# Recent Experimental EFT Results in the Top Quark sector

Maryam Shooshtari Austrian Academy of Science (Hephy) On behalf of CMS and ATLAS Collaborations April 2025 - LHC@SM Workshop , Durham





## SMEFT - a look into new physics

- No direct BSM observed → need a **model-independent** handle on subtle deviations
- SMEFT extends the SM with higher-dimensional operators:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{ ext{SM}}^{(4)} + \Sigma_{i,D} c_i^{(D)} rac{\mathcal{O}_i^{(D)}}{\Lambda^{D-4}}$$

• C<sub>i</sub>: Wilson coefficients, encode strength of new physics

o Λ: New physics scale

• EFT series can be truncated at different orders with different dependence on the cut-off scales





Why the Top Quark?		<sup>g</sup> volume t i 4-heavy quark operators
<b>Heaviest:</b> likely the first to feel BSM effects	(mostly ©) Unhadronized: spin and decay structure preserved	heavy quark +lepton operators
<b>Couples to everything</b> : gauge, Higgs, flavour sectors	Accessible in many ways: •Strong (tf, 4t), •electroweak (ttZ, tWZ), •rare (same-sign tt)	2-heavy 2-light quark operators

### In this talk

EFT is a relatively general method. Each corner probes a distinct facet of the SMEFT landscape. This talk focuses on Top physics

- Flavour Structure
  - CMS Z-quark coupling in ttZ/WZ/ZZ
  - ATLAS lepton-quark coupling in  $tt\ell\ell$
- **CP Violation** CMS CP-odd observables ttZ
- **Flavour Violation** ATLAS same-sign tops



## Measurement of the flavour structure of

# EFT couplings in multilepton final states

- **Goal:** Simultaneously constrain the **flavour structure** of dimension-6 EFT operators involving quark-Z couplings
- Investigating b → sℓℓ anomalies observed by LHCb that violate flavour universality
   Operator
   Definition
- Reparametrize 2 coefficients to make the interpretation cleaner :
  - $C_{\phi q}^{(-)} = C_{\phi q}^{(1)} C_{\phi q}^{(3)} \rightarrow \text{pure } Z$ coupling

 $\circ\ C_{\varphi q}{}^{(3)} \! \to \! includes \, W \ coupling \ effects$ 

• A RunII CMS analysis

perator	Definition	VVC	
$\mathit{0}_{_{\mathrm{\phi}\mathrm{q}}}^{(1)}$	$(\phi^{\dagger} \mathrm{i} \overleftrightarrow{D_{\mu}} \phi)(\overline{q} \gamma^{\mu} q)$	$\mathcal{C}^{(1)}_{arphi q}$	g elecele
$O^{(3)}_{ m \phi q}$	$(\phi^{\dagger} \mathrm{i} \overleftrightarrow{D_{\mu}}^{I} \phi)(\overline{q} \gamma^{\mu} \tau^{I} q)$	$\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{arphi q}$	2 Josephane - Lillilli - Z
<b>Ο</b> <sub>φu</sub>	$(\phi^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D_{\mu}} \phi) (\bar{u} \gamma^{\mu} u)$	$C_{\varphi u}$	g t
$O_{_{arphi d}}$	$(\phi^{\dagger} \mathrm{i} \overleftrightarrow{D_{\mu}} \phi) (\bar{d} \gamma^{\mu} d)$	$C_{arphi d}$	$q \longrightarrow W/Z$
$O_W$	$arepsilon^{ijk}W^{i u}_{\mu}W^{j ho}_{v}W^{k\mu}_{ ho}$	C <sub>W</sub>	↓ ·
$O_{\widetilde{W}}$	$arepsilon^{ijk}\widetilde{W}_{\!\mu}^{i u}W_{\!v}^{j ho}W_{\! ho}^{k\mu}$	${\cal C}_{\widetilde{W}}$	$\bar{q}$ — $\prec$ $Z$





**CMS-PAS-TOP-23-009** 

#### Analysis strategy:

- Each EFT operator is described by a 3×3 matrix. Only flavour diagonal entries are considered
- Divided into **heavy**(3<sup>rd</sup> generation) vs **light** (1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> generation)

#### • ttZ:

- Sensitive to 3rd gen via top radiation
- o but also to 1st/2nd gen via ISR diagrams!
- WZ, ZZ:
  - $\circ$  Clean light-quark probes  $\rightarrow$  Z/W from initial-state u/d/s quarks.



138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)



- Final states: **Multilepton** (≥3e/µ), from **ttZ**, **WZ**, and **ZZ** production.
- Simultaneous likelihood fit across 3 SRs → flavour disentanglement of WC couplings.

#### **Key Uncertainties:**

- Lepton fake rates
  - Derived in single lepton regions
- Largest impacts from normalization uncertainties

- All WCs **consistent with the SM**(i.e., zero) within 95% CL.
- Most EFT analyses previously lumped all generations together or only considered top/bottom couplings
- This analysis distinguishes **first/second generation** from **third generation** couplings





### Measurement of high-mass ttl+lproduction and Lepton Flavour Universality-inspired EFT interpretations



- New ATLAS measurement of off-shell  $tt\ell^+\ell^-$
- Targets high dilepton mass:  $m_{\ell\ell} > 101.2 \text{ GeV}$
- Lepton Flavour Universality (LFU) tested via flavour-separated and flavour-relative EFT fits
- Operators include lepton-quark currents categorized by chiralities: RR, RL, LR, LL (singlet & triplet)
- A Run II ATLAS analysis

Operator	Definition	WC	9
$O_{te}$	$(\overline{e_P}\gamma_\mu e_r)(\bar{t}\gamma^\mu t)$	C <sub>te</sub>	<i>g</i>
$O_{Qe}$	$(\bar{Q}\gamma_{\mu}Q)(\overline{e_{P}}\gamma^{\mu}e_{r})$	$C_{Qe}$	
0 <sub>tl</sub>	$(\overline{l_P}\gamma_\mu l_r)(\bar{t}\gamma^\mu t)$	$C_{tl}$	
$O_{Ql}^{(1)}$	$(\overline{l_P}\gamma_\mu l_r)(\overline{Q}\gamma^\mu Q)$	$C_{Ql}^{(1)}$	Γ
$O_{Ql}^{(3)}$	$(\overline{l_P}\sigma^i\gamma_\mu l_r)(\overline{Q}\sigma^i\gamma^\mu Q)$	$C_{Ql}^{(3)}$	
$O_{leQt}^{(1)}$	$(\overline{l_P^j}e_r)\varepsilon_{jk}(\overline{Q^k}t)$	$C_{leQt}^{(1)}$	9
$O_{leQt}^{(3)}$	$(\overline{l_P^j}\sigma_{\mu\nu}e_r)\varepsilon_{jk}(\overline{Q^k}\sigma^{\mu\nu}t)$	$C_{leQt}^{(3)}$	



**Event Selection & Region Strategy:** 

- Signal: 3-lepton final states, ≥1 OSSF pair,
- Requires ≥3 jets (≥1 b-tag)
- Signal split into ee and  $\mu\mu$  for LFU sensitivity
- High-mass bins used to maximize impact.
- 13 Control Regions :
  - Constrain dominant backgrounds: ttZ, WZ, photon conversions, fakes
  - $\circ$  CRs binned in key observables (e.g.,  $p^{l1}{}_{T}$  ,  $m_{T})$  for shape constraints



- 3 EFT fit modes:
  - Flavour-inclusive: shared WC across all lepton flavours
  - **Flavour-split**: independent WCs for e and  $\mu$
  - Flavour-relative: test C<sup>e</sup> C<sup>μ</sup> for LFU violation



#### **EFT Results:**

- No significant deviation from the SM
- Wilson coefficients consistent with zero across all fit strategies



#### SM Signal Measurement:

•  $\mu(tt^-\ell^+\ell^-) = 1.0 + 0.4 - 0.5$ 



# Search for CP violation in events with top quarks and Z bosons



- CP violation is essential to explain **baryon asymmetry**, yet the SM's only source is through CKM/PMNS phases—too small!
- This search targets **dimension-6 CP-odd EFT operators** (assuming that Wilson coefficients associated with CP-even operators are zero)
  - $\circ$  c<sub>tZ</sub><sup>I</sup>: modifies ttZ vertex
  - $\circ c_{tW}^{I}$ : modifies tZq via tW coupling





• A RunII + early RunIII CMS analysis

Operator	Definition	WC probed here
$O_{uW}^{(ij)}$	$(\overline{q}_i \sigma^{\mu u} \tau^I u_j)  \widetilde{\varphi} W^I_{\mu u}$	$C_{tW}^{I} = Im(C_{uW}^{(33)})$
$O_{uB}^{(ij)}$	$(\overline{q_i}\sigma^{\mu u}u_j)\widetilde{\varphi}B_{\mu u}$	$C_{tZ}^{I} = Im(-s_{W} C_{uB}^{(33)} + c_{W} C_{uW}^{(33)}$

## ML vs the Universe — Observables that Know Physics

- CMS pioneers the use of CP-odd observables in this topology!
- Observables constructed via CP-equivariant function :

 $f_i(x) = g_i(x) - g_i(CP(x))$ 

- Two networks trained separately:  $\circ g_{tZ}$ , sensitive to  $C_{tZ}{}^{I}$  $\circ g_{tW}$ , sensitive to  $C_{tW}{}^{I}$
- Each network output is a CP-odd score → should be symmetric under SM
- Asymmetry  $\neq$  SM  $\rightarrow$  a signature of new CPV physics



- Final state: 3-lepton + ≥2 jets (≥1 b-tag)
- include both signal and WZ events in the training ⇒ observables sensitive to
  - EFT effects present in the ttZ and tZq signal processes
  - o discriminate between them and WZ (the leading source of backgrounds)
- Key Uncertainties:
  - Statistical uncertainty dominates still systematics-limited in signal-rich regions
     theoretical uncertainty on signal processes



- Data mostly consistent with SM
- Observed 95% CL limits:  $\circ -2.7 < C_{tW}^{I} < 2.5$  $\circ -0.2 < C_{tZ}^{I} < 2.0$
- CP violation sensitivity is mostly driven by the linear term (interference with SM)
- First-ever limits on the linear (interference) term of  $C_{tZ}^{I}$
- CPV observables open a new EFT testing ground
- Complementary to CP-even fits



<u> JHEP 02 (2025) 084</u>

FXPFRIMENT

# Search for same-charge top-quark pair production

- Same-sign tt / tf production:
  - Forbidden at LO in the Standard Model.
  - Only viable via ultra-rare  $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$  scattering  $\rightarrow \sigma_{SM} \sim 10^{-15}$  pb.
  - Possible in **SMEFT** via **contact 4-fermion operators**.
- The EFT interpretation uses **flavour-universal** couplings
- A Run II ATLAS analysis







## ML for EFT: Sorting Quarks from Quirks

- First ever ATLAS analysis with **NN-based operator discrimination**:
- Analysis workflow:
  - Step 1: Neural Network (NN<sup>SvsS</sup>) to separate c<sub>tu</sub> vs c<sub>Qu</sub>-like signals
  - Step 2: Split by total lepton charge (++/--)
  - Step3 : Signal vs validation region based on the azimuthal angle between the two charged leptons
  - Step 4 : NN<sup>SvsB</sup> used in SRs to reject background
     ⇒ 4 Signal Regions (tu/Qu, ++/--)



#### **Background-Enriched Regions:**

- ttW background (dominant): normalization constrained from the first bins of the SRs
- Dedicated CRs for irreducible backgrounds :  $\circ$  CR ttZ , CR VV
- Reducible backgrounds: Estimated using datadriven techniques
  - Seven CRs targeting Nonprompt leptons using lepton ID categories
  - $\circ$  Separate CRs for photon conversions
- **Major Uncertainties**
- Statistical uncertainties
- ttW modelling



- Simultaneous binned likelihood fit across SRs and CRs
- No excess seen  $\rightarrow$  All WCs consistent with SM zero
- Upper limits at 95% CL:
  - $|c^{(1)}_{tu}| < 0.0068 \ (0.0071)$
  - $|c^{(1)}_{Qu}| < 0.020 \ (0.022)$
  - $|c^{(8)}_{Qu}| < 0.041 \ (0.046)$





### Summary — Top Quark, Symmetries, and SMEFT

- Across ATLAS & CMS, we have new constrains on:
  - Flavour violation in four-fermion contact terms (same-sign tt)
  - **Z-quark flavour structure** in heavy vs light generation (ttZ, WZ, ZZ)
  - $\circ$  Lepton-quark flavour structure in four-fermion operators (tt $\ell\ell$ )
  - **CP-odd interactions** via direct collider observables (ttZ)
- All results are consistent with the SM
- ML contributes strongly
- Run 3 and HL-LHC will bring:
  - $\circ$  More statistics for rare channels
  - $\circ\,$  Enhanced EFT sensitivity with ML-assisted observables
  - $\circ\,$  Combined fits with richer flavour resolution and operator correlations



# Backup

 Input variables used for the CPequivariant neural networks, with the CP-transformed value given in the second row

# Input variablesx $\vec{p}_{\ell^{Z+}}$ $\vec{p}_{\ell^{Z-}}$ $\vec{p}_{\ell^{W}}$ $\vec{p}_{j_i}$ $Q_{\ell^{W}}$ $\vec{p}_T^{miss}$ bscore\_ieraCP(x) $-\vec{p}_{\ell^{Z-}}$ $-\vec{p}_{\ell^{Z+}}$ $-\vec{p}_{\ell^{W}}$ $-\vec{p}_{j_i}$ $-Q_{\ell^{W}}$ $-\vec{p}_T^{miss}$ bscore\_iera