

The origin of primordial non-gaussianity

David H. Lyth

Particle Theory and Cosmology Group
Physics Department
Lancaster University

Introduction

- Primordial non-gaussianity: non-trivial correlation between Fourier components of curvature perturbation ζ

Introduction

- Primordial non-gaussianity: non-trivial correlation between Fourier components of curvature perturbation ζ
- Powerful discriminator between models for origin of ζ
 - Like n , n' and r

Introduction

- Primordial non-gaussianity: non-trivial correlation between Fourier components of curvature perturbation ζ
- Powerful discriminator between models for origin of ζ
 - Like n , n' and r
- Only upper bounds at present
 - Like n' and r

Introduction

- Primordial non-gaussianity: non-trivial correlation between Fourier components of curvature perturbation ζ
- Powerful discriminator between models for origin of ζ
 - Like n , n' and r
- Only upper bounds at present
 - Like n' and r
- This talk mostly about fundamental theory
 - Little about models
 - Less about observation

Defining the curvature perturbation ζ

1. Smooth Universe on shortest cosmological scale 10^{-2} Mpc.

Defining the curvature perturbation ζ

1. Smooth Universe on shortest cosmological scale 10^{-2} Mpc.
2. Consider era $T \gtrsim 10$ keV \Rightarrow separate universes

Defining the curvature perturbation ζ

1. Smooth Universe on shortest cosmological scale 10^{-2} Mpc.
2. Consider era $T \gtrsim 10$ keV \Rightarrow separate universes
3. Work on slicing of uniform energy density ρ

Defining the curvature perturbation ζ

1. Smooth Universe on shortest cosmological scale 10^{-2} Mpc.
2. Consider era $T \gtrsim 10$ keV \Rightarrow separate universes
3. Work on slicing of uniform energy density ρ
4. Define local scale factor: $g_{ij} = \tilde{a}^2(\mathbf{x}, t)\delta_{ij}$

Defining the curvature perturbation ζ

1. Smooth Universe on shortest cosmological scale 10^{-2} Mpc.
2. Consider era $T \gtrsim 10$ keV \Rightarrow separate universes
3. Work on slicing of uniform energy density ρ
4. Define local scale factor: $g_{ij} = \tilde{a}^2(\mathbf{x}, t)\delta_{ij}$
5. Define $\zeta(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \ln \tilde{a}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \ln a(t) \equiv \delta N$

Defining the curvature perturbation ζ

1. Smooth Universe on shortest cosmological scale 10^{-2} Mpc.
 2. Consider era $T \gtrsim 10$ keV \Rightarrow separate universes
 3. Work on slicing of uniform energy density ρ
 4. Define local scale factor: $g_{ij} = \tilde{a}^2(\mathbf{x}, t)\delta_{ij}$
 5. Define $\zeta(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \ln \tilde{a}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \ln a(t) \equiv \delta N$
-
- In words, $\zeta(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the perturbation in the number of *e-folds of expansion*, starting from a *flat* slice.

Starobinsky 92; Salopek & Bond 90; DHL, Malik & Sasaki 2005 (non-perturbative refs.)

Defining the curvature perturbation ζ

1. Smooth Universe on shortest cosmological scale 10^{-2} Mpc.
 2. Consider era $T \gtrsim 10$ keV \Rightarrow separate universes
 3. Work on slicing of uniform energy density ρ
 4. Define local scale factor: $g_{ij} = \tilde{a}^2(\mathbf{x}, t)\delta_{ij}$
 5. Define $\zeta(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \ln \tilde{a}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \ln a(t) \equiv \delta N$
-
- In words, $\zeta(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the perturbation in the number of *e-folds of expansion*, starting from a *flat* slice.
- Starobinsky 92; Salopek & Bond 90; DHL, Malik & Sasaki 2005 (non-perturbative refs.)
- Constant value $\zeta(\mathbf{x})$ at $T \sim 10$ keV provides the initial condition for adiabatic perturbations.

The correlators

Spectrum \mathcal{P}_ζ , bispectrum $^\dagger f_{\text{NL}}$, trispectrum $^{\ddagger\ddagger} \tau_{\text{NL}}$:

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \color{red}{K_1} \color{green}{\mathcal{P}_\zeta}$$

$$\frac{5}{3} \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}''} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}'') \color{red}{K_2} \color{green}{\mathcal{P}_\zeta^2} \color{blue}{f_{\text{NL}}}$$

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}''} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'''}\rangle_c = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}'' + \mathbf{k'''}) \color{red}{K_3} \color{green}{\mathcal{P}_\zeta^3} \color{blue}{\tau_{\text{NL}}}$$

The correlators

Spectrum \mathcal{P}_ζ , bispectrum $^\dagger f_{\text{NL}}$, trispectrum $^{\dagger\dagger} \tau_{\text{NL}}$:

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') K_1 \mathcal{P}_\zeta$$

$$\frac{5}{3} \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}''} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}'') K_2 \mathcal{P}_\zeta^2 f_{\text{NL}}$$

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}''} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'''}\rangle_c = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}'' + \mathbf{k'''}) K_3 \mathcal{P}_\zeta^3 \tau_{\text{NL}}$$

where the kinematic factors depend on the wave-vectors:

$$K_1 \equiv 2\pi^2/k^3$$

$$K_2 \equiv K_1(k)K_1(k') + 5\text{perms}$$

$$K_3 \equiv K_2 K_1(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}''|) + 23\text{perms}$$

\dagger Komatsu/Spergel 2000; Maldacena 2003 $\dagger\dagger$ Boubeker/DHL 2005

Observation

- $-54 < f_{\text{NL}} < 114$ (WMAP+SDSS)

Observation

- $-54 < f_{\text{NL}} < 114$ (WMAP+SDSS)
- $\tau_{\text{NL}} \lesssim 10^4$ (WMAP)

Observation

- $-54 < f_{\text{NL}} < 114$ (WMAP+SDSS)
- $\tau_{\text{NL}} \lesssim 10^4$ (WMAP)
- **Eventual bounds**: $|f_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 1$ and $|\tau_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 300$

Observation

- $-54 < f_{\text{NL}} < 114$ (WMAP+SDSS)
- $\tau_{\text{NL}} \lesssim 10^4$ (WMAP)
- **Eventual bounds**: $|f_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 1$ and $|\tau_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 300$
 - Or $|f_{\text{NL}}| \sim 0.01$ from 21 cm anisotropy? (Cooray 06)

Observation

- $-54 < f_{\text{NL}} < 114$ (WMAP+SDSS)
- $\tau_{\text{NL}} \lesssim 10^4$ (WMAP)
- **Eventual bounds**: $|f_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 1$ and $|\tau_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 300$
 - Or $|f_{\text{NL}}| \sim 0.01$ from 21 cm anisotropy? (Cooray 06)
- **Theory** gives $|f_{\text{NL}}| \sim 0.01$ (standard paradigm)

Observation

- $-54 < f_{\text{NL}} < 114$ (WMAP+SDSS)
- $\tau_{\text{NL}} \lesssim 10^4$ (WMAP)
- **Eventual bounds**: $|f_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 1$ and $|\tau_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 300$
 - Or $|f_{\text{NL}}| \sim 0.01$ from 21 cm anisotropy? (Cooray 06)
- **Theory** gives $|f_{\text{NL}}| \sim 0.01$ (standard paradigm)
 - Or $|f_{\text{NL}}| \gtrsim 1$ (curvaton & inhomogeneous reheating paradigms)

Observation

- $-54 < f_{\text{NL}} < 114$ (WMAP+SDSS)
- $\tau_{\text{NL}} \lesssim 10^4$ (WMAP)
- **Eventual bounds**: $|f_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 1$ and $|\tau_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 300$
 - Or $|f_{\text{NL}}| \sim 0.01$ from 21 cm anisotropy? (Cooray 06)
- **Theory** gives $|f_{\text{NL}}| \sim 0.01$ (standard paradigm)
 - Or $|f_{\text{NL}}| \gtrsim 1$ (curvaton & inhomogeneous reheating paradigms)

Inflationary origin of ζ

Light fields get perturbation, spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{\delta\phi} = (H_*/2\pi)^2$

Inflationary origin of ζ

Light fields get perturbation, spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{\delta\phi} = (H_*/2\pi)^2$

- Invoke separate universe assumption
 - Local evolution is that of an unperturbed universe
 - Zeroth order gradient expansion plus local isotropy

Inflationary origin of ζ

Light fields get perturbation, spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{\delta\phi} = (H_*/2\pi)^2$

- Invoke separate universe assumption
 - Local evolution is that of an unperturbed universe
 - Zeroth order gradient expansion plus local isotropy
- Assume some light fields $\phi_i(\mathbf{x}, t_1)$ define subsequent expansion $N(\mathbf{x}, t)$
 - Choose $c_s a_1 H_1/k \sim$ a few, so that that $\delta\phi_i$ is classical

Inflationary origin of ζ

Light fields get perturbation, spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{\delta\phi} = (H_*/2\pi)^2$

- Invoke separate universe assumption
 - Local evolution is that of an unperturbed universe
 - Zeroth order gradient expansion plus local isotropy
- Assume some light fields $\phi_i(\mathbf{x}, t_1)$ define subsequent expansion $N(\mathbf{x}, t)$
 - Choose $c_s a_1 H_1/k \sim \text{a few}$, so that that $\delta\phi_i$ is classical

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(\mathbf{x}, t) &= N(\phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \rho(t)) - N(\phi_i, \rho(t)) \\ &= N_i(t) \delta\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N_{ij}(t) \delta\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \delta\phi_j(\mathbf{x}) + \dots\end{aligned}$$

DHL, Malik & Sasaki 05; DHL & Rodriguez 05 (non-perturbative)

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) \quad \varphi \equiv \delta\phi$$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) \quad \varphi \equiv \delta\phi$$

TREE LEVEL SPECTRUM

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle_{\text{tree}} = N'^2 \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 N'^2 \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^2 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}')$$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) \quad \varphi \equiv \delta\phi$$

TREE LEVEL SPECTRUM

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle_{\text{tree}} = N'^2 \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 N'^2 \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^2 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}')$$

Gives
$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}^{\text{tree}} = N'^2 \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^2$$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x})$$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x})$$

TREE LEVEL BISPECTRUM

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle_{\text{tree}} &= \frac{1}{2} N'^2 N'' \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} [(\varphi)^2]_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle + \text{cyclic} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{N'^2 N''}{(2\pi)^3} \int \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{q}} \rangle d^3 q + 5 \text{ perms.} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^3}{2} \frac{N'^2 N''}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^4 K_2 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \quad (-4)\end{aligned}$$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x})$$

TREE LEVEL BISPECTRUM

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle_{\text{tree}} &= \frac{1}{2} N'^2 N'' \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} [(\varphi)^2]_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle + \text{cyclic} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{N'^2 N''}{(2\pi)^3} \int \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{q}} \rangle d^3 q + 5 \text{ perms.} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^3}{2} \frac{N'^2 N''}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^4 K_2 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \quad (-5)\end{aligned}$$

Gives $(3/5) f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{tree}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{N''}{N'^2}$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x})$$

LOOP CONTRIBUTION TO SPECTRUM

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle_{\text{loop}} = \frac{N''^2}{4} \langle [(\varphi)^2]_{\mathbf{k}} [(\varphi)^2]_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle$$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x})$$

LOOP CONTRIBUTION TO SPECTRUM

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle_{\text{loop}} = \frac{N''^2}{4} \langle [(\varphi)^2]_{\mathbf{k}} [(\varphi)^2]_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle$$

Gives

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{\text{loop}}(k) = \frac{N''^2}{4} \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^4 \frac{k^3}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^3 q}{q^3 |\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}|^3}$$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x})$$

LOOP CONTRIBUTION TO SPECTRUM

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle_{\text{loop}} = \frac{N''^2}{4} \langle [(\varphi)^2]_{\mathbf{k}} [(\varphi)^2]_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle$$

Gives

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{\text{loop}}(k) = \frac{N''^2}{4} \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^4 \frac{k^3}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^3 q}{q^3 |\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}|^3}$$

Infrared divergent, use box size L then

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{\text{loop}} = N''^2 \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^4 \ln(kL) \quad , \quad \text{negligible if } \ln(kL) \sim 1$$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x})$$

LOOP CONTRIBUTION TO BISPECTRUM

$f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loop}} \propto \ln(kL)$, negligible if $\ln(kL) \sim 1$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x})$$

LOOP CONTRIBUTION TO BISPECTRUM

$f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loop}} \propto \ln(kL)$, negligible if $\ln(kL) \sim 1$

BUT, if instead

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \phi_1} \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial \phi_2^2} \varphi_2^2(\mathbf{x}) \quad \langle \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \rangle = 0$$

then $f_{\text{NL}} = f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loop}}$

ζ from gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x})$$

LOOP CONTRIBUTION TO BISPECTRUM

$f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loop}} \propto \ln(kL)$, negligible if $\ln(kL) \sim 1$

BUT, if instead

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \phi_1} \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial \phi_2^2} \varphi_2^2(\mathbf{x}) \quad \langle \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \rangle = 0$$

then $f_{\text{NL}} = f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loop}}$

Box size matters in principle, maybe in practice too

Unsurprising: correlators give outcome of measurement by
typical observer in the box

ζ from non-gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{with } \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle \neq 0$$

ζ from non-gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{with } \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle \neq 0$$

Extra contribution $\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = N'^3 \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle$

ζ from non-gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{with } \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle \neq 0$$

$$\text{Extra contribution } \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = N'^3 \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle$$

How to calculate $\langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle$

- (i) Calculate action to at least third order in φ
- (ii) Apply in-in Feynman rules

ζ from non-gaussian perturbation φ

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = N' \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} N'' \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{with } \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle \neq 0$$

$$\text{Extra contribution } \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = N'^3 \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle$$

How to calculate $\langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle$

- (i) Calculate action to at least third order in φ
- (ii) Apply in-in Feynman rules

Assume single-field slow-roll inflation

Just gravitational interaction, at tree-level Seery/Lidsey 2005

$$\langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = -(2\pi)^3 \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^4 (k_1^3 + k_2^3 + k_3^3)}{k_1^3 k_2^3 k_3^3} \sqrt{2\epsilon} f \delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)$$

with $2 < f(k_1, k_2, k_3) < 11/3$ and $\sqrt{2\epsilon} = -M_P V'/V$

The standard scenario

Only relevant light field is slow-roll inflaton ϕ

The standard scenario

Only relevant light field is slow-roll inflaton ϕ

$$dN = -Hdt = -\frac{Hd\phi}{\dot{\phi}} = \frac{3H^2}{V'} d\phi = M_{\text{P}}^{-2} V/V'$$

The standard scenario

Only relevant light field is slow-roll inflaton ϕ

$$dN = -Hdt = -\frac{Hd\phi}{\dot{\phi}} = \frac{3H^2}{V'} d\phi = M_{\text{P}}^{-2} V/V'$$

f_{NL} from $\frac{1}{2}N''(\delta\phi)^2$ and non-gaussianity of $\delta\phi$

$$\frac{3}{5}f_{\text{NL}} = -\frac{1}{4} [n - 1 + (r/8)y(k_1, k_2, k_3)] \sim \pm 10^{-2}$$

$0 < y < 5/6$ Maldacena 2003; Seery/Lidsey 2005

The curvaton model

Mollerach 90; Linde/Mukhanov 96; DHL/Wands 01; Moroi/Takahashi 01

- Curvature perturbation **negligible** during inflation
 - Just need $|\dot{H}/H^2| \ll 1$, inflation model **irrelevant**

The curvaton model

Mollerach 90; Linde/Mukhanov 96; DHL/Wands 01; Moroi/Takahashi 01

- Curvature perturbation **negligible** during inflation
 - Just need $|\dot{H}/H^2| \ll 1$, inflation model **irrelevant**
- Curvaton field σ **light** during inflation, value $\sigma_*(\mathbf{x}, t)$
 - Near-gaussian perturbation $\delta\sigma_*$, spectrum $(H_*/2\pi)^2$

The curvaton model

Mollerach 90; Linde/Mukhanov 96; DHL/Wands 01; Moroi/Takahashi 01

- Curvature perturbation **negligible** during inflation
 - Just need $|\dot{H}/H^2| \ll 1$, inflation model **irrelevant**
- Curvaton field σ **light** during inflation, value $\sigma_*(\mathbf{x}, t)$
 - Near-gaussian perturbation $\delta\sigma_*$, spectrum $(H_*/2\pi)^2$
- Curvaton oscillates when $H \sim m_\sigma$ with amplitude $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*(\mathbf{x}))$
 - Curvature perturbation still negligible

The curvaton model

Mollerach 90; Linde/Mukhanov 96; DHL/Wands 01; Moroi/Takahashi 01

- Curvature perturbation **negligible** during inflation
 - Just need $|\dot{H}/H^2| \ll 1$, inflation model **irrelevant**
- Curvaton field σ **light** during inflation, value $\sigma_*(\mathbf{x}, t)$
 - Near-gaussian perturbation $\delta\sigma_*$, spectrum $(H_*/2\pi)^2$
- Curvaton oscillates when $H \sim m_\sigma$ with amplitude $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*(\mathbf{x}))$
 - Curvature perturbation still negligible
- But $\rho_\sigma(\mathbf{x}, t)/\rho_{\text{rad}}(t) \propto \tilde{a}(\mathbf{x}, t)$
 - Curvature perturbation **grows** to observed value before curvaton decays

The curvaton model

Mollerach 90; Linde/Mukhanov 96; DHL/Wands 01; Moroi/Takahashi 01

- Curvature perturbation **negligible** during inflation
 - Just need $|\dot{H}/H^2| \ll 1$, inflation model **irrelevant**
- Curvaton field σ **light** during inflation, value $\sigma_*(\mathbf{x}, t)$
 - Near-gaussian perturbation $\delta\sigma_*$, spectrum $(H_*/2\pi)^2$
- Curvaton oscillates when $H \sim m_\sigma$ with amplitude $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*(\mathbf{x}))$
 - Curvature perturbation still negligible
- But $\rho_\sigma(\mathbf{x}, t)/\rho_{\text{rad}}(t) \propto \tilde{a}(\mathbf{x}, t)$
 - Curvature perturbation **grows** to observed value before curvaton decays
- Curvaton model is not an epicycle!
 - Candidate sRH $_\nu$ **discovered serendipitously**

Prediction of curvaton model

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial \sigma^2} \delta \sigma^2$$

Prediction of curvaton model

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial \sigma^2} \delta \sigma^2$$

- Allow evolution of curvaton $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$
 - At decay $\rho_\sigma/\rho \equiv \Omega_\sigma$

Prediction of curvaton model

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial \sigma^2} \delta \sigma^2$$

- Allow evolution of curvaton $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$
 - At decay $\rho_\sigma/\rho \equiv \Omega_\sigma$

$$\frac{3}{5} f_{\text{NL}} = \frac{3}{4\Omega_\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_{\text{os}} \sigma''_{\text{os}}}{(\sigma'_{\text{os}})^2} \right) - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_\sigma$$

Prediction of curvaton model

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial \sigma^2} \delta \sigma^2$$

- Allow evolution of curvaton $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$
 - At decay $\rho_\sigma/\rho \equiv \Omega_\sigma$

$$\frac{3}{5} f_{\text{NL}} = \frac{3}{4\Omega_\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_{\text{os}} \sigma''_{\text{os}}}{(\sigma'_{\text{os}})^2} \right) - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_\sigma$$

- Instead of δN , can use cosmological perturbation theory

DHL/Ungarelli/Wands 02; Bartolo/Mataresse/Riotto 03

Prediction of curvaton model

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial \sigma^2} \delta \sigma^2$$

- Allow evolution of curvaton $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$
 - At decay $\rho_\sigma/\rho \equiv \Omega_\sigma$

$$\frac{3}{5} f_{\text{NL}} = \frac{3}{4\Omega_\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_{\text{os}} \sigma''_{\text{os}}}{(\sigma'_{\text{os}})^2} \right) - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_\sigma$$

- Instead of δN , can use cosmological perturbation theory

DHL/Ungarelli/Wands 02; Bartolo/Mataresse/Riotto 03

- If $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$ linear and $\Omega_\sigma \ll 1$ need $\Omega_\sigma \gtrsim 10^{-2}$

Prediction of curvaton model

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial \sigma^2} \delta \sigma^2$$

- Allow evolution of curvaton $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$
 - At decay $\rho_\sigma/\rho \equiv \Omega_\sigma$

$$\frac{3}{5} f_{\text{NL}} = \frac{3}{4\Omega_\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_{\text{os}} \sigma''_{\text{os}}}{(\sigma'_{\text{os}})^2} \right) - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_\sigma$$

- Instead of δN , can use cosmological perturbation theory

DHL/Ungarelli/Wands 02; Bartolo/Mataresse/Riotto 03

- If $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$ linear and $\Omega_\sigma \ll 1$ need $\Omega_\sigma \gtrsim 10^{-2}$
- If $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$ linear and $\Omega_\sigma \simeq 1$ then $f_{\text{NL}} = -\frac{4}{5}$

Prediction of curvaton model

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial \sigma^2} \delta \sigma^2$$

- Allow evolution of curvaton $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$
 - At decay $\rho_\sigma/\rho \equiv \Omega_\sigma$

$$\frac{3}{5} f_{\text{NL}} = \frac{3}{4\Omega_\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_{\text{os}} \sigma''_{\text{os}}}{(\sigma'_{\text{os}})^2} \right) - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_\sigma$$

- Instead of δN , can use cosmological perturbation theory

DHL/Ungarelli/Wands 02; Bartolo/Mataresse/Riotto 03

- If $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$ linear and $\Omega_\sigma \ll 1$ need $\Omega_\sigma \gtrsim 10^{-2}$
- If $\sigma_{\text{os}}(\sigma_*)$ linear and $\Omega_\sigma \simeq 1$ then $f_{\text{NL}} = -\frac{4}{5}$
- need to know unperturbed ϕ_* within the chosen box

My main messages

- User-friendly formula for primordial non-gaussianity

My main messages

- User-friendly formula for primordial non-gaussianity
- Prediction for ζ depends on;
- (i) mean values of light scalar fields in observable Universe
(except standard paradigm)
 - making anthropic discussion mandatory

My main messages

- User-friendly formula for primordial non-gaussianity
- Prediction for ζ depends on;
 - (i) mean values of light scalar fields in observable Universe (except standard paradigm)
 - making anthropic discussion mandatory
 - (ii) box size matters, at least in principle
 - endless calculations suggest themselves

My main messages

- User-friendly formula for primordial non-gaussianity
- Prediction for ζ depends on;
 - (i) mean values of light scalar fields in observable Universe (except standard paradigm)
 - making anthropic discussion mandatory
 - (ii) box size matters, at least in principle
 - endless calculations suggest themselves
- Observers; go for $|f_{\text{NL}}| < 1$ (using 21 cm anisotropy)?
 - go for n' too, but forget r if $r < 10^{-2}$