Symmetric Architecture Design for LHC Phenomenology

Vishal Ngairangbam IPPP, Durham University

2410.18553 D. Maître, V. N., M. Spannowsky





Outline

- Group symmetries in point cloud representation
- Inductive biases a quotient topologies
- Optimal symmetries from the Matrix-Element Method
 - Homogeneous point cloud architectures that assume S_N invariance are suboptimal for most scenarios
 - Largest continuous symmetry can be at most $O(1,1) \oplus O(2)$ and not O(1,3)



Point Cloud Representation

$$S^2=ig\{x^2+y^2+z^2=1\,:\,(x,y,z)\in \mathbb{R}^3ig\}$$
Embedded Manifold of unit radius $D=ig\{(x_1,y_1,y_2)\,:\,i=1,2,\ldots,N\}\subset S^2$

Point Cloud: Set of points sampled from the embedded manifold



Common 3D rotation on each sample produces a correct subset

$$D'=R(g) \; D \quad, \quad g\in SO(3)$$

Should one always utilise SO(3) invariance?

In an inverse scenario, $\ D \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$ $D = (x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2, \dots, x_N, y_N, z_N)$



Group Invariant Functions





$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Group Invariant Functions} & r_i = \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2 + z_i^2} \\ \text{Define } f: \mathbb{R}^{3N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\} & D = (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \\ f(D) = 1 \implies \text{all } r_i = 1 \\ f(D) \neq 1 \implies \text{at least one } r_i \neq 1 \end{array}$$

$$f ext{ is SO(3) invariant!} \ f(D) = f(D_\sigma) \implies f ext{ is } S_N ext{ invariant}$$

$$D\mapsto D_{\sigma}=(\mathbf{r}_{\sigma(1)},\mathbf{r}_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,\mathbf{r}_{\sigma(N)})$$



Noisy Binary Classification

Is SO(3) invariance still a good symmetry?

- Overlap between probability distributions
 Gaussian noise model for example
- Class assignment becomes one-to-many
 not well-defined as a function







Noisy Binary Classification

What is the target function?

Neyman-Pearson Lemma: Optimal Discriminant is a monotonic function of the likelihood ratio

 $\lambda(D) = rac{p_1(D)}{p_0(D)}$

Correct Symmetry = Symmetry Shared by both classes (upto a possible scale invariance)

SO(2) rotations along z-axis is the largest symmetry for cylinder vs sphere





Cylinder: y = 0

Inverted Hierarchy of Group Invariant Function spaces



 $ext{Orbit} \ [\mathbf{x}]_
ho = \{\mathbf{x} \ : \ \mathbf{x}' =
ho(g) \ \mathbf{x} \ orall g \in \mathcal{G} \}$

Orbits partition the domain ${\cal D}$

 $\mathcal G\text{-invariance}$ requires fibres to be at least as large as the orbits

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x}) = f(
ho(g)\,\mathbf{x})$$

 $egin{aligned} & [\mathbf{x}]_{SO(3)} = ext{Sphere of radius} \ & |\mathbf{x}| \ & [\mathbf{x}]_{SO_z(2)} = ext{Circles of radius} \ & \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} ext{ around } z ext{-axis} \end{aligned}$

$$[\mathbf{x}]_{SO(3)} = igcup_{0 < r \le |\mathbf{x}|} \ [\mathbf{x}_r]_{SO(2)}$$



Partition of Infinite Sets





Inductive Bias: Input Domain

A strong inductive bias is the assumption of a partition $[\mathbf{x}]_{\sim}$ on \mathcal{D} such that $\hat{f}: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{H}$ cannot be unequal within a single $[\mathbf{x}]_{\sim}$.

Assume a quotient topology on the domain $\,\pi:\mathcal{D} o\mathcal{D}/\!\!\sim$



Correct Inductive Bias $\mathcal{D}/{\sim_f} = \{f^{-1}(\mathbf{y}): \mathbf{y} \in \mathrm{Im}(f)\}$ $\pi:\mathcal{D} ightarrow\mathcal{D}/{\sim}$ $\mathrm{Target}\ f:\mathcal{D} ightarrow\mathcal{H}$ No Bias $\hat{f}:\mathcal{D} ightarrow\mathcal{H}$ Biased $\hat{f}:\mathcal{D}/{\sim} ightarrow\mathcal{H}$

Durham PASCOS 2025

Incorrect Inductive Bias

Jniversity



Inverted Hierarchy of Group Invariant Function spaces





Inverted Hierarchy Example



Point Cloud Representation of Events



Inherent advantages of point cloud representation

- No assumed order
 - Order has no inherent meaning
 - A set of measured particles
- Variable cardinality
 - Combining event signatures with additionally radiated jets

Should we consider the event representation as a point cloud?





Point Cloud Representation of Events



$$\mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{p}_1 \oplus \mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{p}_2 \oplus \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N \oplus \mathbf{h}_N)$$

 ${f p}_i\equiv (E_i,ec p_i)$

 $\mathbf{h}_i \equiv \text{Other information like charge, object type etc.}$

Is the target function S_N invariant?

Is the target function Lorentz invariant?





Representation of Reconstructed Events

$$\mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{p}_1^{\gamma}, \mathbf{p}_2^{\gamma}, \mathbf{p}_3^{J}) egin{array}{ccc} m_{12} \in [m_H - \Delta, m_H + \Delta] &, & m_{13}
otin [m_H - \Delta, m_H + \Delta] \ \Rightarrow & ext{Likely to be Higgs candidate event} \end{array}$$

$$\mathbf{E'} = (\mathbf{p}_1^{\gamma}, \mathbf{p}_3^J, \mathbf{p}_2^{\gamma}) egin{array}{ccc} m_{13} \in [m_H - \Delta, m_H + \Delta] &, & m_{12}
ot\in [m_H - \Delta, m_H + \Delta] \ \Rightarrow & ext{Not likely to be Higgs candidate event} \end{array}$$

$$S_n$$
-invariant $\implies y(\mathbf{E}) = y(\mathbf{E}')$



Symmetries from Matrix-Element Method

$$p_i(\mathbf{E}|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int d\Pi_n(\mathbf{P}) \ dx_1 \ dx_2 \ \frac{f_a(x_1)f_b(x_2)}{2E_{cm} \ x_1 x_2} |\mathcal{M}_i(x_1\mathbf{q}_1, x_2\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}, \theta)|^2 \ T(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P})$$

 $T(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P}) = \text{Transfer Function (decides the symmetries)}$

- 1. Is Lorentz Invariant for a Single Process
- 2. Adds additional discrete symmetries for experimentally indistinguishable particles



Symmetries from Matrix-Element Method



In the sample space of selected events: Longitudinal Boost invariant but not Lorentz Invariant!



PASCOS 2025

Discrete Symmetries

$$\lambda(\mathbf{E}) = rac{p_S(\mathbf{E})}{p_B(\mathbf{E})}$$

~(**Ip**)~ 20

$$egin{aligned} S:p \ p o W^+ \ W^-, W o l^+ \
u, W^- o l^- \ ar{
u} \ B:p \ p o Z \ Z, Z o l^+ \ l^-, Z o
u \ ar{
u} \ \lambda(\mathbf{E}) ext{ has no discrete symmetries} \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} S:p \ p \ o Z \ h \ , Z o l^+l^-, h o bar{b} \ B:p \ p \ o Z \ h \ , Z o l^+l^-, Z o bar{b} \ NWA: S_2 imes S_2 ext{ invariant } \lambda(\mathbf{E}) \ . NWA: S_2 ext{ invariant } \lambda(\mathbf{E}) \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} S:p\ p\ o hh+ ext{jets},h o bb,h o bb \ ext{[Non-resonant]}\ S:p\ p\ o H,H o hh+ ext{jets},h o bb,h o bb \ ext{[Resonant]}\ B:p\ p\ o 4b+ ext{jets}\ NWA: S_2 imes S_2 ext{ invariant }\lambda(\mathbf{E})\ ext{!NWA: }S_4 ext{ invariant }\lambda(\mathbf{E}) \end{aligned}$$



Di-Higgs to four Bottom Quarks [Resonant]

Architecture/Co ntinuous Group	Discrete Symmetry	Num. Params.	AUC	R ₃₀
O(1,1)-Scalar	S ₄	293k	0.9652±0.0002	2135±303
		22k	0.9647±0.0005	2000±139
O(1,3)	$S_2 \times S_2$	743k	0.9550±0.0016	865±67
		52k	0.9542±0.0011	864±66
SPA-NET*	S _N	37.9M	0.961 ± 0.001	

*<u>JHEP 09 (2024) 139</u> Based on attention mechanism proposed in <u>Phys Rev D 105 112008</u> for solving combinatorial ambiguities at reconstruction



Di-Higgs to four Bottom Quarks [Non-Resonant]

Architecture/Co ntinuous Group	Discrete Symmetry	Num. Params.	AUC	R ₃₀
O(1,1)-Scalar	S ₄	293k	0.9160±0.0009	236±20
		22k	0.9165±0.0005	256±25
O(1,3)	$S_2 \times S_2$	743k	0.9000±0.0009	130±7
		52k	0.8995±0.0014	129±10
SPA-NET*	S _N	541k	0.911 ± 0.001	_

*<u>JHEP 09 (2024) 139</u> Based on attention mechanism proposed in <u>Phys Rev D 105 112008</u> for solving combinatorial ambiguities at reconstruction



Summary

- Symmetries form the backbone of fundamental physics
 - Shows up as **inductive biases** in machine learning tasks
 - In contrast to industrial ML applications, first-principles demand various exact symmetries of the *target function*
- Matrix-elements mandate Lorentz invariant final state distributions
 - Finite detector resolution (e.g jet radius) allows at most longitudinal boost invariant target functions for phenomenological tasks
 - Target function for most classification is **not S_N invariant**
 - **S**_N invariant only when the final state consists of a single type of reconstructed object





Inputs

Decomposed four vector $\mathbf{\tilde{x}}_{i}^{(0)} \equiv (p_{x}, p_{y}) \quad \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(0)} \equiv (p_{z}, E) \qquad \mathbf{p}_{i}^{(l)} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{(0)} \oplus \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(l)}$

Scalar node features

$$\mathbf{h}_i^{(0)} = (\phi_i, \log p_i^t, \log m_i^t, b_i, \log m_i)$$

 $b_i =$ B-jet tag

Scalar edge features

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij}^{(0)} = \left(\log p_{ij}^t, \log \left(p_i^t p_j^t\right), \Delta \eta_{ij}, \Delta \phi_{ij}, \Delta R_{ij}\right)$$



Equivariant Operation

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{m}_{ij}^{(l+1)} &= \Phi_e^{(l+1)} \left(\mathbf{h}_i^{(l)}, \mathbf{h}_j^{(l)}, \mathbf{e}_{ij}^{(l)}, |\mathbf{p}_{ij}^{(l)}|_{(1,2)}^2, \langle \mathbf{p}_i^{(l)} \rangle_{(1,2)}, |\mathbf{p}_{ij}^{(l)}|^2, \langle \mathbf{p}_i^{(l)}, \mathbf{p}_j^{(l)} \rangle \right) \quad , \\ \mathbf{x}_i^{(l+1)} &= \mathbf{x}_i^{(l)} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \mathbf{x}_j^{(l)} \Phi_x^{(l+1)} \left(\mathbf{m}_{ij}^{(l+1)} \right) \quad , \\ \mathbf{m}_i^{(l+1)} &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}(i)|} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \mathbf{m}_{ij}^{(l+1)} \quad , \\ \mathbf{h}_i^{(l+1)} &= \Phi_h^{(l+1)} (\mathbf{h}_i^{(l)}, \mathbf{m}_i^{(l+1)}) \quad . \end{split}$$



Fibre of Functions



Fibre of Functions

PASCOS 2025

Durham

University

Nutually Exclusive
$$\mathbf{y}
eq \mathbf{y}' \Rightarrow f^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \cap f^{-1}(\mathbf{y}') = \emptyset$$

$$\left(f^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_1)=\{\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2\}
ight)$$

$$egin{array}{l} f^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_2) = \{\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4\} \end{array}$$

$$egin{array}{l} f^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_3) = \{\mathbf{x}_5, \mathbf{x}_6\} \end{array}$$

Covers the domain $\cup_{\mathbf{y}\in Im(f)}\,f^{-1}(\mathbf{y})=\mathcal{D}$

Fibres of a function partition the domain!



Fibre of Functions



A unique fibre decomposition corresponds to a family of functions

