# Constraints from neutrinoless double beta decay



[2402.07993] with W. Dekens, J. de Vries, D. Castillo, J. Menéndez, E. Mereghetti, P. Soriano, G. Zhou [2407.10560] with J. de Vries, M. Drewes, Y. Georis, J. Klarić



New-ν Physics: From Colliders to Cosmology IPPP Durham

Vaisakh Plakkot

#### Double beta decay

#### $\succ (n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e) \times 2$

Lepton number conserved

► Rare process:  $T_{1/2}^{2\nu}$  (<sup>136</sup>Xe) ≈ 2.2 · 10<sup>21</sup> years

 $e^{-}$ 

 $e^{-}$ 

 $\bar{\nu}_{e}$ 



#### $(n \rightarrow p + e^- + \overline{\chi}_e) \times 2$

 $\succ$  Lepton number violated:  $L_i = 0, L_f = 2$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  Yet unseen process:  $T_{1/2}^{0\nu}(^{136}\text{Xe}) > 3.8 \cdot 10^{26}$  years [KamLAND-Zen 2406.11438]

 $e^{-}$ 

 $e^{-}$ 

 $\nu_M$ 

#### The Schechter-Valle theorem

 $ightarrow 0 \nu \beta \beta \Rightarrow$  Majorana neutrinos [Schechter, Valle '81]

#### > Majorana neutrinos $\Rightarrow 0\nu\beta\beta$ ?



#### The Schechter-Valle theorem

 $ightarrow 0 \nu \beta \beta \Rightarrow$  Majorana neutrinos [Schechter, Valle '81]

#### > Majorana neutrinos $\Rightarrow 0\nu\beta\beta$ ?





#### Prediction of a lifetime



 $e^{-}$ 

$$(T_{1/2}^{0\nu})^{-1} = |m_{\beta\beta}|^2 |\mathcal{M}|^2 G_{01}$$



How do you deal with the NMEs for arbitrary neutrino masses?

## The "standard" prescription

Amplitude takes the functional form

 $A_{\nu}(m_i) \simeq A_{\nu}(0) \frac{\langle p^2 \rangle}{\langle p^2 \rangle + m_i^2}$ 

→  $\langle p^2 \rangle \sim m_\pi^2$ , nucleus-dependent → Approximately mass-independent for  $m_i \rightarrow 0$ →  $\propto m_i^{-2}$  for large masses





All neutrinos are equal, but some are more equal than the others

7

> Hard neutrinos:  $k_0 \sim \left| \vec{k} \right| \sim \Lambda_{\chi} \sim \text{GeV}$ 

> Soft neutrinos:  $k_0 \sim |\vec{k}| \sim m_{\pi}$ 

> Potential neutrinos:  $k_0 \sim \left|\vec{k}\right|^2 / m_N \sim m_\pi^2 / m_N$ 

> Ultrasoft neutrinos:  $k_0 \sim |\vec{k}| \sim m_{\pi}^2/m_N$ 

Important particularly for lower masses where the rate can go to zero at leading order

#### Divide and conquer

> 100 MeV 
$$\leq m_i < 2$$
 GeV:  $A_v^{(\text{pot})}(m_i) + A_v^{(\text{hard})}(m_i)$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Contains NMEs and interpolations formulae

 $> m_i < 100 \text{ MeV: } A_{\nu}^{(\text{pot},<)}(m_i) + A_{\nu}^{(\text{hard})}(m_i) + A_{\nu}^{(\text{usoft})}(m_i)$ > Contains transition NMEs and correction in potential term to avoid double counting

[Dekens et al. 2303.04168]

#### Standard 3+0 scenario



#### Limits on heavy neutrinos



## Limits on heavy neutrinos



 $\left(T_{1/2}^{0\nu}\right)^{-1} = g_A^4 V_{ud}^2 G_{01} \left[ \mathcal{U}_{eN}^2 \frac{M_N}{m_e} A_{\nu}(M_N) \right]$ 

# The "minimal" 3+2 type-I seesaw model

> Sterile mass matrix: 
$$M_M = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{M} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{M} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & M_M \end{pmatrix}$$

Five Majorana neutrinos; lightest neutrino massless

 $> 5 \times 5$  mixing matrix:

#### Probing the inverted mass ordering

➢ Next-gen experiments probe the IO band for 3 active neutrinos
 → No signal ⇒ some sort of cancellation between the SM and BSM neutrino contributions

 $\rightarrow$  Lower bound on  $U_e^2 \equiv \sum_{I=4,5} |\mathcal{U}_{eI}|^2$ 

$$\left| \left( T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \right)^{-1} \propto \left| A_{\nu}(0) \sum_{i=1,2,3} \mathcal{U}_{ei}^{2} m_{i} + \sum_{I=4,5} \mathcal{U}_{eI}^{2} M_{I} A_{\nu}(M_{I}) \right|^{2} \right|^{2}$$





#### Other points of attack

Leptogenesis: Convert lepton asymmetry to baryon asymmetry > Impose that correct matter-antimatter asymmetry must be produced

Cosmology: Compatibility with Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

Other searches: Upper limits on interaction strength from, e.g., displaced vertex searches

Discussed in other talks today

See Jacobo's talk

See William's talk

#### The hunt is on



 $U_e^2 = |\mathcal{U}_{e4}|^2 + |\mathcal{U}_{e5}|^2$ 

#### Summary

 $\geq 0\nu\beta\beta$  potentially a definitive probe of nature of neutrinos

> The constraining power of  $0\nu\beta\beta$  almost unmatched for new (heavy) neutrino degrees of freedom

> Requirement of correct BAU +  $0\nu\beta\beta$  bounds complementary to other experimental searches and cosmological constraints

> No  $0\nu\beta\beta$  detection in the near future  $\Rightarrow$  small testable allowed parameter space left for minimal 3+2 models (in the inverted mass ordering)

# Backup

# Pieces of the puzzle

• 
$$A_{\nu}^{(9)} = -2 \eta \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{m_i^2} \left[ \frac{5}{6} g_1^{\pi\pi} \left( M_{GT,sd}^{PP} + M_{T,sd}^{PP} \right) + g_1^{\pi N} \left( M_{GT,sd}^{AP} + M_{T,sd}^{AP} \right) - \frac{2}{g_A^2} g_1^{NN} M_{F,sd} \right]$$
  
•  $A_{\nu}^{(\mathrm{usoft})} = 2 \frac{R_A}{\pi g_A^2} \sum_n \langle 0_f^+ | \mathcal{J}^{\mu} | 1_n^+ \rangle \langle 1_n^+ | \mathcal{J}_{\mu} | 0_i^+ \rangle (f(m_i, \Delta E_1) + f(m_i, \Delta E_2))$ 

• 
$$A_{\nu}^{(\text{pot})} = -\frac{M(0)}{1 + \frac{m_i}{m_a} + \left(\frac{m_i}{m_b}\right)^2} = -M(m_i)$$
  
•  $A_{\nu}^{(\text{pot},<)} = -\left[M(m_i) - m_i \left(\frac{d}{dm_i}M(m_i)\right)\right|_{m_i=0}$   
•  $A_{\nu}^{(\text{hard})} = -\frac{2 m_{\pi}^2 g_{\nu}^{NN}(m_i)}{g_A^2} M_{F,sd}$ 

$$g_{\nu}^{NN}(m_i) = \frac{g_{\nu}^{NN}(0) \left(1 \pm \left(\frac{m_i}{m_c}\right)^2\right)}{1 + \left(\frac{m_i}{m_c}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_i}{|m_d|}\right)^2}$$



## Ultrasoft contributions



$$A_{\nu}^{(\text{usoft})} = 2 \frac{R_A}{\pi g_A^2} \sum_n \langle 0_f^+ | \mathcal{J}^{\mu} | 1_n^+ \rangle \langle 1_n^+ | \mathcal{J}_{\mu} | 0_i^+ \rangle (f(m_i, \Delta E_1) + f(m_i, \Delta E_2))$$

#### Adding a sterile neutrino



#### Cool contour plot



#### Casas-Ibarra parametrisation

• 
$$U_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\,\delta_{CP}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{-i\,\delta_{CP}} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\alpha_{21}}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\frac{\alpha_{31}}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Ensure neutrino oscillation data (masses) are automatically satisfied

• 
$$\Theta = i U_{\nu} \sqrt{m_{\nu}^{d}} \mathcal{R} \sqrt{M^{d}}^{-1}$$
  
•  $\mathcal{R}_{NH} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \cos \omega & \sin \omega \\ -\sin \omega & \cos \omega \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \qquad \mathcal{R}_{IH} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega & \sin \omega \\ -\sin \omega & \cos \omega \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 









## A comparison of amplitudes



[2402.07993]

#### A toy 3+1 model





#### Small splitting approximation

 $\mathcal{A}_{eff} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathcal{U}_{ei}^2 m_i A_{\nu}(m_i)$ 

 $\left(T_{1/2}^{0\nu}\right)^{-1} \propto \left|\mathcal{A}_{eff}\right|^2$ 





$$U_e^2 = \sum_{I=4,5} |\mathcal{U}_{eI}|^2$$

Unconstrained  $\mathcal{A}_{eff} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \mathcal{U}_{ei}^2 \left( A_{\nu}(0) - A_{\nu}(\overline{M}) \right) + e^{i\lambda} \mu U_e^2 \frac{\overline{M}^2}{2} A_{\nu}'(\overline{M})$ 

 $\lambda = f(\operatorname{Re}(\omega), \alpha_{ij}, \delta_{CP}, \dots)$ 

#### Exclusions galore

