Massive spinning fields in 3d quantum gravity

Robert Bourne

DAMTP, University of Cambridge

YTF 2024 Based on 2407.09608 with Alejandra Castro, Jackson Fliss

Robert Bourne **DAMTP, University of Cambridge** Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge

Problem: Quantum gravity is hard!

Robert Bourne **DAMTP, University of Cambridge** [Massive spinning fields in 3d quantum gravity](#page-0-0)

Problem: Quantum gravity is hard! Solution: Let's look at a toy model.

Robert Bourne **DAMTP, University of Cambridge** Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge [Massive spinning fields in 3d quantum gravity](#page-0-0)

Problem: Quantum gravity is hard! Solution: Let's look at a toy model.

In 2+1 dimensions general relativity is

 \blacktriangleright topological

Robert Bourne **DAMTP, University of Cambridge** Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge Cambridge [Massive spinning fields in 3d quantum gravity](#page-0-0)

Problem: Quantum gravity is hard! Solution: Let's look at a toy model.

In $2+1$ dimensions general relativity is

- \blacktriangleright topological
- \blacktriangleright renormalizeable

Problem: Quantum gravity is hard! Solution: Let's look at a toy model.

In $2+1$ dimensions general relativity is

- \blacktriangleright topological
- \blacktriangleright renormalizeable
- ▶ contains interesting solutions such as the BTZ black hole.

Problem: Quantum gravity is hard! Solution: Let's look at a toy model.

In $2+1$ dimensions general relativity is

- \blacktriangleright topological
- \blacktriangleright renormalizeable
- ▶ contains interesting solutions such as the BTZ black hole.

I will mostly discuss $\Lambda > 0$.

We can write 3d gravity in terms of the dreibein and spin connection

$$
e^a, \qquad \omega^a \; .
$$

Robert Bourne DAMTP, University of Cambridge [Massive spinning fields in 3d quantum gravity](#page-0-0)

We can write 3d gravity in terms of the dreibein and spin connection

 e^a , ω^a .

▶ Transform under isometry group $SO(4) = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R/\mathbb{Z}_2$

We can write 3d gravity in terms of the dreibein and spin connection

$$
e^a, \qquad \omega^a \; .
$$

- ▶ Transform under isometry group $SO(4) = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R/\mathbb{Z}_2$
- ▶ Dreibein must give an invertible metric

We can write 3d gravity in terms of the dreibein and spin connection

$$
e^a, \qquad \omega^a \; .
$$

▶ Transform under isometry group $SO(4) = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R/\mathbb{Z}_2$

▶ Dreibein must give an invertible metric Rewrite these as a pair of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ connections

$$
A_L = i \left(\omega^a + \frac{e^a}{\ell_{dS}} \right) L_a , \qquad A_R = i \left(\omega^a - \frac{e^a}{\ell_{dS}} \right) \bar{L}_a .
$$

At the level of the action and equations of motion, 3d gravity looks like two Chern-Simons theories

$$
S_{EH} = kS_{CS}[A_L] - kS_{CS}[A_R], \qquad k = \frac{\ell_{dS}}{4G_N}
$$

$$
G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\ell_{dS}^2}g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} F_L = dA_L + A_L \wedge A_L = 0\\ F_R = dA_R + A_R \wedge A_R = 0 \end{cases}
$$

.

At the level of the action and equations of motion, 3d gravity looks like two Chern-Simons theories

$$
S_{EH} = kS_{CS}[A_L] - kS_{CS}[A_R], \qquad k = \frac{\ell_{dS}}{4G_N}
$$

$$
G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\ell_{dS}^2}g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} F_L = \mathrm{d}A_L + A_L \wedge A_L = 0\\ F_R = \mathrm{d}A_R + A_R \wedge A_R = 0 \end{cases}
$$

But...

.

At the level of the action and equations of motion, 3d gravity looks like two Chern-Simons theories

$$
S_{EH} = kS_{CS}[A_L] - kS_{CS}[A_R], \qquad k = \frac{\ell_{dS}}{4G_N}
$$

$$
G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\ell_{dS}^2}g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} F_L = \mathrm{d}A_L + A_L \wedge A_L = 0\\ F_R = \mathrm{d}A_R + A_R \wedge A_R = 0 \end{cases}
$$

But...

▶ Chern-Simons theory has a larger phase space. It does not constraint the dreibein to be invertible.

.

At the level of the action and equations of motion, 3d gravity looks like two Chern-Simons theories

$$
S_{EH} = kS_{CS}[A_L] - kS_{CS}[A_R], \qquad k = \frac{\ell_{dS}}{4G_N}
$$

$$
G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\ell_{dS}^2}g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} F_L = \mathrm{d}A_L + A_L \wedge A_L = 0\\ F_R = \mathrm{d}A_R + A_R \wedge A_R = 0 \end{cases}
$$

But...

- ▶ Chern-Simons theory has a larger phase space. It does not constraint the dreibein to be invertible.
- ▶ Chern-Simons theory exists on a fixed topology, (quantum) gravity should not.

.

At the level of the action and equations of motion, 3d gravity looks like two Chern-Simons theories

$$
S_{EH} = kS_{CS}[A_L] - kS_{CS}[A_R], \qquad k = \frac{\ell_{dS}}{4G_N}
$$

$$
G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\ell_{dS}^2}g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} F_L = \mathrm{d}A_L + A_L \wedge A_L = 0\\ F_R = \mathrm{d}A_R + A_R \wedge A_R = 0 \end{cases}
$$

But...

- ▶ Chern-Simons theory has a larger phase space. It does not constraint the dreibein to be invertible.
- ▶ Chern-Simons theory exists on a fixed topology, (quantum) gravity should not.
- \triangleright Chern-Simons theory does not include the large diffeomorphisms of gravity.

.

It is possible to address these issues precisely for pure $\Lambda < 0$ gravity [Collier, Eberhardt, Zhang '23].

It is possible to address these issues precisely for pure $\Lambda < 0$ gravity [Collier, Eberhardt, Zhang '23].

To add matter we shall instead work perturbatively around each saddle point of the action.

It is possible to address these issues precisely for pure $\Lambda < 0$ gravity [Collier, Eberhardt, Zhang '23].

To add matter we shall instead work perturbatively around each saddle point of the action.

Schematically

$$
Z \sim \int \mathcal{D}g \ e^{-S_{EH}[g]} Z_{\text{matter}}[g] \ .
$$

It is possible to address these issues precisely for pure $\Lambda < 0$ gravity [Collier, Eberhardt, Zhang '23].

To add matter we shall instead work perturbatively around each saddle point of the action.

Schematically

$$
Z \sim \int \mathcal{D}g \ e^{-S_{EH}[g]} Z_{\text{matter}}[g] \ .
$$

We want to find a Chern-Simons version of this

$$
Z \sim \int \mathcal{D}A_L \mathcal{D}A_R \ e^{-kS_{CS}[A_L]+kS_{CS}[A_R]} Z_{\text{matter}}[A_L, A_R] \ .
$$

What should $Z_{matter}[A_L, A_R]$ look like? Some hints:

Robert Bourne DAMTP, University of Cambridge [Massive spinning fields in 3d quantum gravity](#page-0-0)

What should $Z_{matter}[A_L, A_R]$ look like? Some hints:

▶ Wilson loops in suitable representations correspond to particle worldline quantum mechanics [Castro, Iqbal, Llabrés '20]

What should $Z_{\text{matter}}[A_L, A_R]$ look like? Some hints:

- ▶ Wilson loops in suitable representations correspond to particle worldline quantum mechanics [Castro, Iqbal, Llabrés '20]
- \triangleright On the classical background our expression should reproduce the known one-loop determinant for a given field [Anninos, Denef, Law, Sun '22]

What should $Z_{\text{matter}}[A_L, A_R]$ look like? Some hints:

- ▶ Wilson loops in suitable representations correspond to particle worldline quantum mechanics [Castro, Iqbal, Llabrés '20]
- \triangleright On the classical background our expression should reproduce the known one-loop determinant for a given field [Anninos, Denef, Law, Sun '22]

An on-shell derivation can be performed from a heat kernel or quasi-normal mode analysis.

Our final result on a simple background such as S^3 takes the form of a contour integral over Wilson loops. For a minimally coupled spinning field

$$
\log Z_{\text{matter}}^{\Delta,\text{s}}=\frac{i}{8}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\alpha}\frac{\cos\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left(1+2\mathrm{s}^{2}\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\right)\times \\\sum_{\mathcal{R}_{\Delta,\text{s}}}\text{Tr}_{\mathsf{R}_{L}}\left(\mathcal{P}e^{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\oint_{\gamma}A_{L}}\right)\text{Tr}_{\mathsf{R}_{R}}\left(\mathcal{P}e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\oint_{\gamma}A_{R}}\right).
$$

▶ On a number of backgrounds we can write down a one-loop determinant for massive matter fields in the language of Chern-Simons theory.

- ▶ On a number of backgrounds we can write down a one-loop determinant for massive matter fields in the language of Chern-Simons theory.
- \triangleright When $\Lambda > 0$ we understand how to evaluate such determinants order by order in Chern-Simons theory very efficiently.

- ▶ On a number of backgrounds we can write down a one-loop determinant for massive matter fields in the language of Chern-Simons theory.
- \triangleright When $\Lambda > 0$ we understand how to evaluate such determinants order by order in Chern-Simons theory very efficiently.
- ▶ We are working to extend this approach to backgrounds with more complicated topologies.

- ▶ On a number of backgrounds we can write down a one-loop determinant for massive matter fields in the language of Chern-Simons theory.
- \triangleright When $\Lambda > 0$ we understand how to evaluate such determinants order by order in Chern-Simons theory very efficiently.
- ▶ We are working to extend this approach to backgrounds with more complicated topologies.
- ▶ It would be interesting to link this approach more closely with worldline quantum mechanics calculations.