111, 075025 (2025)

UPSCATTERING INTO A STERILE FERMION

Possible production of a new MeV-scale fermion through the up-scattering process of neutrinos off the nuclei and the electrons of the detector material through some new.

Complementarity with COHERENT and DUNE experiments.

COHERENT CsI (2021) + LAr EvES data at XENONnT and LZ

See also: Brdar+ JHEP 12 (2018) 024, Chao+ PRD 104 (2021) 095017, Chen+ JHEP 05 (2021) 131, LI & Liao JHEP 02 (2021) 099, Chang & Liao PRD 102 no. 7, (2020) 075004, VDR, Candela, Papoulias Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023) 5, 055001

CONUS+ (2025)

WHAT'S NEXT?

CEvNS measurements are growing fast, heading to high statistics and precision.

Global fits with diverse neutrino probes including DM DD data are gaining importance.

Atzori-Corona+, arXiv: 2504.05272

- Dark matter detectors are becoming complementary to terrestrial experiments in detecting ~MeV neutrinos.
- Careful treatment of backgrounds and uncertainties (e.g. quenching factors).
 Billard+ JCAP 11 (2018) 016 Baxter+ JHEP 02 (2020) 123 Galindo+ PRD 105 (2022) 3, 033001
- Exploit the complementarity of various experimental targets and sources to maximise the physics potential.
 Tomalak, 2506.03255 (2025)

Tomalak, 2506.03255 (2025) Hellgren+, PLB 868 (2025) 139624

Increased statistics will require to account for small terms in theoretical predictions: (flavordependent) radiative corrections, nuclear form factors, axial terms, inelastic contributions...

Summary

- ► CE_vNS process:
 - coherency condition (sources: spallation source, nuclear reactors,...)
 - neutrinos scatter on a nucleus which act as a single particle
 - enhancement of the cross section $(\propto N^2)$

CEvNS experiments and data:

- COHERENT (Csl, LAr, Ge...)
- Reactor experiments (Dresden-II and CONUS+)
- Now also DM DD experiments!
- CEvNS extended physics potential:
 - SM physics (weak mixing angle, nuclear physics)
 - Electromagnetic properties
 - BSM scenarios: NSI, NGI, new light mediators, production of a dark fermion, ALPs, sterile neutrinos...
 - Impact on the neutrino floor/fog
- Wealth of information from forthcoming data: implications for both precision tests of the Standard Model and for new physics in the neutrino sector!

Summary

► CE_vNS process:

- coherency condition (sources: spallation source, nuclear reactors,...)
- neutrinos scatter on a nucleus which act as a single particle

ts

• enhancement of the cross section $(-N^2)$

CEvNS experiments and data

- COHERENT (Csl. LAr, G
- Reactor experiments
- Now also DM DD experiments
- CEvNS extended physics potential:
 - SM physics (weak mixing angle, null
 - Electromagnetic properties
 - BSM scenarios: NSI, NGI, new light metators production of a dark fermion, ALPs, sterile neutrinos...
 - Impact on the neutrino floor/log
- Wealth of information from forthcoming data: implications for both precision tests of the Standard Model and for new physics in the neutrino sector!

Acknowledgments:

- CIDEXG/2022/20 (Generalitat Valenciana)
- CNS2023-144124 (MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033 and "Next Generation EU"/PRTR)
- PID2023-147306NB-I00 (MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033)
- Severo Ochoa (CEX2023-001292-S)

Hard to reconcile different measurements with the same choice of quenching factor.

Y. Li+, 2502.12308

New results from vGEN and more (e.g., Ricochet) expected soon.

Ch. Phys. C 49 053004 (2025)

Quenching factor dependence!

Reactors

2022: Dresden-II, Ge

Colaresi, Collar et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 211802

2025: CONUS+, Ge, 3.7σ Cl

NCC-1701

CONUS

EVIDENCE OF CEVNS ? AT NCC-1701 (DRESDEN-II REACTOR)

The quenching factor (QF) describes the observed reduction in ionization yield produced by a nuclear recoil when compared to an electron recoil of same energy

- often not (yet) well known at low recoil energies for $\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}$
- major uncertainty!

J.I. Collar et al, Phys. Rev. D 103, 122003

$$QF = E_{meas}/E_{nuclear reco}$$

Colaresi et al., Phys. Rev. D 104, 072003 (2021) Colaresi et al., 2202.09672 [hep-ex]

CONUS: Direct measurement of ionization quenching factor: k=0.162+-0.004 (compatible with Lindhard) CONUS Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 041804

Statistical analysis

$$\chi_{\rm CsI}^2 \Big|_{\rm CE\nu NS(+ES)} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^9 \sum_{j=1}^{11} \left[N_{\rm th}^{\rm CsI} - N_{ij}^{\rm exp} + N_{ij}^{\rm exp} \ln\left(\frac{N_{ij}^{\rm exp}}{N_{\rm th}^{\rm CsI}}\right) \right] + \sum_{k=0}^{4(5)} \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\sigma_k}\right)^2.$$

$$N_{\rm th}^{\rm CsI, CE\nu NS+ES} = (1 + \alpha_0 + \alpha_5) N_{ij}^{\rm CE\nu NS} (\alpha_4, \alpha_6, \alpha_7) + (1 + \alpha_0) N_{ij}^{\rm ES} (\alpha_6, \alpha_7) + (1 + \alpha_1) N_{ij}^{\rm BRN} (\alpha_6) + (1 + \alpha_2) N_{ij}^{\rm NIN} (\alpha_6) + (1 + \alpha_3) N_{ij}^{\rm SSB}$$

COHERENT Collaboration Phys.Rev.Lett. 129 no. 8, (2022) 081801

- $\sigma_0 = 11\%$ efficiency + flux
- $\sigma_1 = 25\%$ BRN
- $\sigma_2 = 35\%$ NIN
- $\sigma_3 = 2.1\%$ SSB
- $\sigma_5 = 3.8\% \text{ QF}$
- $\sigma_4 = 5\% (R_A = 1.23 A^{1/3}(1 + \alpha_4))$
- α_6 beam timing (no prior)
- α₇ CEvNS efficiency

LAr

- $\sigma_0 = 13\%$ normal. CEvNS
- $\sigma_3 = 0.79\%$ SS

Cs

- $\sigma_s = 100\%$ delayed BRN
- $\sigma_4 = 32\%$ prompt BRN
- $β_1, β_2, β_5, β_6$ and $β_7$ shape uncertainties

$$\begin{split} \chi^2_{\text{LAr}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{12} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \frac{1}{\sigma_{ij}^2} \Big[(1 + \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta_{\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}}^{F_{90+}} + \beta_1 \Delta_{\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}}^{F_{90-}} + \beta_2 \Delta_{\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}}^{\text{trig}}) N_{ij}^{\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}} \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta_3) N_{ij}^{\text{SSB}} \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta_4 + \beta_5 \Delta_{\text{pBRN}}^{E_+} + \beta_5 \Delta_{\text{pBRN}}^{E_-} + \beta_6 \Delta_{\text{pBRN}}^{t_{\text{trig}}^+} + \beta_6 \Delta_{\text{pBRN}}^{t_{\text{trig}}^-} + \beta_7 \Delta_{\text{pBRN}}^{t_{\text{trig}}}) N_{ij}^{\text{pBRN}} \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta_8) N_{ij}^{\text{dBRN}} - N_{ij}^{\text{exp}} \Big]^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0,3,4,8} \left(\frac{\beta_k}{\sigma_k}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=1,2,5,6,7} (\beta_k)^2 \,, \end{split}$$

Atzori-Corona et al. 2205.09484, COHERENT Collaboration 2006.12659

FIG. 17: COHERENT-CsI scintillation curve as function of the true nuclear recoil energy for the two QF models reported by COHERENT [8].

$$\chi^{2}_{\text{LAr}} = \sum_{i=1}^{12} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \frac{1}{\sigma_{ij}^{2}} \Big[(1 + \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} \Delta_{\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}}^{F_{90+}} + \beta_{1} \Delta_{\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}}^{F_{90-}} + \beta_{2} \Delta_{\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}}^{\text{trig}}) N_{ij}^{\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}} + (1 + \beta_{3}) N_{ij}^{\text{SSB}} + (1 + \beta_{4} + \beta_{5} \Delta_{\text{pBRN}}^{E_{+}} + \beta_{5} \Delta_{\text{pBRN}}^{E_{-}} + \beta_{6} \Delta_{\text{pBRN}}^{t^{+}_{\text{trig}}} + \beta_{6} \Delta_{\text{pBRN}}^{t^{-}_{\text{trig}}} + \beta_{7} \Delta_{\text{pBRN}}^{t^{\text{w}}_{\text{trig}}}) N_{ij}^{\text{pBRN}} + (1 + \beta_{8}) N_{ij}^{\text{dBRN}} - N_{ij}^{\text{exp}} \Big]^{2} + \sum_{k=0,3,4,8} \left(\frac{\beta_{k}}{\sigma_{k}} \right)^{2} + \sum_{k=1,2,5,6,7} (\beta_{k})^{2} , \qquad (39)$$

where $\sigma_{ij}^2 = N_{ij}^{exp} + N_{ij}^{SSB}/5$. The nuisance parameters β_0 , β_3 , β_4 and β_8 are introduced to account for the normalization of CE ν NS¹⁰, SS, prompt BRN and delayed BRN, respectively, with the corresponding uncertainties being { σ_0 , σ_3 , σ_4 , σ_8 }={0.13, 0.0079, 0.32, 1.0} [9]. The shape uncertainties are taken into account by introducing the nuisance parameters β_1 , β_2 , β_5 , β_6 and β_7 . The first two parameters modify the shape of the CE ν NS prediction, while the last three affect the shape of the prompt BRN background. In particular, for the case of CE ν NS, the relevant sources of systematic uncertainty are the $\pm 1\sigma$ energy distributions of the F_{90} parameter, given by $\Delta_{CE\nu NS}^{F_{90+}}$ and $\Delta_{CE\nu NS}^{F_{90-}}$, and the mean time to trigger distribution, $\Delta_{CE\nu NS}^{t_{trig}}$. Similarly, for the shape of the prompt BRN background, the relevant distributions are the $\pm 1\sigma$ energy distributions ($\Delta_{pBRN}^{E_+}$ and $\Delta_{pBRN}^{E_-}$), the $\pm 1\sigma$ mean time to trigger distributions ($\Delta_{pBRN}^{t_{trig}}$ and $\Delta_{pBRN}^{t_{trig}}$) and the trigger width distribution ($\Delta_{pBRN}^{t_{trig}}$). These distributions, introduced in Eq. (39), are defined as

$$\Delta_{\lambda}^{\xi_{\lambda}} = \frac{N_{ij}^{\lambda,\xi_{\lambda}} - N_{ij}^{\lambda,CV}}{N_{ij}^{\lambda,CV}}, \qquad (40)$$

where $\lambda = \{\text{CE}\nu\text{NS}, \text{pBRN}, \xi_{\lambda} \text{ is any of the sources relevant to the given } \lambda \text{ as described above,} while CV denotes the central values of the CE<math>\nu$ NS or prompt BRN distributions, all taken from

COHERENT ELASTIC VEUTRINO-NUCLEUS SCATTERING (CEVNS)

Heavy target nucleus:

A = 133, M ~ 133 GeV CEvNS occurs for $|\vec{q}| \leq 35$ MeV R = 1.2 A^{1/3} ~ 6 fm

Maximum nuclear recoil is $E_R^{\max} = \frac{2E_{\nu}^2}{m_N}$

Accelerator neutrinos: $E_{\nu} \lesssim 50 \text{ MeV}$ $E_R \lesssim \mathcal{O}(10) \text{ keV}$ Close to decoherence

Reactor neutrinos: $E_{\nu} \lesssim 10 \text{ MeV}$ $E_R \lesssim \mathcal{O}(100) \text{ eV}$

Full coherence

Drukier, Stodolsky, PRD 30 (1984) 2295

- No threshold
- Heavier nuclei: higher cross section but lower recoil
- Both cross-section and maximum recoil energy increase with neutrino energy