

Cosmological post-Newtonian approximation of a Lorentz-violating vector field

The rise and fall of *a* relativistic MOND theory

J. Hwang (IBS) & H. Noh (KASI)
PASCOS 2025, Durham
Jul 21 – 25, 2025

MOND as an alternative to Dark Matter

MOND:

Milgrom (1983)

$$f(|\vec{a}|/a_M)\vec{a} = \vec{g}_N, \quad \begin{cases} f(x) = 1 & \text{for } x \gg 1 \text{ (Newtonian)} \\ f(x) = x & \text{for } x \ll 1 \text{ (MOND)} \end{cases} \leftarrow \text{Low acceleration limit}$$

MOND regime : $|\vec{a}| = \sqrt{a_M |\vec{g}_N|} > |\vec{g}_N|$ **Gravity becomes stronger**

with $g_N = GM/r^2$, $|\vec{a}| = v^2/r \Rightarrow v = (GMa_M)^{1/4} \propto M^{1/4}$

$\therefore$ Explaining galactic rotations and Tully-Fisher relation

Poisson's form: **Bekenstein-Milgrom (1984)**

$$\nabla \cdot [f(|\nabla\Phi|/a_M)\nabla\Phi] = 4\pi G\rho \quad \text{with } \vec{a} = \nabla\Phi$$

Due to its **nonlinear nature**, internal dynamics is affected by the external gravitational field (**external field effect**), **violating the strong equivalence principle**

Milgrom's universal constant : $a_M \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm/sec}^2$

Intriguingly : $cH_0/(2\pi) \simeq 1.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm/sec}^2$

with $H_0 = 70(\text{km/sec})/\text{Mpc}$

A Relativistic MOND

Relativistic extension:
with MOND limit

Blanchet-Marsat (2011) ← Motivated by Einstein-aether theory (Jacobson-Mattingly 2001) and quantum gravity proposal with **local Lorentz invariance violation** (Horava 2009, Blas et al. 2010)

Cosmological addition:
for large-scale structure and CMB

Blanchet-Skordis (2024)
For MOND For Cosmology

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} [R - 2\mathcal{J}(A) + 2\mathcal{K}(Q)] + L_m \right\}$$

Time-like Four-vector → $U_a \equiv -\frac{c}{Q} \nabla_a \tau, \quad Q \equiv c \sqrt{-(\nabla^a \tau) \nabla_a \tau},$ ← Amplitude

Acceleration → $A_a \equiv c^2 U_{a;b} U^b = -c^2 q_a^b \nabla_b \ln Q, \quad A \equiv \frac{1}{c^4} A^c A_c,$ ← Kinetic part of U_a

Involves gravitational potential $U^c U_c \equiv -1, \quad q_{ab} \equiv g_{ab} + U_a U_b$ ← Projection tensor orthogonal to U_a

Blanchet-Marsat-Skordis (BMS) model of the relativistic MOND

Background constraint

Metric: $ds^2 = -a^2 dx^0 dx^0 + a^2 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ $Q = \dot{\tau} = 1 + \dot{\sigma}/c^2$

Model: $\mathcal{K} = \frac{2\nu^2}{n+1} \mathcal{K}_{n+1} (Q-1)^{n+1}$ **No $\mathcal{J}$ contributes to BG**

$$\mu_\tau = \mu_{\tau 0} \frac{1}{a^3} \frac{nQ+1}{n+1}, \quad Q = 1 + \left[\frac{3}{2a^3} \left(\frac{\overset{L_\nu \equiv 1/(\nu\sqrt{\mathcal{K}_{n+1}})}{\downarrow} \dot{L}_\nu}{L_H} \right)^2 \Omega_{\tau 0} \right]^{1/n} \quad \begin{matrix} L_H \equiv c/H_0 = 4.3 \text{Gpc} \\ H_0 = 70 (\text{km/sec})/\text{Mpc} \end{matrix}$$

$$w_\tau \equiv \frac{p_\tau}{\mu_\tau} = \frac{Q-1}{nQ+1}, \quad c_\tau^2 \equiv \frac{\dot{p}_\tau}{\dot{\mu}_\tau} = \frac{Q-1}{nQ} \quad \leftarrow \begin{matrix} \text{Dust (CDM) for } Q \approx 1 \\ \text{Can be achieved by reducing } L_\nu \end{matrix}$$

Demand: $w_{\tau*} \leq 0.0164$ at $a_* \sim 10^{-4.5}$ **for a dust-like behavior**

$$L_\nu \leq \left[\frac{2c^2}{3H_0^2 \Omega_{\tau 0}} \left(\frac{(n+1)w_{\tau*}}{1-nw_{\tau*}} \right)^n a_*^3 \right]^{1/2} \equiv L_* \quad \begin{matrix} L_* = 220, 62, 22 \text{pc for } n = 1, 2, 3 \\ \Omega_{\tau 0} = 0.26 \end{matrix}$$

$n = 1$ is excluded, due to a conflict in 0PN order

Post-Newtonian approximation

Zeroth-order post-Newtonian metric:

$$g_{00} = -\left(1 + \frac{2}{c^2}\Phi\right), \quad g_{0i} = 0, \quad g_{ij} = a^2\delta_{ij}$$

Ansatz: $\tau(\mathbf{x}, t) = t + \frac{1}{c^2}\bar{\sigma}(t) + \frac{1}{c^2}\sigma(\mathbf{x}, t)$

$$Q = 1 + \frac{1}{c^2}\dot{\sigma} - \frac{1}{c^2}\Xi, \quad \Xi \equiv \Phi - \dot{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2a^2}\sigma^{,i}\sigma_{,i}$$

No 0PN contribution from $\mathcal{K}$ for $n \geq 2$

$$\frac{1}{a^2}\nabla \cdot [(1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A})\nabla\Phi] = 4\pi G\delta\varrho_b + \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla \cdot \left\{ \mathcal{J}_{,A}\nabla \left[\dot{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2a^2}(\nabla\sigma) \cdot \nabla\sigma \right] \right\}$$

$\mathcal{J}_{,A} = 0$	: Newtonian
$1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A} = x \equiv \frac{1}{a} \nabla\Phi /a_M < 1$	: MOND

Bekenstein-Milgrom's MOND

Can we REMOVE it?
Or, SUPPRESS its effect?

Baryon + τ -field system

Conservation equations :

$$\frac{1}{a^3}(a^3 \varrho_\tau)^\cdot - \frac{1}{a^2}(\varrho_\tau \sigma^{,i})_{,i} = 0.$$

Momentum conservation eq.
identically valid

$$\frac{1}{a^3}(a^3 \varrho_b)^\cdot + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot (\varrho_b \mathbf{v}_b) = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{a}(a \mathbf{v}_b)^\cdot + \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{v}_b \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_b + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi = 0.$$

Poisson's equation:

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi = 4\pi G(\delta \varrho_b + \delta \varrho_\tau), \quad \varrho_\tau = \bar{\varrho}_\tau - \frac{1}{4\pi G} \frac{1}{a^2} (\mathcal{J}_{,A} \Xi^{,i})_{,i}.$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{a^2} \nabla \cdot [(1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A}) \nabla \Phi] = 4\pi G \delta \varrho_b + \frac{1}{a^2} \nabla \cdot \left\{ \mathcal{J}_{,A} \nabla \left[\dot{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2a^2} (\nabla \sigma) \cdot \nabla \sigma \right] \right\}$$

Dynamic nature of σ , using $\mathbf{v}_\tau \equiv -\frac{1}{a} \nabla \sigma$:

$$\frac{1}{a^3}(a^3 \varrho_\tau)^\cdot + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot (\varrho_\tau \mathbf{v}_\tau) = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \left\{ \mathcal{J}_{,A} \left[\frac{1}{a}(a \mathbf{v}_\tau)^\cdot + \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{v}_\tau \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_\tau + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi \right] \right\} = -4\pi G \delta \varrho_\tau.$$

$\sigma = 0$ as a physical condition

For $\sigma = 0$: $\rightarrow \dot{\delta}_\tau = 0$,

$$\ddot{\delta}_b + 2H\dot{\delta}_b = \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\Phi,$$

$$\frac{1}{a^2}\nabla \cdot [(1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A})\nabla\Phi] = 4\pi G\rho_b\delta_b.$$

← Linearized in density and velocity perturbations

← Nonlinear gravitational potential in MOND regime

This is what we naïvely expect in **Bekenstein-Milgrom's MOND**

Solutions: $\frac{1}{a}|\nabla\Phi| = \frac{3}{10}a_M\Omega_{b0}, \quad \delta_b = \frac{\frac{3}{10}\Omega_{b0}\frac{1}{a}\Delta\Phi}{4\pi G\rho_b a^3}a^2.$

MOND	vs	CDM
$\delta_b \propto a^2$		$\delta_b \propto a$

We expect fast growth of structures in MOND (Sanders 1998; Nusser 2002)

However, $\delta_\tau = \frac{\frac{10}{3} - \Omega_b}{1 - \Omega_b}\delta_b \propto a^2, \quad \dot{\delta}_\tau \propto \dot{\delta}_b \neq 0$

Thus, inconsistent, or possible for stationary system only (Flanagan 2023)

$\sigma = 0$ using a coordinate transformation

$$(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow (\mathbf{x}, t + \sigma/c^2) \text{ with } \bar{\sigma} \equiv 0 \rightarrow \tau = t \rightarrow g_{0i} = \frac{1}{c}\sigma_{,i} :$$

New 0PN expansion:

$$g_{00} = -\left(1 + \frac{2}{c^2}\Phi\right), \quad \text{0PN modified!} \quad \underline{g_{0i} = \frac{1}{c}\sigma_{,i}}, \quad g_{ij} = a^2\delta_{ij}.$$

Baryon conservation equations:

$$\frac{1}{a^3}(a^3\rho)^\cdot + \frac{1}{a}(\rho v^i)_{,i} = \frac{1}{a^2}(\rho_b \sigma'^i)_{,i}, \quad \text{Non-Newtonian corrections}$$
$$\frac{1}{a}(av_i)^\cdot + \frac{1}{a}v^j v_{i,j} + \frac{1}{a}\Phi_{,i} = \underline{-\frac{2}{a}H\sigma_{,i} + \frac{1}{a}\sigma_{,ij}\left(v^j - \frac{1}{a}\sigma'^j\right) + \frac{1}{a}v_{i,j}\sigma'^j}.$$

Poisson's equation:

$$\frac{1}{a^2}\nabla \cdot [(1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A})\nabla\Phi] = 4\pi G\delta\rho_b - \underline{\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\dot{\sigma}}.$$

No Newtonian limits in both conservation eqs and Poisson's eq

Density perturbations, properly considering dynamic σ

Baryon + τ -field system:

linear density and velocity perturbations,
but *nonlinear* in potential

$$\ddot{\delta}_b + 2H\dot{\delta}_b = \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\Phi, \quad \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\Phi = 4\pi G(\varrho_b\delta_b + \varrho_\tau\delta_\tau),$$

$$\dot{\delta}_\tau = \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\sigma, \quad \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla \cdot [\mathcal{J}_{,A}\nabla(\Phi - \dot{\sigma})] = -4\pi G\varrho_\tau\delta_\tau.$$

Newtonian regime, $\mathcal{J}_{,A} = 0$:

$$\ddot{\delta}_b + 2H\dot{\delta}_b = 4\pi G\varrho_b\delta_b, \quad \delta_\tau = 0, \quad \sigma = 0. \quad \textbf{Solution:}$$

Assuming matter-dominated era without Λ
 $\downarrow$
 $a \propto t^{2/3} \quad \delta_b \propto t^n$
 $n = \frac{1}{6}(-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 24\Omega_{b0}})$

MOND regime, $1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A} = x < 1$:

$$\ddot{\delta}_b + 2H\dot{\delta}_b = 4\pi G(\varrho_b\delta_b + \varrho_\tau\delta_\tau),$$

$$\ddot{\delta}_\tau + 2H\dot{\delta}_\tau = 4\pi G\varrho_b\delta_b - \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla \cdot (x\nabla\Phi).$$

Solution: For $x \ll 1$

$$n = \frac{1}{6} \left[-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 12\Omega_{b0} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4\frac{\Omega_{\tau 0}}{\Omega_{b0}}} \right)} \right]$$

$n < \frac{2}{3} \therefore$ **No faster growth as expected in MOND regime**

$\therefore$ **non-MONDian!**

Perturbation Theory

Metric perturbation:

$$\begin{array}{c} x^0 = \eta \\ \downarrow \\ g_{00} = -a^2(1 + 2\alpha), \quad g_{0i} = -a\chi_i, \quad g_{ij} = a^2(1 + 2\varphi)\delta_{ij} \end{array}$$

Associated with δ_{ij} Arbitrary amplitude

Ignored transverse-tracefree perturbation and imposed spatial gauge conditions

Field perturbation:

$$\tau \equiv \bar{\tau}(t) + \frac{1}{c^2}\sigma(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

Linear perturbation

To linear order: $Q = (1 - \alpha)\bar{Q} + \frac{1}{c^2}\dot{\sigma}, \quad \bar{Q} = \dot{\tau}$

$\Upsilon \equiv \alpha - \frac{1}{c^2 Q} \left(\dot{\sigma} - \frac{\dot{Q}}{Q} \sigma \right) \equiv \alpha_{\dot{\sigma}}$ Gauge-invariant notation $A_i = c^2 \Upsilon_{,i}$

Fluid quantities: $\delta\mu_{\tau} = \frac{c^4}{8\pi G} \left(Q \mathcal{K}_{,QQ} \delta Q - 2 \mathcal{J}_{,A} \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Upsilon \right),$

$\delta p_{\tau} = \frac{c^4}{8\pi G} \mathcal{K}_{,Q} \delta Q, \quad v_{\tau} = \frac{1}{aQ} \sigma, \quad v_i \equiv -v_{,i} + v_i^{(v)}$

No vector and tensor perturbations, No anisotropic stress

EoS:

$$\frac{\delta p_{\tau}}{\mu_{\tau}} = c_{\tau}^2 \left(1 - \mathcal{J}_{,A} \frac{c_{\tau}^2 c^2 k^2}{4\pi G \rho_{\tau} a^2} \right)^{-1} \delta_{\tau}$$

$\therefore \sigma = 0$ implies $v = 0$.

Gauge issue

Gauge transformation: $\hat{x}^a = x^a + \xi^a$

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{c^2} = \frac{\sigma}{c^2} - \tau' \xi^0, \quad \hat{Q} = Q - c \left(\frac{\tau'}{a} \right)' \xi^0, \quad \hat{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_{,Q} c \left(\frac{\tau'}{a} \right)' \xi^0$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \mu - \mu' \xi^0, \quad \hat{p} = p - p' \xi^0, \quad \hat{v} = v - c \xi^0$$

$\therefore \sigma = 0$ can be used as a gauge condition $\rightarrow v = 0 \therefore$ **comoving gauge**

Gauge-invariant combination: $\delta\mu_\sigma \equiv \delta\mu - \frac{\mu'}{\tau'} \frac{\sigma}{c^2}$ $\uparrow$ with τ -fluid

By combining: $\alpha_\sigma \stackrel{\text{Momentum conservation}}{=} -\frac{\delta p_\sigma}{\mu + p}, \quad \kappa_\sigma \stackrel{\text{Energy conservation}}{=} \frac{(a^3 \delta\mu_\sigma)'}{a^3(\mu + p)}$

Einstein eq. $\longrightarrow$ **Raychaudhuri eq.** $\dot{\kappa}_\sigma + 2H\kappa_\sigma + \left(c^2 \frac{\Delta}{a^2} + 3\cancel{\dot{H}} \right) \alpha_\sigma = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2} (\delta\mu_\sigma + 3\cancel{\delta p_\sigma})$

Jeans criterion

Density perturbation equation: valid for a fluid without anisotropic stress and τ -field, with Λ in the background

$$\frac{1+w}{a^2 H} \left[\frac{H^2}{a(\mu+p)} \left(\frac{a^3 \mu}{H} \delta_\sigma \right) \right]' = c^2 \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \frac{\delta p_\sigma}{\mu}$$

Zero-pressure background:

$$\delta \equiv \delta\mu/\mu \quad \delta\mu_\sigma \equiv \delta\mu - \frac{\mu'}{\tau'} \frac{\sigma}{c^2} \quad \text{Gauge-invariant combination}$$

$$\frac{1}{a^2 H} \left[a^2 H^2 \left(\frac{\delta_\sigma}{H} \right) \right]' = \ddot{\delta}_\sigma + 2H\dot{\delta}_\sigma - 4\pi G \rho \delta_\sigma = c^2 \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \frac{\delta p_\sigma}{\mu}$$

Gravity

Pressure

Jeans scale:

$$\Delta = -k^2 \rightarrow \frac{k_J}{a} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi G \delta \rho_\sigma}{c^2 \delta p_\sigma / \mu}} = \left[2c_\tau^2 \left(\frac{w_\tau}{\mathcal{K}} + \frac{a^3}{I_0} \frac{1}{Q} \mathcal{J}_{,A} \right) \right]^{-1/2}$$

Perturbation constraint

Model: $\mathcal{K} = \frac{2\nu^2}{n+1} \mathcal{K}_{n+1} (Q-1)^{n+1}$

Jeans scale: $\frac{k_J}{a} = \left[\frac{2a^3}{I_0} \frac{Q-1}{nQ} \left(\frac{n+1}{nQ+1} + \mathcal{J}_{,A} \right) \right]^{-1/2}$

n = 1: $\frac{k_J}{a} \simeq \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A}}}$ **Excluded already**

n = 2: $\frac{k_J}{a} \simeq \sqrt{\sqrt{\frac{2I_0}{a^3}} \frac{\nu \sqrt{\mathcal{K}_3}}{1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A}}}$

$1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A} = 1$: Newtonian

$1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A} = |\nabla \Phi|/a_M < 1$: MOND

$L_H \equiv c/H_0 = 4.3 Gpc$

$$\frac{\lambda_J}{2\pi} = \frac{a}{k_J} \leq \sqrt{\frac{L_H L_* a^{3/2}}{\sqrt{6\Omega_{\tau 0}}} (1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A})} \sim \sqrt{1 + \mathcal{J}_{,A}} a^{3/4} 1.1 Mpc$$

Baryon + τ -field system:

In super-Jeans scale: τ -field = CDM

Newtonian regime, $\mathcal{J}_A = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\delta}_b + 2H\dot{\delta}_b - 4\pi G(\varrho_b\delta_b + \varrho_\tau\delta_\tau) &= 0, \\ \ddot{\delta}_\tau + 2H\dot{\delta}_\tau - 4\pi G(\varrho_b\delta_b + \varrho_\tau\delta_\tau) &= c_\tau^2 c^2 \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \delta_\tau\end{aligned}$$

MOND regime, sub-Jeans scale

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\delta}_b + 2H\dot{\delta}_b - 4\pi G(\varrho_b\delta_b + \varrho_\tau\delta_\tau) &= 0, \\ \ddot{\delta}_\tau + 2H\dot{\delta}_\tau - 4\pi G\left(\varrho_b\delta_b - \frac{x}{1-x}\varrho_\tau\delta_\tau\right) &= 0\end{aligned}\quad x \equiv 1 + \mathcal{J}_A$$

The same as PN case $\therefore$ no faster growth as expected in MOND
 $\therefore$ non-MONDian!

Summary

- ❖ MOND = modified gravity in regions of **low acceleration**
- ❖ **Direct evidence** from wide binary systems supports MOND
- ❖ **Early presence of galaxies** is in tension with the CDM paradigm; MOND has stronger gravity, thus provide **faster growth of baryon pert.**
- ❖ Numerous versions of MOND and several relativistic extensions
- ❖ We studied **Blanchet-Marsat-Skordis' relativistic MOND** with successful cosmology based on a Lorentz-violating vector field
- ❖ In BMS theory: **Cosmology aspects appear sound**
- ❖ Dynamic nature of vector field is a challenge to achieve MOND
- ❖ Difficult to remove the dynamic parts
- ❖ **BMS theory achieves MOND only in stationary systems**
- ❖ Dynamic parts lead to **non-MONDian behavior** of baryon density pert.
- ❖ The rise and fall of BMS' proposal as a relativistic MOND theory