Review on Quarkonium Physics

recent progress

many outstanding issues for the future



Pietro Colangelo - INFN - Bari - Italy EuroFlavour08 Durham, UK, 22-27September 2008



Phenomenology:

- News in bottomonium and charmonium
- Problems

Theory:

- Progress in Effective Theories
- Determination of Standard Model parameters

bottomonium

PDG 2008+BaBar





 $M(\eta_b) = 9388^{+3.1}_{-2.3} \pm 2.7 \text{ MeV}$ $M(Y(1S)) - M(\eta_b) = 71.4^{+2.3}_{-3.1} \pm 2.7 \text{ MeV}$

	M _{℃(}	1 <i>S</i>) —	M_{η_b} [MeV]	Ref
lattice NRQCD	19	_	100	Bali (2000) El-Khadra (1995) et al
lattice potential	60	_	110	Bali (1997)
pQCD	36	_	55	Brambilla (2001) 💭
1/m expansion	34	_	114	Narison (1995)
potential model	57	-	141	Rosner, Barnes, Eichten, Ebert et al. (1994-2000)

TH: Liao & Manke 2002 Recksiegel & Sumino 2004 Ebert, Faustov & Galkin 2003 Kniehl et al, 2004 Nardulli et al, 2007

$$M(Y(1S)) - M(\eta_b) = 35 - 60 \text{ MeV}$$

- hyperfine splitting sligthly larger than predicted: refinement needed in theory analyses
- input for an independent determination of $\alpha_s(M_{V(1S)})$ and m_b



pos	sibility	of obse	erving r	lb deca	ys: η _t	→ Y Y					
analysis based on heavy quark spin symmetry								Lansber	Lansberg & Pham 07		
$R_{\eta_b} = \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_b)}{\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}(\Upsilon)} = 3 Q_b^2 \frac{M_{\Upsilon}}{M_{\eta_b}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{(\pi^2 - 4)}{3}\right)$											
$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$	This paper	Sch. [22]	Lak. [17]	Ack. [23]	Kim [24]	Ahm. [25]	Mün. [26]	Eb. [27]	God. [18]	Fab. [28]	Pen. [19]
η_b	560	460	230	170	384 ± 47	520	220 ± 40	350	214	466 ± 101	659 ± 92
η_b'	269	200	70	-	191 ± 25	-	110 ± 20	150	121	-	-
η_b''	208	-	40	-	-	-	84 ± 12	100	90.6	-	-

TABLE I: Summary of theoretical predictions for $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_b)$, $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_b')$ and $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_b'')$. (All values are in units of eV).

$1/m_b$ corrections to be determined

- nossibility of observing n. decays:



PDG 2000



PDG 2008+B factory data













the simple theoretical scheme represented by the quark model cannot account for all these particles

instead of dealing with a game designed to test knowledge and skill



— quarkonium

Sphinx

we are facing an enigma

Oedipus

Problem n. 1: charged mesons with hidden charm $Z(4430)^+ Z_2(4248)^+ Z_1(4051)^+$

Belle Collaboration

Z(4430)⁺

Dalitz plot analysis of $B \rightarrow Z^-K$, $Z^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^-$ (PRL 100, 142001 (2008)) M=4433 ± 4 ± 2 MeV $\Gamma=45\pm 22\pm33$ MeV B K $T=45\pm 22\pm33$ MeV K $\chi(2S)$

$Z_1 (4051)^+ Z_2 (4248)^+$

Dalitz plot analysis of $B \rightarrow Z^-K$, $Z^- \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^-$ (ArXiv:0806.4098) $M_1 = 4051 \pm 14 \pm 46 \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_1 = 82 \pm 27 \pm 52 \text{ MeV}$ $M_2 = 4248 \pm 53 \pm 184 \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_2 = 177 \pm 67 \pm 322 \text{ MeV}$ (ArXiv:0806.4098) $T_2 = 177 \pm 67 \pm 322 \text{ MeV}$



Problem n. 1: charged mesons with hidden charm $Z(4430)^+$ $Z_2(4248)^+$ $Z_1(4051)^+$

evidence of exotic meson?

- the existence of exotic (non QQ) mesons has been argued long ago
- candidates in the light quark sector
- quark content of $Z(4430)^+$: (ccud)

Interpretations proposed by several authors:

bound state of two heavy-light diquarks (tetraquark) (first radial excitation of the lightest 1⁺ tetraguark)

 $q\overline{q} \Rightarrow Q_{\rm D}\overline{Q}_{\rm D}$

 Q_D = diquark, two quarks bound in color 3*

spin 0 diquark $[qq]_0 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \overline{q}_C^{j\beta} \gamma_5 q^{k\gamma}$



bound state of one axial and one vector meson with open charm (molecule)



non exotic effect: cusp of one axial and one vector meson with open charm



peak found in $B \rightarrow K \psi(2S) \pi^{-1}$ attributed to $Z^{-1} \rightarrow \psi(2S) \pi^{-1}$

Signal not confirmed by BaBar in the Dalitz plot of the same process







BABAR preliminary

evidence of exotic structures or experimental artifacts?

The formulation of a theory of multiquark/multihadron configurations is an outstanding issue

A data-driven point of view is at present unavoidable: models are used to interpret the experimental results

The observation of charged states with hidden charm must be confirmed; nevertheless, the possibility of having discovered the hint of a new spectroscopy is a strong reason for further studies

go to charmonium

warning: exotic recipes to construct models should be avoided...

like this one

FIRST WITCH

Round about the cauldron go; In the poison'd entrails throw. Toad, that under cold stone Days and nights has thirty-one Swelter'd venom sleeping got, Boil thou first i' the charmed pot.

ALL

Double, double toil and trouble; Fire burn, and cauldron bubble.

SECOND WITCH

Fillet of a fenny snake, In the cauldron boil and bake; Eye of newt and toe of frog, Wool of bat and tongue of dog, Adder's fork and blind-worm's sting, Lizard's leg and owlet's wing, For a charm of powerful trouble, Like a hell-broth boil and bubble.

ALL

Double, double toil and trouble; Fire burn and cauldron bubble.

THIRD WITCH

Scale of dragon, tooth of wolf, Witches' mummy, maw and gulf Of the ravin'd salt-sea shark, Root of hemlock digg'd i' the dark, Liver of blaspheming Jew, Gall of goat, and slips of yew Silver'd in the moon's eclipse, Nose of Turk and Tartar's lips, Finger of birth-strangled babe Ditch-deliver'd by a drab, Make the gruel thick and slab: Add thereto a tiger's chaudron, For the ingredients of our cauldron.

ALL

Double, double toil and trouble; Fire burn and cauldron bubble.

SECOND WITCH

Cool it with a baboon's blood, Then the charm is firm and good.

Macbeth, act 4, scene 1

2008





effects of open or nearby closed channels on the masses (not included in simple quark models)

Name	State	Experimental Mass	Potential Mass	$\Gamma_{\rm hadrons}$	Δm_{BW}	Δm_{pole}	Pennington & Wilson C
	$n^{2S+1}L_J$	${ m MeV}$	${ m MeV}$	MeV	MeV	MeV	
η_c	$1^{1}S_{0}$	2980 ± 1	2982	_	_	_	
J/ψ	$1^{3}S_{1}$	3096.9	3090	_	_	_	
η_c'	$2^{1}S_{0}$	3638 ± 4	3630	_	-10	-10	
ψ'	$2^{3}S_{1}$	3686.1	3672	_	-9	-9	
h_c	$2^{1}P_{1}$	3525.9	3516	_	-2	-2	
χ_{c_0}	$2^{3}P_{0}$	3414.8	3424	_	-9	-9	
χ_{c_1}	$2^{3}P_{1}$	3510.7	3505	_	-16	-16	
χc_2	$2^{3}P_{2}$	3556.2	3556	_	-6	-6	
η_c''	$3^{1}S_{0}$	3943 ± 6	4043	80^a	-45	-58	
$\psi^{\prime\prime\prime}$	$3^{3}S_{1}$	4039 ± 1	4072	80 ± 10^{b}	-36	-41	
	$3^{1}P_{1}$	_	3934	87^a	-5	-12	
	$3^{3}P_{0}$	_	3852	30^a	-70	-70	sizeable effects
	$3^{3}P_{1}$	_	3925	168^{a}	-66	-29	
	$3^{3}P_{2}$	_	3972	80^a	-55	-48	
	$3^{1}D_{2}$	_	3799	-	_	_	
ψ''	$3^{3}D_{1}$	3771 ± 2	3785	23 ± 3^{b}	-40	-40	🗰 DD
	$3^{3}D_{2}$	—	3800	-	—	_	
	$3^{3}D_{3}$	_	3806	-	_	_	

nington & Wilson 08

Problem n. 2: X(3872)

CDF,DO,BaBar,Belle



```
\begin{split} M(X) &= 3871.4 \pm 0.6 \quad MeV \\ \Gamma(X) &< 2.3 \quad MeV \quad (90\% \ CL) \\ \left[ \ \Gamma(X) &= 3.0^{+4.6}_{-2.3} \pm 0.9 \quad MeV \right] \quad \text{BaBar} \end{split}
```

 $M(X) = 3875.4 \pm 0.7^{+1.2}_{-2.0} MeV$ $\frac{B(X \to D^0 \overline{D}{}^0 \pi^0)}{B(X \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)} = 9 \pm 4$ Belle Coll., PRL97, 162002

 $B(B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm} X) B(X \to \psi(2S)\gamma) = (9.9 \pm 2.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-6}$ $B(B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm} X) B(X \to J/\psi\gamma) = (2.8 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$

BaBar, arXiv:0809.0042

2008







 $M(X) = 3871.4 \pm 0.6 MeV$

 $M(D^0\overline{D}^{*0}) = 3871.2 \pm 1.0 \ MeV$ $(3875.4 \pm 0.7^{+1.2}_{-2.0} \text{ MeV})$ $M(D^+\overline{D}^{*-}) = 3879.3 \ MeV$ $M(\rho^0 J/\psi) = 3867.9 \ MeV$ $M(\omega J/\psi) = 3879.5 MeV$

X(3872) sits at the $\overline{D}^0 D^{*0}$ threshold

binding induced by light hadron exchange

no DD molecules



X essentially $D^0 \overline{D}^{*0} + \overline{D^0} D^{*0}$ (S wave) molecule with $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ $|X\rangle = a \left| D^0 \overline{D}^{*0} + \overline{D}^0 D^{*0} \right\rangle + b \left| D^+ D^{*-} + D^- D^{*+} \right\rangle + \dots$

- not definite I
- resonances in the modes D⁺ D^{*-} not observed -> repulsive interaction ۲ Torngvist
- X_b (10604) expected at the B B* threshold

Voloshin

X-> $\overline{D}^0 D^0 \gamma$ dominant with respect to X-> $D^+ D^- \gamma$

• X -> $\chi_{c1} \pi$ expected





understandable on the basis of charmonium interpretation, but calculations in all scenarios are needed

X(3872) is a puzzling state





no resonant peaks in $\sigma(e^+e^-) = \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow DD, DD^*, D^*D^*)$

Problem n. 3: Y(4008) Y(4260) Y(4360) Y(4660)

Y(4260) hybrid ccG state ?



- cc 1⁻⁻ hybrid at mass ~4.2 GeV expected (lattice QCD)
- large couplings to modes with closed flavour $\psi_h \rightarrow (c\overline{c})(gg) \rightarrow (c\overline{c})(\pi\pi,...)$ Y(4260) -> J/ $\psi\eta'$, $\chi_{cJ}\eta'$
- decays in S wave + P wave open charm states expected Y(4260) -> D D'_1 (Y below D D_1 threshold)

decays in DD and D*D* forbidden at leading order

Pene, Kou....



corresponding state expected in the bb system: Belle observes an anomalous enhancement in $~Y(1S)~\pi^+~\pi^-~$ at 10870 MeV

Y(4260) as a candidate (cs) (cs) tetraquark

(two scalar diquarks with L=1)



 $Y(4260) \rightarrow D_s D_s$ expected

partners with other light quarks not observed

observation in $D_s D_s$ or $D D'_1$ could discriminate

Issues:

- Interpretations only for Y(4260)
- Are all new vector mesons alike?
- Comprehensive analysis needed

If exotic cc mesons exist, then exotic bb mesons probably also exist

challenge for theory: predict their properties challenge for experimentalists: discover them

A short digression:









$D_{sJ}(2700)$ $R_{1} = \frac{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \rightarrow D^{*}K)}{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \rightarrow DK)}$ $R_{2} = \frac{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \rightarrow D_{s}\eta)}{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \rightarrow DK)}$ $R_{3} = \frac{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \rightarrow D_{s}^{*}\eta)}{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \rightarrow DK)}$

sizeable differences Ratic R_i for $D_{sJ}(2700)$ identified as $D_{s'}^{*\prime}$ or D_{s1}^{*} . $D_{s}^{*'}: s_{\ell}^{P} = \frac{1}{2}^{-1}$ TABLE I. $R_1 \times 10^2$ $R_{2} \times 10^{2}$ $R_{3} \times 10^{2}$ $D_{s}^{*'}$ 91 ± 4 20 ± 1 5 ± 2 $D_{s1}^*: s_{\ell}^P = \frac{3}{2}$ D_{s1}^* 4.3 ± 0.2 16.3 ± 0.9 0.18 ± 0.07



Table 2: Predicted ratios $\frac{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \to D^*K)}{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \to DK)}$ and $\frac{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \to D_s\eta)}{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \to DK)}$ for the various assignment of quantum numbers to $D_{sJ}(2860)$. For DK we mean the sum $DK = D^0K^+ + D^+K_S^0$.

$D_{sJ}(2860)$	$D_{sJ}(2860) \rightarrow DK$	$\frac{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \to D^*K)}{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \to DK)}$	$\frac{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \to D_s \eta)}{\Gamma(D_{sJ} \to DK)}$	
$s_{\ell}^{p} = \frac{1^{-}}{2}, J^{P} = 1^{-}, n = 1$	<i>p</i> -wave	1.23	0.27	
$s_{\ell}^{p} = \frac{1}{2}^{+}, J^{P} = 0^{+}, n = 1$	s-wave	0 🔸	0.34	D*K forbidden
$s_{\ell}^{p} = \frac{3}{2}^{+}, J^{P} = 2^{+}, n = 1$	d-wave	0.63	0.19	
$s_{\ell}^{p} = \frac{3}{2}^{-}, J^{P} = 1^{-}, n = 0$	<i>p</i> -wave	0.06	0.23	
$s_{\ell}^{p} = \frac{5}{2}^{-}, J^{P} = 3^{-}, n = 0$	<i>f</i> -wave	0.39	0.13	D*K allowed
J ^P = O ⁺ rac J ^P = 3 ⁻ N	dial excitation	Van Bever	en et al., Close	e et al,
	crucial m	ode: D [:]	*K	

Progress in quarkonium effective theories: from zero to finite temperature

charmonium spectra at different temperatures



Progress in quarkonium effective theories: from zero to finite temperature



- real time evolution of a static quark-antiquark pair in a medium of light quarks and gluons at T≠0

- potential between two static sources

connection with the Physics programmes at RHIC and LHC

outstanding issue: determination of Standard Model parameters



 $\Gamma(Y(1S) \to \gamma X) / \Gamma(Y(1S) \to X)$ at NLO $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.119^{+0.006}_{-0.005}$ $\alpha_s(M_{Y(1S)}) = 0.184^{+0.015}_{-0.014}$

Brambilla Garcia Soto Vairo 07



- Quarkonium keeps a key role for understanding the strong dynamics
- A wealth of new experimental observations
- Many results (new states) challenge theory
- Progress in the effective theories
- Access to Standard Model parameters
- Researchers belonging to many nodes of the network heavily involved