

# FCNC AND PROPERTIES IN TOP PHYSICS

Lucio Cerrito University and INFN, Roma Tor Vergata



on behalf of the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations

### PHYSICS OFTOP QUARKS

- Tests of the Standard Model (production, decay, coupling... etc)
- Top quark does not hadronize: momentum and spin transferred to decay products
- O Search for processes with similar signature (VLQ, Z'...)
- O Natural mass (yt≈1), top quark mass is a fundamental parameter of the SM, and crucial for SM constraints via loop diagrams



### PART I: PROPERTIES

- Tests of the Standard Model (production, decay, coupling... etc)
- Top quark does not hadronize: momentum and spin transferred to decay products
- Search for processes with similar signature (VLQ, Z'...)
- Natural mass (y<sub>t</sub> ≈ I), top quark mass is a fundamental parameter of the SM, and crucial for SM constraints via loop diagrams





RED: Discussed in this talk

## TOP PAIR QUANTUM ENTANGLEMENT

- Spin entanglement can be observed by increase in the strength of the top and anti-top spin correlations
- Spin entanglement is inferred from the angle between the charged leptons in the parent top- antitop-quark rest frames
- Measurement targets around the top-antitop production threshold

Signature: | Electron and | Muon of opposite electric charges, 2 jets (>= | b-tagged)

- Restricted phase space: 340 <  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  < 380 GeV and two control region beyond 380 GeV

- Background level <10%

Method: Entanglement marker:

$$D = tr[C]/3 = -3 < cos\phi >$$

C: spin correlation matrix

 $\phi$ : angle between the charged-lepton directions, in the rest frames of the parent top-quarks:

$$D < -1/3$$
 indicates entanglement





arXiv:2311.07288 (2024) 13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Nature, 633, 542-547 (2024)

4

## TOP PAIR QUANTUM ENTANGLEMENT

OCalibration curve is derived to correct to particle-level D

OParton-level bound (-1/3) converted to particle-level one (-0.322,Powheg+Pythia)

**OSM** prediction from Powheg+Pythia

OUncertainties grouped into  $t\bar{t}$  modelling, backgrounds and detector-related

### Particle-Level D calibration curve



Particle-Level D results, in signal and validation regions





Nature, 633, 542-547 (2024)

arXiv:2311.07288 (2024)

13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

### TOP PAIR QUANTUM ENTANGLEMENT

#### Source of uncertainties by group

Source of uncertainty	$\Delta D_{\text{observed}}(D = -0.537)$	$\Delta D \ [\%]$	$\Delta D_{\text{expected}}(D = -0.470)$	$\Delta D$ [%]
Signal modeling	0.017	3.2	0.015	3.2
Electrons	0.002	0.4	0.002	0.4
Muons	0.001	0.2	0.001	0.1
Jets	0.004	0.7	0.004	0.8
<i>b</i> -tagging	0.002	0.4	0.002	0.4
Pile-up	< 0.001	< 0.1	< 0.001	< 0.1
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	0.002	0.4	0.002	0.4
Backgrounds	0.005	0.9	0.005	1.1
Total statistical uncertainty	0.002	0.3	0.002	0.4
Total systematic uncertainty	0.019	3.5	0.017	3.6
Total uncertainty	0.019	3.5	0.017	3.6



#### Nature, 633, 542-547 (2024) arXiv:2311.07288 (2024)

13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

$D = -0.537 \pm 0.002$ (stat.)	S	Signal modelling uncertainties
±0.019 (syst.) (-0.470±0.002 (stat.)±0.017 (syst.)),	Systematic uncertainty source	Relative size (for SM <i>D</i> value)
OMore than 5 s.d. significance OFirst observation of entanglement in quark-antiquark pair	Top-quark decay Parton distribution function Recoil scheme Final-state radiation Scale uncertainties NNLO QCD + NLO EW reweighting pThard setting Top-quark mass Initial-state radiation Parton shower and hadronization $h_1$ setting	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.6\% \\ 1.2\% \\ 1.1\% \\ 1.1\% \\ 1.1\% \\ 1.1\% \\ 0.8\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.1\% \end{array} $
	$h_{\text{damp}}$ setting	0.1%

L. CERRITO - FCNC and Properties in Top Physics

- Tops from QCD  $t\bar{t}$  production are unpolarised at LO. Their spins are strongly correlated
- Spin correlations depend on production mechanism,  $m(t\bar{t})$  and scattering angle of top quark
- Measurement targets Polarisation vectors and Spin Matrix coefficients based on the angular distributions of tt decay products



**Method**: Entanglement markers for both lowand high-mass of the  $t\overline{t}$  system, i.e. for spinsinglet (D) and spin-triplet ( $\widetilde{D}$ ) states

#### Differential cross-section

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{\text{tot}}(\phi_{p(\bar{p})},\theta_{p(\bar{p})}) &= \frac{d^4\sigma}{d\phi_p\,d\cos(\theta_p)\,d\phi_{\bar{p}}\,d\cos(\theta_{\bar{p}})} \\ &= \sigma_{\text{norm}}\big(1+\kappa\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{\Omega}+\bar{\kappa}\bar{\mathbf{P}}\cdot\bar{\mathbf{\Omega}}-\kappa\bar{\kappa}\mathbf{\Omega}\cdot(C\bar{\mathbf{\Omega}})\big), \end{split}$$

C: spin correlation matrix P,  $\overline{P}$ : polarisation vectors  $\Omega$ ,  $\overline{\Omega}$ : direction of decay products PRD 110 (2024) 112016 13 TeV, 138 fb<sup>-1</sup>



Complements analysis in dilepton channel, ROPP 87 (2024) 117801 36 fb<sup>-1</sup>



• Differential cross section fit for the polarisation and spin correlation, in bins of  $m(t\bar{t})$  vs.  $|\cos\theta|$  and  $p_T(t)$  vs.  $|\cos\theta|$ 

• Maximul likelihood fit of 4 selections (2b Shigh, 2b Slow, 1b Shigh,

Ib Shigh) and 4 data-taking periods

2b S<sub>high</sub>

post-fit

0.4

• Fit for polarisation and spin-correlation, or for entanglement markers

Events

Ratio to SM Pred.

0.8

 $|\cos(\theta)| = 0$ 

m(tł) [GeV1800

10<sup>4</sup>

10<sup>3</sup>

**CMS** 

PRD 110 (2024) 112016 13 TeV, 138 fb<sup>-1</sup>



1.0

13000

0.7



- Polarisations compatible with zero
- Diagonal  $C_{nn}$ ,  $C_{kk}$  and  $C_{rk}$  differ from zero

• Stronger entanglement in D seen for  $p_T(t) < 50$  GeV (3.5 s.d.) and in  $\tilde{D}$  for  $m_{t\bar{t}} > 800$  GeV (6.7 s.d.)



PRD 110 (2024) 112016

13 TeV, 138 fb<sup>-1</sup>

PRD 110 (2024) 112016



Entanglement results for the D measurement in the threshold region (upper left), D measurement in the high-m ( $t\bar{t}$ ) region (upper right), and the full matrix measurement in different m ( $t\bar{t}$ ) regions (lower).



### INTERPRETATION of RESULTS

- The measurement of the top anti(quark) polarisation and their spin correlation coefficients can also be interpreted in terms of *"magic of quantum states"* (M<sub>2</sub>)
- Property  $M_2$  of quantum states quantifying the potential computational advantage over classical states. High  $M_2$  indicates more advantage:  $(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |(P^4 + \bar{P}^4)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |C^4|) = P_i$

$$\tilde{M}_{2} = -\log_{2}\left(\frac{1 + \sum_{i \in n, k, r} [(P_{i}^{4} + \bar{P}_{i}^{4})] + \sum_{i, j \in n, k, r} C_{ij}^{4}}{1 + \sum_{i \in n, k, r} [(P_{i}^{2} + \bar{P}_{i}^{2})] + \sum_{i, j \in n, k, r} C_{ij}^{2}}\right)$$

*P<sub>i</sub>*: polarisation coefficients *C<sub>ij</sub>*: Spin correlation coefficients



### • Good agreement with the Standard Model

• Highest  $M_2$  at the production threshold, while near constant with low top quark scattering angle in the  $t\bar{t}$  rest frame, and variable with  $p_T(top)$ 



### TOP QUARK MASS: DIRECT

momentum of the top quark



Submitted to PLB arXiv:2502.18216 (2025)

13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

**Signature**: I lepton (e or  $\mu$ ) + large-R jet +  $\geq$ I b-jet.  $\Delta R(e, J) > 1.0$ 

ODirect top mass measurement in events with high transverse

**Method**: Fit to mean value of invariant mass of the large-R (top) jet. Profile likelihood to 2 other variables to limit systematic uncertainties,  $m_{jj}$  and  $m_{tj}$ 

OUses hadronically decaying top quark to a large-radius jet ("top-jet")





### TOP QUARK MASS: DIRECT

### OSignificant improvement over previous ATLAS measurements

OGood agreement with other measurements

**ONon-negligible statistical uncertainty** Grouped breakdown of the uncertainty sources

Source	Uncertainty [GeV]
JES	± 0.29
Radiation (ISR and FSR)	$\pm 0.17$
Colour reconnection (CR1 and CR2)	± 0.15
JES heavy flavour	$\pm 0.14$
Parton shower and hadronisation model	$\pm 0.14$
JER	$\pm 0.10$
MC statistics	$\pm 0.08$
Underlying event	+ 0.08
Recoil	$\pm 0.07$
Fit closure	$\pm 0.07$
Background modelling	$\pm 0.05$
Matrix element matching $(p_T^{hard} = 1)$	$\pm 0.04$
<i>b</i> -tagging	$\pm 0.04$
Higher-order corrections	$\pm 0.02$
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	$\pm 0.02$
Pileup	$\pm 0.01$
JVT	$\pm 0.01$
PDF	$\pm 0.01$
Leptons	$\pm 0.01$
Luminosity	< 0.01
Total statistical	±.0.27
Total systematic	± 0.46
Total	± 0.53



Submitted to PLB arXiv:2502.18216 (2025)

13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

#### This measurement, compared to selected mt determinations



### PART 2: DECAY

- Test of SM (production, decay, coupling....etc)
- Top quark does not hadronize: momentum and spin transferred to decay products
- Search for processes with similar signature (VLQ, Z'...)
- Natural mass (y<sub>t</sub> ≈ I), top quark mass is a fundamental parameter of the SM, and crucial for SM constraints via loop diagrams



### **RED: Discussed in this talk**

### LFU IN W-BOSON TO $e/\mu$ FROM TOP DECAY

O Lepton Flavour Universality (LFU) is a key axiom of the S.M. OMeasurement of the  $t\bar{t}$  production cross section in ee,  $e\mu$ ,  $\mu\mu$  final states allows LFU test, to address "anomalies" reported in b-hadron decays ODetermines the ratio of BR's:  $R_W^{\mu/e} = B(W \to \mu\nu)/B(W \to e\nu)$ OIn PDG:  $R_W^{\mu/e} = 1.002 \pm 0.006$ 



EPJ C 84 (2024) 993 arXiv:2403.02133 (2024)

13 TeV, 140 fb-1

**Signature**: Two electrons and muons of opposite electric charges: ee,  $\mu\mu$ , e $\mu$ 

- I or 2 *b*-tagged jets from the  $t\bar{t}$  production **Method**: Simultaneous measurement of the ratio of BR's for  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  and  $Z \rightarrow ee$ 

$$R_{WZ}^{\mu/e} = \frac{R_W^{\mu/e}}{\sqrt{R_Z^{\mu\mu/ee}}} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(W \to \mu\nu)}{\mathcal{B}(W \to e\nu)} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{B}(Z \to ee)}{\mathcal{B}(Z \to \mu\mu)}}$$

Number of leptons in simulated selected  $t\bar{t}$  events



## LFU IN W-BOSON TO $e/\mu$ FROM TOP DECAY

OSingle maximum likelihood fit with Gaussian formulation to the observed event counts

O 10 free parameters: 4 parameters of interest, plus 3 *b*-tag jet efficiencies, 2 Zj backgrounds and 1 Zj isolation efficiency propagated to  $t\bar{t}$ 



EPJ C 84 (2024) 993 arXiv:2403.02133 (2024)

13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

L. CERRITO - FCNC and Properties in Top Physics





LFU IN W-BOSON TO  $e/\mu$  FROM TOP DECAY

OMeasured value of  $R_{WZ}^{\mu/e}$  converted to  $R_W^{\mu/e}$  using  $R_{Z-ext}^{\mu\mu/ee} = 1.0009 \pm 0.0028$ 

EPJ C 84 (2024) 993 arXiv:2403.02133 (2024)

13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

 $R_W^{\mu/e} = R_{WZ}^{\mu/e} \sqrt{R_{Z-ext}^{\mu\mu/ee}} = 0.9995 \pm 0.0022 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.0036 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.0014 \text{ (ext)}$ 

OLimited by lepton ID, Z+jets modelling, and Parton Density Functions OHigher precision than the previous World Average

Measurement of  $R_W^{\mu/e}$  from this analysis compared to previous results



### LFU IN W-BOSON TO e/T FROM TOP DECAY



OMeasurement of the  $t\bar{t}$  production cross section, distinguishing  $W \to \tau v_{\tau}$  (with  $\tau \to e v_e v_{\tau}$ ) and  $W \to e v_e$ ODetermines the ratio of BR's:  $R_{\tau/e} = B(W \to \tau \nu)/B(W \to (e\nu)$ OCombined LEP:  $R_{\tau/e} = 1.063 \pm 0.027$  Submitted to JHEP arXiv:2412.11989 (2024)

13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Complements  $R_{\tau/\mu}$  and  $R_{\mu/e}$ 

**Signature**: One tag electron or muon and one probe electron from  $W \rightarrow e$  (prompt) or  $W \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow e$ 

- Prompt case distinguished from  $\tau$  with electron  $p_T$  and displacement of track

 $- \ge 2$  b-tag jets

### Method:

-Calibration of  $d_0$  in MC simulation from data  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$  events

- Two-dimensional binned templated likelihood fit to the  $p_T$  and  $|d_0|$  distributions

|do(e)| distribution after calibration



## LFU IN W-BOSON TO $e/\tau$ FROM TOP DECAY

ONumber of events in simulation agrees with data across channels ( $\mu e$ and ee) and  $p_T$  bins OGood agreement between data and simulation, both in total yield and shape Distribution of |d0| in data and simulation after fit,  $\mu e$ 

#### Number of events in the $\mu e$ channel from different sources, as estimated by the fit to the data

	$\mu e 7 < p_{\rm T} < 10  {\rm GeV}$	$\mu e$ 10 < $p_{\rm T}$ < 20 GeV	$\mu e$ $20 < p_{\rm T} < 250 \text{ GeV}$
Prompt $e(t\bar{t})$	1278 ± 28	13370 ±150	$178000 \pm 1000$
$e \text{ from } \tau (t\bar{t})$	$1092 \pm 32$	$4490 \pm 100$	$11670 \pm 290$
Prompt $e(Wt)$	$34 \pm 6$	$340 \pm 60$	$5300 \pm 900$
$e \text{ from } \tau (Wt)$	$28.0 \pm 2.5$	$119 \pm 16$	$380 \pm 110$
Prompt <i>e</i> (not from $t\bar{t}$ or $Wt$ )	$5.2 \pm 1.5$	$23 \pm 7$	$180 \pm 50$
$e \text{ from } Z \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	$19.9 \pm 0.4$	$85.4 \pm 1.4$	$132.9 \pm 2.2$
Fake <i>e</i>	317 ±22	$380 \pm 33$	$840 \pm 60$
Total predicted	$2770 \pm 40$	18880 ±120	$196500 \pm 400$
Data	2768	18783	196552





Submitted to JHEP

13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

arXiv:2412.11989 (2024)

## LFU IN W-BOSON TO $e/\tau$ FROM TOP DECAY



Submitted to JHEP arXiv:2412.11989 (2024)

13	TeV.	140	fb-
	,		

Uncertainty group	$\sigma(R_{\tau/e})$
Modelling of $t\bar{t}$ and $Wt$	0.011
$d_0$ calibration	0.006
Background estimation	0.005
Electron reconstruction, identification, and isolation	0.005
Electron energy scale	0.003
Electron energy resolution	0.002
Jet energy resolution	0.004
Jet energy scale	0.003
Jet <i>b</i> -tagging	0.002
Muon reconstruction, identification, and isolation	0.001
Other sources	0.002
Variation of $k_{sig}$ and $k(\mu/e)$	0.003
Finite size of simulated samples	0.003
$B(W \to \tau \nu_{\tau} \to e \nu_e \nu_{\tau} \nu_{\tau})$	0.002
Total systematical uncertainty	0.020
Data statistical uncertainty	0.012
Total uncertainty	0.024

Breakdown of statistical and systematic uncertainties



$R_{\tau/e} = 0.975 \pm 0.012$	$2 (stat.) \pm 0.020$	(syst.).
--------------------------------	-----------------------	----------

Measured values of  $R_{T/e}$  in different  $p_T$  bins

$p_{\rm T}$ bin	$R_{ au/e}$
$7 < p_{\rm T} < 10 {\rm GeV}$	$1.13 \pm 0.11 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.07 \text{ (syst)}$
$10 < p_{\rm T} < 20 {\rm GeV}$	$0.93 \pm 0.04$ (stat) $\pm 0.02$ (syst)
$20 < p_{\rm T} < 250 { m GeV}$	$0.98 \pm 0.04$ (stat) $\pm 0.02$ (syst)

## PART 3: FCNC IN PRODUCTION AND DECAY

- Test of SM (production, decay, coupling....etc)
- Top quark does not hadronize: momentum and spin transferred to decay products
- Search for processes with similar signature (VLQ, Z'...)
- Natural mass (y<sub>t</sub> ≈ I), top quark mass is a fundamental parameter of the SM, and crucial for SM constraints via loop diagrams



### RED: Discussed in this talk

OSearch for Flavour Changing Neutral Current in tHq and  $t\bar{t}$  with  $t \rightarrow Hq$  (q=u,c) OConsiders Higgs to WW, ZZ or TTOSM predictions for these FCNC are of order  $O(\leq 10^{-15})$ EXPERIMENT EXPERIMENT EXPERIMENT

**Signature**: 2 leptons Same Sign or 3 leptons;  $\geq$  1 jet ( $\geq$ 1 b-jet) **Method**: Single discriminant using feed-forward neural network in signal region, then maximum-likelihood fit

Feynman diagrams of the  $t\bar{t}(t \rightarrow Hq)$  decay signal process resulting in the 3l final state 0000000 g 0000000 Η g u/c



Updates an earlier analysis with 36.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>



13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

- OFour signal regions (2 each for production and decay); 7 control regions for backgrounds
- OCombinatorics addressed with Recursive Jigsaw Recontruction and Neutrino estimator
- **ONeuroBayes implementation for a discriminant**  $D_{NN} = [0, 1]$

Definition of sign	al regions			
	SR2ℓDec	$SR2\ell Prod$	SR3 <i>l</i> Dec	SR3ℓProd
N <sub>jets</sub>	≥ 4	≤ 3	≥ 3	≤ 2
$N_{b-\mathrm{tags}}$	= 1	= 1	= 1	= 1
$p_{\mathrm{T}}(\ell_1)$	$\geq 12  \text{GeV}$	$\geq 16  \text{GeV}$	$\geq 20  \text{GeV}$	$\geq 20  \text{GeV}$
$p_{\mathrm{T}}(\ell_2)$	—	_	$\geq 16  \text{GeV}$	$\geq 16  \text{GeV}$
$ m(e,e)-m_Z $	$\geq 10  \text{GeV}$	$\geq 10  \text{GeV}$	_	_

Distribution of the most important NN input variable, H<sub>T</sub>(jets) for the SR2lDec





EPJ C 84 757 (2024)

13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

arXiv:2404.02123 (2024)

### OFit for normalisation parameter of the signal

**ATLAS** EXPERIMENT

EPJ C 84 757 (2024) arXiv:2404.02123 (2024)

The  $D_{NN}$  distribution in the SR2lDec, obtained from the signal-plus-background fit to data in the *tHc* channel.



### Best fit value of the tHu (tHc) signal:

$$\mu_{tHq} = -0.03 \pm 0.15(-0.08 \pm 0.19)$$

CL<sub>S</sub> method limits derived. Systematics cause a 20% degradation of the limits 95% C.L. limits

Signal	Observed (expected) $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hq)$	95% CL upper limits $ C_{u\phi}^{qt,tq} $
tHu	$2.8(3.0) \times 10^{-4}$	0.71 (0.73)
tHc	$3.3(3.8) \times 10^{-4}$	0.76 (0.82)



### 95% C.L. combined limits to *u* and *c*, using complementary *H* decays

13 TeV, 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>

OSearch for Flavour Changing Neutral Current in tHq and tt with  $t \rightarrow Hq$  (q=u,c) OConsiders Higgs to WW, ZZ or  $\tau\tau$ OSM predictions for these FCNC are of order 10<sup>-15</sup> to 10<sup>-17</sup>

**Signature**:  $\geq 2$  leptons (e/ $\mu$ ) Same Sign;  $\geq 1$  jet ( $\geq 1$  b-jet) **Method**: Boosted Decision Tree event classification for signal and background, with 33 input features and output in BDT discriminator value. Then a binned likelihood fit.

Representative Feynman diagrams for the production modes considered: FCNC decay and FCNC-associated production





Submitted to PRD arXiv:2407.15172 (2024)

13 TeV, 138 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Complements analyses with different final states or smaller datasets

#### L. CERRITO - FCNC and Properties in Top Physics



### FCNC OFTOP MEDIATED BY HIGGS BOSON



Measured limits on the coupling strength Measured limits on the branching fraction Branching fraction (t → Hc) (%) 0.1 ↓ Hc) (%) .15 또 보 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 95% CL Upper Limits 95% CL Upper Limits Observed Observed Median Expected Median Expected 0.1 ±1σ ±1σ  $\pm 2\sigma$  $\pm 2\sigma$ 0.05 0, 0, 0.05 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.1 0.15 Branching fraction (t  $\rightarrow$  Hu) (%)  $\kappa_{\text{Hut}}$ 

Submitted to PRD arXiv:2407.15172 (2024)

13 TeV, 138 fb<sup>-1</sup>



# OCombination with 2 earlier analyses with Higgs decaying to bottom quarks or photons

Observed and Expected limits, and Combination  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu)$  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc)$ Analysis observed (expected) observed (expected)  $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$  [24] 0.079 (0.11)% 0.094 (0.086)%  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  [25] 0.019 (0.031)% 0.073 (0.051)% Leptonic (this analysis) 0.072 (0.059)% 0.043 (0.062)% Combination 0.019 (0.027)% 0.037 (0.035)%

### ONo excess over the SM is observed





Submitted to PRD arXiv:2407.15172 (2024)

13 TeV, 138 fb<sup>-1</sup>

### Summary of Other FCNC Measurements

Summary of the current 95% confidence level observed limits on the branching ratios of the top quark decays via flavour changing neutral currents (FCNC) to a quark and a neutral boson  $t \rightarrow Xq$  (X = g, Z,  $\gamma$  or H; q=u or c) ATL-PHYS-PUB-2024-005





L. CERRITO - FCNC and Properties in Top Physics

### SUMMARY



I presented 8 new measurements from last year
 All using full Run 2 datasets

O Chance to study rare top processes

• Spin correlation and polarisation tested in different kinematic regions of low- and high-m<sub>tt</sub>

O New top mass measurement using boosted tops

O LFU improved in W decay to 0.44% ( $\mu$ /e) and 2.3% ( $\tau$ /e)

O FCNC Higgs-mediated improved to O(10-4)

### Find out more at:



As https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/TopPublicResults



http://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/publications/TOP/index.html http://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/preliminary-results/TOP/index.html