# LNV and LFV @ FCC and HL-LHC (without $\nu_R$ ) $\nu$ Physics, IPPP, Durham

**Richard Ruiz** 

Institute of Nuclear Physics - Polish Academy of Science (IFJ PAN)

10 April 2025



N A R O D O W E C E N T R U M N A U K I

= nar

#### this talk:

#### • summary of HL-LHC + FCC sensitivity to $\nu$ mass models $\odot$

scenarios without ν<sub>R</sub> (see talks by S. King, T. Schwetz-Mangold, M. Mitra, & A. Titov!)

#### many numbers already available from previous ESU/Snowmass ©

- broad review on LNV@Colliders (Front.'17) [1711.02180]
- review for (pseudo)Dirac and Majorana N (JHEP'18) [1812.08750]
- lots of newer works by the community

#### 20' too little time for everything S

A = N A = N = I = 000

the big picture

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

・ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト < ロト</li>

Problem: according to the SM,  $m_{\nu}=0$ . (too few ingredients but data obviously disagree!)



Discovery of neutrino masses  $\circledast$   $\Rightarrow$  several open questions:

- $\nu$  have mass. What is generating  $m_{\nu}$ ?
- $\nu$  masses are *tiny*. What sets the scale of  $m_{\nu}$ ?
- $m_{\nu}$  are nearly degenerate. What sets the pattern of  $m_{\nu}$ ?
- $\nu$  carry no QCD/QED charge. Are  $\nu, \overline{\nu}$  the same (Majorana)?

guidance from theory

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

 $m_{\nu} \neq 0 \implies$  new physics must exist

もつか 正明 エル・エリ・エピ・エロ・

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

6 / 37



6 / 37

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



 $m_{\nu} \neq 0$  + renormalizability + gauge inv.  $\implies$  new particles

**New particles** must couple to  $\Phi_{\rm SM}$  and L, often inducing non-conservation of lepton number and/or lepton flavor

### **Theory solution** to $m_{\nu} \neq 0$ can be realized in *many* ways!

Minkowski ('77); Yanagida ('79); Glashow & Levy ('80); Gell-Mann et al., ('80); Mohapatra & Senjanović ('82); + many others



collider strategy: infer Majorana nature<sup>1</sup> or mass mechanism of  $\nu$  from LNV+LFV with new particles

<sup>1</sup> Black Box Theorem: LNV $\iff$ Majorana $\nu$	·	<	) 9 (
R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN)	LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP	7 / 37	



<sup>2</sup>Konetschny and Kummer ('77); Schechter and Valle ('80); Cheng and Li ('80); Lazarides, et al ('81); Mohapatra and Senjanovic ('81)

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

8 / 37

9 / 37

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC - vphys@IPPP

Hypothesize a scalar  $SU(2)_L$  triplet with lepton number L = -2

$$\hat{\Delta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^+ & \sqrt{2}\Delta^{++} \\ \sqrt{2}\Delta^0 & -\Delta^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{L}_{\Delta\Phi} \ni \mu_{h\Delta} \Big( \Phi_{SM}^{\dagger} \hat{\Delta} \cdot \Phi_{SM}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.} \Big)$$

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC –  $\nu$ phys@IPPP 9 / 37

Hypothesize a scalar  $SU(2)_L$  triplet with lepton number L = -2

$$\hat{\Delta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^+ & \sqrt{2}\Delta^{++} \\ \sqrt{2}\Delta^0 & -\Delta^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{L}_{\Delta\Phi} \ni \mu_{h\Delta} \Big( \Phi_{\text{SM}}^{\dagger} \hat{\Delta} \cdot \Phi_{\text{SM}}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.} \Big)$$

The mass scale  $\mu_{h\Delta}$  breaks lepton number, and induces  $\langle \Delta \rangle \neq 0$ :

$$\langle \hat{\Delta} \rangle = \mathbf{v}_{\Delta} \approx \frac{\mu_{h\Delta} v_{\rm EW}^2}{\sqrt{2} m_{\Delta}^2}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ | Ξ = のへの

Hypothesize a scalar SU(2)<sub>L</sub> triplet with lepton number L = -2

$$\hat{\Delta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^+ & \sqrt{2}\Delta^{++} \\ \sqrt{2}\Delta^0 & -\Delta^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{L}_{\Delta\Phi} \ni \mu_{h\Delta} \Big( \Phi_{\text{SM}}^{\dagger} \hat{\Delta} \cdot \Phi_{\text{SM}}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.} \Big)$$

The mass scale  $\mu_{h\Delta}$  breaks lepton number, and induces  $\langle \Delta \rangle \neq 0$ :

$$\langle \hat{\Delta} \rangle = \mathbf{v}_{\Delta} \approx \frac{\mu_{h\Delta} v_{\rm EW}^2}{\sqrt{2} m_{\Delta}^2}$$

 $\implies$  left-handed Majorana masses for  $\nu$ 

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{y_{\Delta}^{ij}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\mathcal{L}^{c}} \hat{\Delta} \mathcal{L} = -\frac{y_{\Delta}^{ij}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\nu^{jc}} & \overline{\ell^{jc}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{v}_{\Delta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu' \\ \ell' \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\ni -\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\left(\sqrt{2} y_{\Delta}^{ij} \mathbf{v}_{\Delta}\right)}_{=m_{\nu}^{ij}} \overline{\nu^{jc}} \nu^{i}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Few free parameters $\implies$ rich experimental predictions

Fileviez Perez, Han, Li, et al, [0805.3536], Crivellin, et al [1807.10224], Fuks, Nemevšek, RR [1912.08975] + others

 Example: △ decay rates encode inverse (IH) vs normal (NH) ordering of light neutrino masses

$$\Gamma(\Delta^{\pm\pm} \to \ell_i^{\pm} \ell_j^{\pm}) \sim y_{\Delta}^{ij} \sim (U_{\rm PMNS}^* \tilde{m}_{\nu}^{\rm diag} U_{\rm PMNS}^{\dagger})_{ij}$$



R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN

10 / 37

# Type II@HL-LHC



Fuks, Nemevšek, RR [1912.08975]

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

11 / 37

< ∃ ►

# Type II@HL-LHC



R. Ruiz (IFJ PA

# Type II@FCC-hh



# What if $\Delta^{\pm\pm}$ , $\Delta^{\pm}$ are discovered?

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN)

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

13 / 37

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●目目 のへで

# celebrate! 🙂

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN)

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

14 / 37

# charged scalars $H^{\pm\pm}$ , $H^{\pm}$ are not unique

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP





LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

16 / 37

### **Zee-Babu model** generates $m_{\nu}$ radiatively **without** hypothesizing $\nu_R$

◇20~ 戸川 〈 川 〉 〈 川 〉 〈 □ 〉

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN)

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

17 / 37

**Zee-Babu model** generates  $m_{\nu}$  radiatively **without** hypothesizing  $\nu_R$ 

Hypothesize two scalar  $SU(2)_L$  singlets k, h with weak hypercharge  $Y = -2, -1 \iff Q_k = -2, Q_h = -1$  with lepton number L = -2

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC - vphys@IPPP

**Zee-Babu model** generates  $m_{\nu}$  radiatively **without** hypothesizing  $\nu_R$ 

Hypothesize two scalar SU(2)<sub>L</sub> singlets k, h with weak hypercharge Y = -2, -1 ( $\implies Q_k = -2, Q_h = -1$ ) with lepton number L = -2

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ZB}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + (D_{\mu}k)^{\dagger}(D^{\mu}k) + (D_{\mu}h)^{\dagger}(D^{\mu}h) + (\mu \nu hhk^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{ij} \ \overline{\tilde{L}^{i}}L^{j}h^{\dagger} + g_{ij} \ \overline{(e_{R}^{c})^{i}}e_{R}^{j}k^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.} \end{bmatrix} + \dots$$

$$\overset{h^{-}} \qquad \overset{h^{-}}{\overset{i}} \overset{h^{$$

The mass scale  $\mu_{ll}$  breaks lepton number, and induces  $m_{\nu} \neq 0$ :

$$\left(\mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{\text{flavor}}\right)_{ij} = 16\mu_{\mu} f_{ia} m_a g_{ab}^* \mathcal{I}_{ab}(r) m_b f_{jb}.$$

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN

17 / 37

#### Few free parameters $\implies$ rich experimental predictions

Nebot, et al [0711.0483]; Ohlsson, Schwetz, Zhang [0909.0455]; Herrero-Garcia, Nebot, Rius, et al [1402.4491]; + others

• E.g.,  $k^{\pm\pm}$ ,  $h^{\pm}$  couplings to leptons encode oscillation physics

NH & IH,  $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) < 0.5$ 



R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

18 / 37

# Zee-Babu@HL-LHC



R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN

# Zee-Babu@FCC-hh



R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN

# What if $k^{\pm\pm}$ , $h^{\pm}$ are discovered?

: (IFJ PAN)

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

21 / 37

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

**Usual argument:** Different gauge quantum numbers  $\implies$  different  $\sigma$ 

- In principle, this is a good arguement
- ... but difference (1× or 2×) can be absorbed by BR (via LNV coupling)



silver lining

luiz (IFJ PAN)

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

23 / 37

# Guidance from oscillation data

The ratios of  $h^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell \nu$  couplings are fixed by oscillation data

- $\bullet \ \nu$  cannot be tagged at the LHC
- LHC only sensitive to sum over  $\nu \implies$  inclusive w.r.t.  $\nu$ !

From flavor-exclusive decay rates:  

$$\Gamma(h^{\pm} \to \ell \nu_{\ell}') = \frac{|f_{\ell\ell'}|^2}{4\pi} m_h \left(1 - \frac{m_{\ell}^2}{m_h^2}\right)$$

define flavor-inclusive decay rates:  

$$\Gamma(h^{\pm} \to e^{\pm}\nu_X) = \sum_{\ell=e}^{\tau} \Gamma(h^{\pm} \to e^{\pm}\nu_{\ell})$$

$$\Gamma(h^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu_X) = \sum_{\ell=e}^{\tau} \Gamma(h^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu_{\ell})$$

# Guidance from oscillation data

The ratios of  $h^{\pm} 
ightarrow \ell 
u$  couplings are fixed by oscillation data

- u cannot be tagged at the LHC
- LHC only sensitive to sum over  $\nu \implies$  inclusive w.r.t.  $\nu$ !

From flavor-exclusive decay rates:  

$$\Gamma(h^{\pm} \to \ell \nu_{\ell}') = \frac{|f_{\ell\ell'}|^2}{4\pi} m_h \left(1 - \frac{m_{\ell}^2}{m_h^2}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{h}_{e\mu} &= \frac{\mathrm{BR}(h^{\pm} \to e^{\pm}\nu_{X})}{\mathrm{BR}(h^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu_{X})} \\ &= \frac{|f_{e\mu}|^{2} + |f_{e\tau}|^{2}}{|f_{e\mu}|^{2} + |f_{\mu\tau}|^{2}} = \frac{|\frac{f_{e\mu}}{f_{\mu\tau}}|^{2} + |\frac{f_{e\tau}}{f_{\mu\tau}}|^{2}}{|\frac{f_{e\mu}}{f_{\mu\tau}}|^{2} + 1} \end{aligned}$$

define flavor-inclusive decay rates:  $\Gamma(h^{\pm} \to e^{\pm}\nu_X) = \sum_{\ell=e}^{\tau} \Gamma(h^{\pm} \to e^{\pm}\nu_\ell)$   $\Gamma(h^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu_X) = \sum_{\ell=e}^{\tau} \Gamma(h^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu_\ell)$   $\Gamma(h^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu_X) = \sum_{\ell=e}^{\tau} \Gamma(h^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu_\ell)$   $\frac{\nu_{e\mu}}{\nu_{e\mu}} \approx 0.313 \text{ (+smallish unc.)}$   $\frac{\nu_{e\mu}}{\nu_{e\mu}} \approx 0.715 \text{ (+smallish unc.)}$ 

24 / 37



<sup>4</sup>Foot, et al ('89)

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN)

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

25 / 37

**Type III Seesaw** postulates  $SU(2)_L$  letponic triplet  $(T^+, N^0, T^-)$ 

lots of rich physics Bajc, Senjanovic [hep-ph/0612029]; PF Perez [hep-ph/0702287]; Abada, et al [0707.4058, 0803.0481]; +++

- heavy electron and heavy neutrino carry weak isospin charges
- $\implies$  couples to  $W/Z/\gamma$  via gauge charges
- typical decay modes  $T^{\pm}, N \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}/\nu + V$



w/ Cai, Han, Li [1711.02180]

# Weinberg Operator<sup>5</sup>



 < □ > < ⊡ > < ⊡ > < Ξ</td>
 ≥
 ≤

 LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC - νphys@IPPP
 2

< E ▶ < E ▶ E = つへで P 27 / 37

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Weinberg ('79); w/ Fuks, et al PRD('21)[2012.09882]

# Weinberg operator at the LHC

In many ways  $W^{\pm}W^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}\ell'^{\pm}$  is the high-energy realization of  $0\nu\beta\beta$ 



**First constraints of Weinberg operator** ever for  $\mu\mu$  [2206.08956; 2305.14931] and  $e\mu$  [2403.15016] (new!), and outside nuclear environment for *ee* [2403.15016] (new!)

 $\Lambda/|\mathcal{C}_{\ell\ell'}| \gtrsim 2.5 - 5.6 \,\, {
m TeV} \iff |m_{\ell\ell'}| \lesssim 11 - 24 \,\, {
m GeV}$  for ee, e $\mu, \mu\mu$ 



The helicity amplitude for the  $0\nu\beta\beta$ process  $q\overline{q'} \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \ell_2^+ \overline{f} f'$  is

$$\mathcal{M}_{LNV} = J^{\mu}_{f_1 f'_1} J^{\nu}_{f_2 f'_2} \Delta^{W}_{\mu \alpha} \Delta^{W}_{\nu \beta} \underbrace{T^{\alpha \beta}_{LNV} \mathcal{D}(p_{\nu})}_{\mathcal{D}(p_{\nu})}$$

lepton current

29 / 37

Difficult to simulate since Weinberg op. modifies propagator of  $\nu_{\ell}$ 

modern Monte Carlo tools work in mass basis and do not like the idea of modifying  $\langle 0 | \overline{\nu_{\ell'}} \nu_\ell | 0 \rangle$ 

$$\xrightarrow{\nu_{\ell}(p)} \xrightarrow{\nu_{\ell'}^c(-p)} = \frac{ip'}{p^2} \xrightarrow{-iC_5^{\ell\ell'}v^2} \frac{ip'}{p^2} = \frac{im_{\ell\ell'}}{p^2}$$

**Solution:** Treat vertex as a particle! Invent unphysical Majorana fermion with (small) mass  $m_{\ell\ell}$  that couples to all lepton flavors recovers right behavior!

$$T_{LNV}^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{D}(p_{\nu}) \propto \gamma^{\alpha} P_{L} \frac{i(p+m_{\ell\ell'})}{p^{2}-m_{\ell\ell'}^{2}} \gamma^{\beta} P_{R} = \gamma^{\alpha} P_{L} \frac{im_{\ell\ell'}}{p^{2}} P_{L} \gamma^{\beta} \times \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\frac{m_{\ell\ell'}^{2}}{p^{2}}\right|\right)\right]$$

**Plotted:** Normalized production rate  $(C_5 = 1)$  vs scale  $(\Lambda)$ 

w/ Fuks, Saimpert, et al (PRD'21) [2012.09882]



# what is on the horizon?



R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN)

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

31 / 37

# Over past few years, the LHC has been established as an intense (laboratory) source of TeV-scale neutrinos ( $\nu$ ) (a remarkable expt. achievement!)





Candidate LHC neutrino event from FASER's pilot run

New programs (FASER, SND@LHC) now collecting  $\nu$ -nucleus scattering data



 $\nu$  fluxes from LHC (a) are large and (b) span 1-4 TeV in energy

33 / 37

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC - vphys@IPPP

### $\nu$ fluxes from LHC (a) are large and (b) span 1-4 TeV in energy

Kling & Nevay (PRD'21)

# $\nu$ fluxes can be normalized to be likelihood functions $f_{\nu}(x)$ ... S

see, e.g., van Groenendijk, Krack, Rojo, et al [2407.09611]



R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN

... and used to calculate arbitrary high- $p_T$  processes





#### ... and used to calculate arbitrary high- $p_T$ processes



INFO: storing files of previous run INFO: Storing fythia8 files of previous run INFO: Done

#### - \* ロ > \* 母 > \* 目 > \* 目 > ・ 目 = うへぐ

# ... including BSM processes in mg5amc



(日)

E1= 990

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN



36 / 37

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

thank you for your time!



R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN)

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

37 / 37

# backup

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC - vphys@IPPP

# The Black Box Theorem

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC - vphys@IPPP

2 / 6

In '82, Schechter & Valle published (PRD'82) a seminal finding:

- Suppose  $0\nu\beta\beta$  is mediated within "a 'natural' gauge theory"  $\Delta L = -2 \text{ process}$
- *u*, *d* and *e*<sup>-</sup> all carry weak charges



FIG. 1. Diagrams for neutrinoless double- $\beta$  decay in an SU(2)×U(1) gauge theory. The standard diagram is Fig. 1(a). It is the only one which contains a virtual neutrino (of four-momentum p). d and u are the down and up quarks.

= nar

In '82, Schechter & Valle published (PRD'82) a seminal finding:

• Suppose  $0\nu\beta\beta$  is mediated within "a 'natural' gauge theory"  $\Delta L = -2 \text{ process}$ 

• *u*, *d* and *e*<sup>-</sup> all carry weak charges

- always possible to build a many-loop,
   2-point graph with external ν<sub>L</sub>, ν<sup>c</sup><sub>L</sub>
- $0\nu\beta\beta$  generates a Majorana mass for  $\nu$
- holds generally for other  $\Delta L \neq 0$ process for further discussions, see:

Hirsch, et al [hep-ph/0608207] and Pascoli, et al [1712.07611]



FIG. 2. Diagram showing how any neutrinoless double- $\beta$  decay process induces a  $\overline{v}_e$ -to- $v_e$  transition, that is, an effective Majorana mass term.

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN)

LNV+LFV@LHC/FCC – vphys@IPPP

4 / 6



 $\gamma W^{\pm}$  and  $W^{\pm} W^{\pm}$  scattering drive high-mass scattering rates!

Dicus, et al (PRD'91); Datta, Guchait, Pilaftsis (PRD'94); w/ Fuks, Neundorf, Peters, Saimpert (PRD'21) [2011:02547] 🗠

# Poling Heavy Majorana Neutrinos and

#### Tracking Down the Origin of Neutrino Mass

#### Julia Gehrie

Department of Theoretical Physics, CERN, Seneva, Switzerland July 6, 2023 - Physics 36, 20

Collider experiments have set new direct limits on the existence of hypothetical heavy neutrinos, helping to constrain how ordinary neutrinos get their mass.



# Search for $W^{\pm}W^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}\ell'^{\pm}$ quickly adopted by **ATLAS** and **CMS** experiments!



← CMS (PRL'22) [2206.08956]

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

R. Ruiz (IFJ PAN