## HyperFORM – Hyperlogs with FORM

Adam Kardos

in collaboration with

Sven Moch and Oliver Schnetz

Liverpool, UK, 2025, June 13th





#### Contents

- Introduction
- Hyperlogs
- Hyperlogs for loop integrals
- Gentle look at fibration basis
- Unit Driven Development (UDD)
- UDD in FORM
- HyperFORM
- Summary and Outlook





#### LHC puts out very precise results (uncertainty less than 1 % in some cases)!







- Precision phenomenology is impossible without loop corrections
- Perturbative expansion of matrix element naturally involves loop corrections:





- Several methods to reduce loop integrals to a basis (to masters)
- Several ways to evaluate remaining (master) loop integrals
- For a class of integrals Feynman parameter representation and hyperlogs are working (well)







Feynman parametrization of loop integrals:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{I} &= \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathsf{L}\epsilon\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}}\Gamma\left(\nu - \frac{\mathsf{L}\mathsf{d}}{2}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\Gamma(\nu_{i})} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}x_{i}\right)\delta\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{N}}x_{i}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\mathsf{N}}x_{i}^{\nu_{i}-1}\right)\frac{\mathcal{U}^{\nu - \frac{\mathsf{L}+1}{2}\mathsf{d}}}{\mathcal{F}^{\nu - \frac{\mathsf{L}\mathsf{d}}{2}}},\\ \nu &= \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\nu_{i}, \quad \mathsf{d} = 4 - 2\epsilon \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are graph polynomials,  $\mathcal{U}$  related to spanning trees of the graph, while  $\mathcal{F}$  related to spanning 2-forests For details see e.g.: Weinzierl: Feynman Integrals, chapter 3



#### Hyperlogs – parametric representation – examples

• Simple one-loop massless box diagram:



$$\begin{split} \mathsf{q}_1 &= \ell \,, \\ \mathsf{q}_2 &= \ell - \mathsf{p}_1 \,, \\ \mathsf{q}_3 &= \ell - \mathsf{p}_1 - \mathsf{p}_2 \,, \\ \mathsf{q}_4 &= \ell - \mathsf{p}_1 - \mathsf{p}_2 - \mathsf{p}_3 \end{split}$$

 $\ell$ : loop momentum

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{U} &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4\,, \\ \mathcal{F} &= (\mathsf{p}_1 + \mathsf{p}_4)^2 x_1 x_3 + (\mathsf{p}_1 + \mathsf{p}_2)^2 x_2 x_4 \end{split}$$



#### Hyperlogs – parametric representation – examples

• Zig-zag 4:





 $\mathcal{U} = x_4 x_6 x_7 x_8 + x_2 x_6 x_7 x_8 + x_1 x_6 x_7 x_8 + x_3 x_4 x_7 x_8 + x_7 x_8 + x_7 x_8 + x_8 + x_7 x_8$  $+ X_1 X_4 X_7 X_8 + X_2 X_3 X_7 X_8 + X_1 X_3 X_7 X_8 + X_1 X_2 X_7 X_8 +$  $+ x_4 x_5 x_6 x_8 + x_2 x_5 x_6 x_8 + x_1 x_5 x_6 x_8 + x_2 x_4 x_6 x_8 +$  $+ x_1 x_4 x_6 x_8 + x_3 x_4 x_5 x_8 + x_1 x_4 x_5 x_8 + x_2 x_3 x_5 x_8 +$  $+ x_1 x_3 x_5 x_8 + x_1 x_2 x_5 x_8 + x_2 x_3 x_4 x_8 + x_1 x_3 x_4 x_8 +$  $+ x_1 x_2 x_4 x_8 + x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 + x_2 x_5 x_6 x_7 + x_1 x_5 x_6 x_7 +$  $+ X_{3}X_{4}X_{6}X_{7} + X_{1}X_{4}X_{6}X_{7} + X_{2}X_{3}X_{6}X_{7} + X_{1}X_{3}X_{6}X_{7} +$  $+ x_1 x_2 x_6 x_7 + x_3 x_4 x_5 x_7 + x_1 x_4 x_5 x_7 + x_2 x_3 x_5 x_7 +$  $+ x_1 x_3 x_5 x_7 + x_1 x_2 x_5 x_7 + x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 + x_2 x_5 + x_2 + x_2 x_5 + x_2 + x_2 + x_2 + x_$  $+ x_2 x_3 x_5 x_6 + x_1 x_3 x_5 x_6 + x_1 x_2 x_5 x_6 + x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6 +$  $+ X_1 X_3 X_4 X_6 + X_1 X_2 X_4 X_6 + X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 + X_1 X_3 X_4 X_5 +$  $+ \mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{X}_4 \mathbf{X}_5$ 

 $\mathcal{F} = 1$ 

Observations:

- The first graph (Symanzik) polynomial ( $\mathcal U$ ) is always linear in x's
- The second graph (Symanzik) polynomial  $(\mathcal{F})$  is only linear in absence of internal masses



• Assume the parametric representation is  $\epsilon$  finite or a priori  $\epsilon$  regularized:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{U}^{\nu - \frac{\mathsf{L}+1}{2}\mathsf{d}} &= \mathcal{U}^{\nu - 2(\mathsf{L}+1)} \left[ 1 + \epsilon(\mathsf{L}+1)\log\mathcal{U} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right] \,, \\ \mathcal{F}^{\frac{\mathsf{Ld}}{2} - \nu} &= \mathcal{F}^{2\mathsf{L}-\nu} \left[ 1 - \epsilon\mathsf{L}\log\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right] \,, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N} \end{split}$$

- Here we stick to the lowest order term in  $\epsilon$ 



• Keep graph polynomial exponents formal:

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}_{i}\right)\delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\mathsf{x}_{i}\right)\frac{1}{\mathcal{U}^{\mathsf{m}}\mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{n}}}$$

• Using the Chen-Wu theorem we can keep a subset of integration variables in the Dirac-delta:

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\mathsf{N}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}x_{i}\right)\delta\left(1-x_{\mathsf{N}}\right)\frac{1}{\mathcal{U}^{\mathsf{m}}\mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{n}}}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}x_{1}\cdots x_{\mathsf{N}-1}\left.\frac{1}{\mathcal{U}^{\mathsf{m}}\mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{n}}}\right|_{x_{\mathsf{N}}=1}\,,\quad\mathsf{m},\,\mathsf{n}\geq1$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U}|_{\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{N}}=1} , \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}|_{\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{N}}=1}$$



- Have to fix a  $\sigma$  integration order

$$\mathbf{x}_{\sigma(1)}, \, \mathbf{x}_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\sigma(N-1)}$$

- Without internal masses both  ${\mathcal F}$  and  ${\mathcal G}$  are linear in all remaining xs

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_1 \cdots x_{\mathsf{N}-1} \frac{1}{\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^\mathsf{m} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^\mathsf{n}} = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_1 \cdots x_{\mathsf{N}-1} \frac{1}{\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 + \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)} x_{\sigma(1)}\right)^\mathsf{m} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 + \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(1)} x_{\sigma(1)}\right)^\mathsf{n}}$$

- Integrand can be partial fractioned in  $x_{\sigma(1)}$
- Resulting integrand has polynomial in denominator with some k power

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{G}_1 + \mathsf{G}^{(1)} \mathsf{x}_{\sigma(1)} \end{pmatrix}^{-\mathsf{k}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{k} \geq 2 & \mathrm{rational\,function} \\ \mathsf{k} = 1 & \mathrm{logarithm} \end{array} \right., \quad \mathsf{G} \in \{\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}, \, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \}$$



Can we do something better than logarithms? Hyperlogarithms!

• Special class of iterated integrals (Chen, The Annals of Mathematics 97 (2) (1973) 217246)

$$\mathsf{L}_{\omega_{\sigma}\mathsf{w}}(\mathsf{z}) = \int_{0}^{\mathsf{z}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathsf{z}'}{\mathsf{z}' - \sigma} \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{w}}(\mathsf{z}') \,, \quad \mathsf{L}_{\emptyset}(\mathsf{z}) = 1$$

• Fulfill shuffle relations:

$$L_{w_1}(z)L_{w_2}(z)=L_{w_1}(z)\sqcup L_{w_2}(z)$$

• See also the slides of Coenraad from yesterday



Hyperlogarithms:

- Special, one-dimensional Chen iterated path integrals Chen, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 156, 359-379 (1971)
- These also called Goncharov polylogarithms, A. B. Goncharov, Math. Research Letters. 5(4), 497 (1998), A. Goncharov, Multiple polylogarithms and mixed Tate motives (2001)
- Can get harmonic polylogarithms if letters from  $\{-1,0,1\}$  E. Remiddi, J. A. M. Vermaseren, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A15 (2000) 725–754
- As special cases we can get classical polylogarithms and multiple polylogarithms



Hyperlogarithms:

- Most important developments were done by Francis Brown
  - Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole Normale Superieure 42 (3) (2009) 371–489. arXiv:math/0606419
  - Communications in Mathematical Physics 287 (2009) 925–958. arXiv:0804.1660, doi:10.1007/s00220-009-0740-5
  - On the periods of some Feynman integrals, ArXiv e-print: arXiv:0910.0114
- Our treatment of loop integrals with hyperlogs closely follow: E. Panzer, Computer Physics Communications, 188, 148–166 (2015)

What could be done with these constructs?



• With this definition first integration becomes:

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(1)} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(N-1)} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(N-1)})}{\mathsf{G}_1 + \mathsf{G}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}_{\sigma(1)}} = \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(1)} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(N-1)} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(N-1)})}{\mathsf{G}^{(1)}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}_{\sigma(1)} + \mathsf{G}_1/\mathsf{G}^{(1)}} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(N-1)} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(N-1)})}{\mathsf{G}^{(1)}} \mathsf{L}_{\omega_{-\mathsf{G}_1/\mathsf{G}^{(1)}}}(\infty) \end{split}$$

• This form is not without problems...



$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(N-1)} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(N-1)})}{\mathsf{G}^{(1)}} \mathsf{L}_{\omega_{-\mathsf{G}_1/\mathsf{G}^{(1)}}}(\infty)$$



$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(\mathsf{N}-1)} \frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{x}_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \mathsf{x}_{\sigma(\mathsf{N}-1)})}{\mathsf{G}^{(1)}} \mathsf{L}_{\omega_{-\mathsf{G}_1/\mathsf{G}^{(1)}}}(\infty)$$

• Only the full Feynman integral is finite individual terms can diverge



$$\int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(\mathsf{N}-1)} \frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{x}_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \mathsf{x}_{\sigma(\mathsf{N}-1)})}{\mathsf{G}^{(1)}} \mathsf{L}_{\omega_{-\mathsf{G}_1}/\mathsf{G}^{(1)}}(\boldsymbol{\infty})$$

- Only the full Feynman integral is finite individual terms can diverge
- All upper integration limits are infinity (how to set up the iterated integrations?)



$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} x_{\sigma(N-1)} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(N-1)})}{\mathsf{G}^{(1)}} \mathsf{L}_{\omega_{-\mathsf{G}_1/\mathsf{G}^{(1)}}}(\infty)$$

- Only the full Feynman integral is finite individual terms can diverge
- All upper integration limits are infinity (how to set up the iterated integrations?)
- Next integration variable also in letter: iterated form is violated





Problem: Only the full Feynman integral is finite individual terms can diverge

- Introduce regularized limits
- Partially change the end-point

$$\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{w}}(\infty) = \sum_{\mathsf{w}_1,\mathsf{w}_2} \mathsf{L}_{\Phi_{1/z}(\mathsf{w}_1)}(0) \cdot \mathsf{L}_{\mathrm{reg}_0^{\infty}(\mathsf{w}_2)}(\infty) \,, \quad \Phi_{\mathsf{f}}(\omega_{\sigma}) = \omega_{\mathsf{f}(\sigma)} - \omega_{\mathsf{f}(\infty)} \,, \quad \omega_{\infty} = \emptyset$$

- Limits at infinity get replaced by regularized limits at infinity and/or replaced by limits at zero
- Limits at zero can be (depending on word [w] and prefactors):
  - expanded as a power series
  - logarithmic singularities can be identified which should cancel in the final result



**Problem:** All upper integration limits are infinity (how to set up the iterated integrations?) For an iterated integral we need:

$$\cdots \int_0^{x_{i+1}} \frac{\mathrm{d} x_i}{\sigma} \int_0^{x_i} \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{i-1}}{x_{i-1} - \sigma} \sum_{w'} \mathsf{L}_{w'}(x_{i-1}) \quad : \quad x_{i-1} \notin w'$$

• To set up iterated integrals we rely on the next solution



Problem: Next integration variable also in letter: iterated form is violated

$$\mathsf{L}_{\omega_{-\mathsf{G}_1/\mathsf{G}^{(1)}}}(\infty) = \sum_{\mathsf{w}'} \lambda^{(\mathsf{w}')} \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{w}'}(\mathsf{x}_{\sigma(2)})$$

- Fibration basis is needed to turn hyperlogs at infinity inside-out to reveal dependence on next integration variable
  - Most complicated algorithm to implement
  - Shuffle-regularization at zero
  - Log-differentiation of letters and their differences
  - Zero-limit in next integration variable avoiding singularities
  - Rescaling of word in  $x_{\sigma(2)}$





• Important to continue the integration with next variable (t):

$$\lim_{z\to\infty}L_{w(t)}(z)=\sum_{w'}\lambda^{(w')}L_{w'}(t)$$

• It is more important to be able to carry this out for reguralized limit hyperlogs:

$$\lim_{z\to\infty}L_{\mathrm{reg}_0^\infty(w(t))}(z)=\sum_{w'}\lambda^{(w')}L_{w'}(t)$$

• For regularized limit hyperlog we use Panzer's notation as well:

$$\lim_{z\to\infty}L_{\mathrm{reg}_0^\infty(w(t))}(z)= \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z\to\infty}L_w(z)$$



• We use the Panzer notation when words are explicit:

$$\mathsf{W} = \omega_{\sigma_1} \omega_{\sigma_2} \cdots \omega_{\sigma_n}$$

• The differentiation of a limit hyperlog w.r.t. variable t:

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z \to \infty} \mathsf{L}_w(z) &= -[\partial_t \log \sigma_n] \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z \to \infty} \mathsf{L}_{\omega_{\sigma_1} \dots \psi_{\sigma_n}}(z) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\partial_t \log(\sigma_i - \sigma_{i+1})] \cdot \left( \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z \to \infty} \mathsf{L}_{\omega_1 \dots \psi_{\sigma_{i+1}} \dots \omega_n}(z) - \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z \to \infty} \mathsf{L}_{\omega_1 \dots \psi_{\sigma_i} \dots \omega_n}(z) \right) \end{split}$$



• The differentiation of a limit hyperlog w.r.t. variable t:

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z \to \infty} L_w(z) &= -[\partial_t \log \sigma_n] \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z \to \infty} L_{\omega_{\sigma_1} \dots \psi_{\sigma_n}}(z) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\partial_t \log(\sigma_i - \sigma_{i+1})] \cdot \left( \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z \to \infty} L_{\omega_1 \dots \psi_{\sigma_{i+1}} \dots \omega_n}(z) - \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z \to \infty} L_{\omega_1 \dots \psi_{\sigma_i} \dots \omega_n}(z) \right) \end{split}$$

- Differential form has limit hyperlogs with words having one less letter
- t dependence is partially exposed (reduced words can still have dependence on t)
- $\Rightarrow$  Serves as an iterative way to construct fibration basis



- Differential form is given to define fibration basis in variable t
- $\Rightarrow$  Integration constant has to be provided:

$$\mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z\to\infty} L_{w(t)}(z) = \mathcal{C} + \sum_{w'} \lambda^{(w')} L_{w'}(t)$$

- Integration constant is defined as a regular  $t \rightarrow 0$  limit of the original limit hyperlog:

 $\mathcal{C} = \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{t \to 0} \mathop{\mathrm{Reg}}_{z \to \infty} L_w(z)$ 



- Integration constant is defined as a regular  $t \rightarrow 0$  limit of the original limit hyperlog:

$$\mathcal{C} = \operatorname{Reg}_{t \to 0} \operatorname{Reg}_{z \to \infty} L_w(z)$$

- The t  $\rightarrow 0$  limit is highly non-trivial:
  - If last letter has a constant term t ightarrow 0 can be safely taken
  - If leading term in last letter depends on t rescaling and/or shuffle regularization is needed



To implement an efficient integration method using hyperlogs several non-trivial algorithms are needed, including

- Nested data-structures to hold polynomial and rational function letters
- Letters are forming words
- Have to detect variable dependence in function arguments
- Shuffling of argument lists
- Eliminating arguments
- Rescaling of arguments
- Selective differentiation and integration of terms
- Wanted the code to be updatable for a long time

 $\Rightarrow$  Most sophisticated software development is selected





Idea:

- Completely modular design
- Re-usable, as generic as possible routines
- Motto:

If description of routine needs more than a single sentence it does too much  $\implies$  break it to smaller ones

- Algorithms are not etched to stone, need to be updatable
- $\Rightarrow$  Functionality should be tested separately
- $\Rightarrow$  Unit driven development philosophy is adopted









Red light:

- Start with an empty routine
- Create the unit test first
  - Specify an input
  - Define what output we would like to see
- With an empty routine the test will first fail "red light"





#### Green light:

- Implement a suitable algorithm
- Tweak it until the unit passes test
- $\Rightarrow$  It does the desired functionality





#### Refactor:

- If performance is not satisfactory change the algorithm, improve the code
- It is safe to touch the routine because we will always see when we break functionality!





• Using check.rb provided with FORM slightly personalized



- Using check.rb provided with FORM slightly personalized
- Each routine receives its own unit test file

#### DissectExprWithArgNumber.frm MergeLevelExpressions.frm ChangeFuncHeadForGivenWordLengthAndVarDependence.frm EncodeNumberofArgsInFunctionHead.frm NonNumericArgsToExtraSymbols.frm ChangeFuncHeadForSameArgFuncs.frm ExtractFunctionsToExtraSymbols.frm PartingForLimitDiffs.frm ChangeFunctionHeadForVarDependentInstances.frm ExtraSymbolsToExpression.frm RegularizeAndDifferentiateForFibrationBases.frm ChangeHyperVarFull.frm FromHarmonicToMZV.frm RevealVarLog.frm FromLimitHlogsToNumbers.frm ShuffleRegularizeAtInfinity.frm CollectHlogsForFibrationBasis.frm ShuffleRegularizeAtZero.frm FromLoneToHarmonic.frm ConvertDetailedRatFuncs.frm SimplifyDiffLogDiffFuncs.frm CreateFunctionExpressionWithExtras.frm IncludeTagInExprs.frm CreateRatFuncArgsInVar.frm IntegrateAtLevelSubstituteAbove.frm SimplifyLimitHyperlogs.frm DecomposeWRTvar.frm SimplifvRatFuncArguments.frm DetermineMinAndMaxFunctionIndices.frm IntHlogsV2.frm SimplifyRatFuncsGCD.frm DetermineUsedVarsInDollar.frm IntRatEun.frm SimplifyRatFuncsNumeric.frm DetermineUsedVarsInExpr.frm IsolateAndDissectVarDepFunctions.frm DetermineUsedVarsInLargeTerms.frm IsolateAndDissectVarDepFunctionsThruExtra.frm SubstituteToExprsWithExtrasAndTable.frm DetermineUsedVarsInTerm.frm LimInfToLimZero.frm DetermineZeroLimitsForFibrationBasis.frm TakeZeroLimitInLimitHlog.frm



- Using check.rb provided with FORM slightly personalized
- Each routine receives its own unit test file
- Create an empty routine

```
[]{{{ FromLimitHlogsToNumbers
    #procedure FromLimitHlogsToNumbers(ExprID,RegLinfFuncID,LinfFuncID)
    .sort:FromLimitHlogsToNumbers start;
    skip;
    nskip `ExprID';
    *
    .sort:FromLimitHlogsToNumbers fin;
    #endprocedure
    *}}}
```



- Using check.rb provided with FORM slightly personalized
- Each routine receives its own unit test file
- Create an empty routine
- Implement a bunch of tests



```
symbol a:
cfunction RegLinf;
cfunction Linf:
#call FromLimitHlogsToNumbers(F,RegLinf,Linf)
print +s:
. end
assert succeeded?
```

- Using check.rb provided with FORM slightly personalized
- Each routine receives its own unit test file
- Create an empty routine
- Implement a bunch of tests
- They will fail

```
Finished in 0.101172256 seconds.

15 tests, 30 assertions, 12 failures, 0 errors, 0 pendings, 0 omissions, 0 not

ifications

20% passed

148.26 tests/s, 296.52 assertions/s
```



- Using check.rb provided with FORM slightly personalized
- Each routine receives its own unit test file
- Create an empty routine
- Implement a bunch of tests
- They will fail
- Implement functionality and test



# HyperFORM



#### HyperFORM

- All algorithms are implemented in FORM
- All major algorithms from
   E. Panzer, Computer Physics Communications, 188, 148–166 (2015)
- Can treat  $\epsilon$ -finite integrals
- $\epsilon$  regularization is also possible, uses: E. Panzer, JHEP 03 (2014) 071
- Expansion of non-integer exponents
- Integration using the basis on rational functions and hyperlogs
- Series expansion of hyperlogs
- Taking limits of hyperlogs
- Conversion (when possible) to MZVs



#### HyperFORM





Use zig-zag diagrams for benchmarking:

- $\epsilon$ -finite
- Fibration basis generation is the key algorithm
- $\Rightarrow$  Finite multiloop diagrams are ideal to check performance



#### HyperFORM - benchmark on zig-zags

Original implementation of E. Panzer, Computer Physics Communications, 188, 148–166 (2015) is in Maple as HyperInt

	HyperInt[s]	HyperFORM [s]
$Z_3$	0.8	0.05
$Z_4$	1.5	0.3
$Z_5$	54	17
$Z_6$	103000	29000





# Summary and Outlook



## Summary and Outlook

- Integration routines implemented in FORM using hyperlogs
- All key algorithms are present to be useful for Feynman integral calculations
- Generating fibration basis is highly non-trivial with many essential manipulations:
  - Shuffle regularization
  - Differentiation
  - Integration
  - Rescaling
  - Solving multiple equations
  - Back substitution
- Performance is convincing, there are a couple of ideas to implement...



# Thank you for your attention!