TeV Scale B-L extension of the Standard Model

Shaaban Khalil

Center for theoretical Physics British University in Egypt

Outline

- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ **Introduction**
- $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ \blacksquare U(1) $_{\sf B\text{-}L}$ Symmetry Breaking & Higgs Mechanism.
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Neutrino masses and mixing.
- T. Z'_{B-L} Phenomenology at LHC.
- \mathbb{R}^3 \blacksquare $\sf U(1)_{B\text{-}L}$ Higgs Phenomenology at LHC.
- $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ Right-handed neutrino signature at LHC.
- **The Co** Leptogenesis.
- T. **Conclusions**

Introduction

The SM, based on the gauge symmetry SU(3)_c x SU(2)_L x U(1)_Y , is in excellent agreement with experimental results.

Three firm observational evidences of new physics beyond the Standard Model :

- 1. Neutrino Masses.
- 2. Dark Matter.
- 3. Baryon Asymmetry.

These three problems **may be solved by introducing right-handed neutrinos.**

TeV Scale B-L

- Q. The tremendous success of gauge symmetry in describing the SM indicates that any extension of the SM should be through the extension of its gauge symmetry.
- **The minimal extension is based on the gauge group**

 G_{B-L} ≡ $SU(3)_C$ × $SU(2)_L$ × $U(1)_Y$ × $U(1)_{B-L}$

- \bullet **This model accounts for the exp. results of the light neutrino masses**
- **New particles are predicted:** \bullet
	- − Three SM singlet fermions (right handed neutrinos) (cancellation of gauge anomalies)
	- − Extra gauge boson corresponding to B−L gauge symmetry
	- − Extra SM singlet scalar (heavy Higgs)
- **These new particles have Interesting signatures at the LHC CO**

$\mathbf{U(1)}_\textrm{B-L}$ Model

- \blacksquare \cdot Under U(1)_{B−L} we demand: $\psi_L^- \to e^{tL_{B-L}\theta(x)}\psi_L$, $\psi_R^- \to e^{tL_{B-L}\theta(x)}\psi_R$, (x) *R* iY_{P} , $\theta(x)$ *L R* iY_{P} , $\theta(x)$ *L* $\psi_L \rightarrow e^{iY_{B-L}\theta(x)}\psi_L, \qquad \psi_R \rightarrow e^{iY_{B-L}\theta(x)}\psi$
- \blacksquare **Derivatives are covariant if a new gauge field** *C^μ* **is introduced:**

$$
D_{\mu}\psi_L = (\partial_{\mu} - \frac{ig}{2}W_{\mu}\tau_r - \frac{ig'}{2}YB_{\mu} - \frac{ig''}{2}Y_{B-L}C_{\mu})\psi_L, \qquad D_{\mu}\psi_R = (\partial_{\mu} - \frac{ig'}{2}YB_{\mu} - \frac{ig''}{2}Y_{B-L}C_{\mu})\psi_R
$$

Lagrangian: fermionic and kinetic sectors

 \blacksquare

$$
L_{B-L} = i\bar{l}D_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}l + i\bar{e}_{R}D_{\mu}e_{R} + i\bar{V}_{R}D_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}\nu_{R} - \frac{1}{4}W_{\mu\nu}^{r}W^{r\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}C_{\mu\nu}C^{\mu\nu}
$$

Lagrangian: Higgs and Yukawa sectors

$$
L_{\text{Higgs+Yukawa}} = (D_{\mu}\phi)(D^{\mu}\phi) + (D_{\mu}\chi)(D^{\mu}\chi) - V(\phi,\chi) - (\lambda_{e}\bar{l}\phi e_{R} + \lambda_{v}\bar{l}\tilde{\phi}\nu_{R} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{v_{R}}\bar{v}_{R}^{c}\chi v_{R} + h.c.)
$$

*U(1)*_{B-L} Symmetry Breaking

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ **The** *U(1)B−^L* **gauge symmetry can be spontaneously broken by a SM singlet complex scalar field** *^χ***:**

$$
|\langle \chi \rangle| = \nu'/\sqrt{2}
$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ **The** *SU(2)_L×U(1)_Y gauge symmetry is broken by a complex <i>SU(2)* **doublet of scalar field φ:**

$$
\left|\left\langle \phi\right\rangle \right| = \nu/\sqrt{2}
$$

 $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ **Most general Higgs potential:**

 $V(\phi, \chi) = m_1^2 \phi^+ \phi + m_2^2 \chi^+ \chi + \lambda_1 (\phi^+ \phi)^2 + \lambda_2 (\chi^+ \chi)^2 + \lambda_3 (\phi^+ \phi) (\chi^+ \chi)$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ **For** $V(\varphi, \chi)$ **bounded from below, we require:**

$$
\lambda_3 > -2\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \quad \lambda_2, \lambda_1 \ge 0
$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ **For non-zero local minimum, we require**

 1^{\prime} 2^{\prime} $\lambda_3^2 < 4 \lambda_1 \lambda_2$

$\mathbf{U(1)}_{B\text{-}L}$ Symmetry Breaking (Cont.)

П **Non-zero minimum:**

• Interesting scale:
$$
0 > \lambda_3 > -2\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}
$$

П **After the** *B−L* **gauge symmetry breaking, the gauge field** *C^μ* **acquires mass:**

$$
M_{z'}^2 = 4g''^2v'^2
$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ **Strongest Limit comes from LEP II:** $O(TeV)$, $g'' \approx O(1) \Rightarrow v' > O(TeV)$ *g* $\frac{M_{z'}}{M} \approx O(TeV), \; g'' \approx O(1) \; \Rightarrow v' >$ ′ **g**

B-L symmetry breaking scale.

The scale of B- L symmetry breaking is unknown, ranging from TeV to much higher scales (GUT or Planck NP).

In SUSY, the electroweak and SUSY breaking scale are nicely correlated through the mechanism of radiative breaking of the EW symmetry.

• Radiative corrections may drive the squared Higgs mass from positive initial values at the GUT scale to negative values at the EW scale.

The size of the Higgs VEV responsible for the EW breaking is determined by the size of the top Yukawa coupling and of the soft SUSY breaking terms.

Analogously, in ^a SUSY see-saw scheme it is possible to radiatively induce the breaking of B−L having the scale of such breaking directly linked to the soft SUSY breaking scale.

SUSY and B-L radiative symmetry breaking. S.K., A. Masiero, 2007

 $W = (h_U)_{ij} Q_i H_2 U_i^c + (h_D)_{ij} Q_i H_1 D_i^c + (h_L)_{ij} L_i H_1 E_i^c + (h_\nu)_{ij} L_i H_2 N_i^c$ + $(h_N)_{ij} N_i^c N_j^c \chi_1 + \mu H_1 H_2 + \mu' \chi_1 \chi_2.$

$$
\frac{dm_{\chi_1}^2}{dt} = 6\tilde{\alpha}_{B-L}M_{B-L}^2 - 2\tilde{Y}_{N_3}\left(m_{\chi_1}^2 + 2m_{N_3}^2 + A_{N_3}^2\right),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dm_{N_3}^2}{dt} = \frac{3}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_{B-L}M_{B-L}^2 - \tilde{Y}_{N_3}\left(m_{\chi_1}^2 + 2m_{N_3}^2 + A_{N_3}^2\right).
$$
\n500

$$
\mu'^{2} = \frac{m_{\chi_2}^{2} - m_{\chi_1}^{2} \tan^{2} \theta}{\tan^{2} \theta - 1} - \frac{1}{4} M_{Z_{B-L}}^{2}
$$

$$
\sin 2\theta = \frac{2\mu_{3}^{2}}{\mu_{1}^{2} + \mu_{2}^{2}}.
$$

TeV scale B-L from GUT

The State $\mathbf{G_{B_{L}}}$ can be obtained from SO(10) in the following branching rule:

> **SO(10) flAT scale ~ GUT though** (1,1,1) in 54_H or 210_{H.} **SU(4) C x SU(2) L x SU(2) RflBelow GUT scale (15,1,3) Higgs** $\mathbf{SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{B-L}}$ **flAt** TeV scale via

> (1.1.0.2) Higgs $\mathbf{SU(3)}_{\mathsf{C}}$ x $\mathbf{SU(2)}_{\mathsf{L}}$ x $\mathsf{U(1)}_{\mathsf{Y}}$ **(1,1,0,2) Higgs**

TeV scale B-L through SU(5)

Another way to get (modified) G_{B-L} is to have the following breaking of SO(10) :

> **SO(10) flAT scale ~ GUT though 1 in 45 HSU(5)** \times U(1)_{$_v$}</sub> **fl** $\mathsf{U(1)}_{\chi}$ = 5 U(1)_{B-L} + 4 U(1)_Y $\mathbf{SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_X}$ **flBelow GUT scale (1,1) in 24 H** $\mathbf{SU(3)}_{\mathsf{C}}$ x $\mathbf{SU(2)}_{\mathsf{L}}$ x $\mathsf{U(1)}_{\mathsf{Y}}$ **At TeV scale via (1,1,0,2) Higgs**

Neutrino masses and mixing

 \mathbf{r} **Left and right-handed neutrino form 2x2 mass matrix:**

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & m D \\ m D & M R \end{array}\right)
$$

M **M j (***U(1)* **t b ki)** *MR* **ajorana mass** *B−L* **symme try breaking)**

$$
M_R = \lambda_{v_R} v' \qquad v' \sim O(TeV), \ \lambda_{v_R} \sim O(1) \Rightarrow M_R \approx O(TeV)
$$

m D **Dirac mass (Electroweak symmetry breaking)**

$$
m_D = h_v v
$$

 $h_v \sim O(1) \Rightarrow m_D \approx O(100)$ GeV, $h_v \sim h_e \Rightarrow m_D \approx O(10^{-4})$ GeV $D_v \sim U(1) \Rightarrow m_D \approx U(100)$ GeV, $h_v \sim h_e \Rightarrow m_D \approx$

Neutrino Masses (Cont.)

 \Box **we adopt the basis where the charged lepton mass matrix and the Majorana mass matrix** *MR* **are both diagonal.**

$$
M_R = M_{R_3} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} r_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right),
$$

Where

$$
M_{R_3} = |\lambda_{\nu_{R_3}}| \frac{v'}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

And

$$
r_{1,2} = \frac{M_{R_{1,2}}}{M_{R_3}} = \left| \frac{\lambda_{\nu_{R_{1,2}}}}{\lambda_{\nu_{R_3}}} \right|.
$$

 M_R is parameterized by 3 parameters (v' ~ TeV,) & m_D is given by 9 **parameters.**

 $\mathcal{U}(1)_{\mathsf{B}\text{-}L}$ can not impose any constraint to reduce the number of these **parame ters**

Neutrino Masses (Cont.)

The solar & atmospheric neutrino oscillation experiments imply at the 3*¾* **level:**

$$
\Delta m_{12}^2 = (7.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2,
$$

\n
$$
|\Delta m_{32}^2| = (2.4 + 0.3) \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2,
$$

\n
$$
\theta_{12} = 33.9^\circ \pm 1.6^\circ,
$$

\n
$$
\theta_{23} = 45^\circ,
$$

\n
$$
\sin^2 \theta_{13} \leq 0.048.
$$

An interesting parameterization for the m D is:

In order to fix the angles of R, one needs a favor symmetry.

Neutrino Masses (Cont.)

From the measured values of the quark and lepton masses:

$$
\frac{m_u}{m_c} \sim \frac{m_e}{m_\mu} \sim O(10^{-3}), \qquad \frac{m_c}{m_t} \sim \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_\tau^2} \sim O(10^{-3})
$$

In the event of a favor symmetry that explains these ratios, the down quark and neutrino sectors may also be subjected to this symmetry.

If the scale of this favor symmetry breaking (v_F) is below seesaw scale

$$
\frac{m_d}{m_s} \sim \frac{m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_2}} \sim O(10^{-2}) \qquad \qquad \frac{m_s}{m_b} \sim \frac{m_{\nu_2}^2}{m_{\nu_3}^2} \sim O(10^{-2}).
$$

If the scale of the favor symmetry breaking is above the seesaw mechanism scale

$$
\frac{m_d}{m_s} \sim \frac{m_{D_1}}{m_{D_2}} \sim O(10^{-2}) \qquad \qquad \frac{m_s}{m_b} \sim \frac{m_{D_2}^2}{m_{D_3}^2} \sim O(10^{-2})
$$

In case v F <v'

$$
m_{\nu} \simeq 0.05 \; eV \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 10^{-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.16 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)
$$

Consistent with the hierarchalansatz with m_{ν_1} ~10⁻⁴

 Ω

The Dirac neutrino mass matrix (for $r_{\rm_1}{\sim}$ r_{\rm_2} \sim 0.1, $M_{\rm R3}$ = 5 TeV, and order one **angles/phases of** *R***-matrix) is given by:**

 \sim

$$
m_D \simeq 10^{-3} \begin{pmatrix} 0.16 + 0.23 \ i & -0.25 + 0.16 \ i & -0.19 - 0.26 \ i \\ -0.22 - 0.34 \ i & 0.37 - 0.24 \ i & 0.30 + 0.38 \ i \\ -0.14 + 0.47 \ i & -0.53 - 0.15 \ i & 0.16 - 0.68 \ i \end{pmatrix}
$$

$\rm Z_{B\text{-}L}$ Decay

 \blacktriangleright **The interactions of the** *Z′* **boson with the SM fermions are described by**

- \blacktriangleright > Branching ratios of Z' → $#$ are relatively high compared to $Z' \rightarrow qq$.
- \blacktriangleright Search for Z' at LHC via dilepton channels are accessible at LHC.

 $Z' \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ BR = 10% $Z' \to l^{+}l^{-}$ BR = 30%

$\rm Z_{B\text{-}L}$ Discovery at LHC

Emam, Mine, 2008

In case of g" ~ O(0.1) then M_{ZB-L} ~ O(600) GeV

In LHC, the neutral gauge boson Z_{B-1} can be **produced through** $q \ q \ \rightarrow \ \ L_{\scriptscriptstyle B-L}$

The SM background for this production consists mostly of the Drell-Yan process

$$
q\overline{q} \to \gamma/Z^0 \to e^+e^-
$$

Therefore, one expects a clear peak at M_{ZB-L} **boson in the Me+e- distribution**

ZB −^L boson could be discovered in the e+e− decay channel in the mass region 800<MZ < 1000 GeV with i t t d l i it f 10 fb−¹ an integrated luminosity of 1.

Higgs Sector

- **One complex** *SU(2)L* **doublet and one complex scalar singlet**
	- **Six scalar degrees of freedom**
	- **Four are eaten by** *C,Z0,W±* **after symmetry breaking**
	- **Two physical degrees of freedom:** *φ, χ*

$$
- \text{Mass matrix:} \qquad \frac{1}{2} M^2(\phi, \chi) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 v^2 & \lambda_3 v v'/2 \\ \lambda_3 v v'/2 & \lambda_2 v'^2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Mass eigenstates:

 \blacksquare

ш

 \blacksquare

 \mathbf{r}^{\prime}

ш

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tan 2\theta = \frac{|\lambda_3|vv'}{|\lambda_1v|^2 - |\lambda_2v'|^2}
$$

- **Masses:** $m_{\nu}^2 = \lambda v^2 + \lambda v'^2 = ((\lambda v^2 \lambda v'^2)^2 + \lambda^2 v^2 v'^2)$ 3 $2\!\times\!2$ 2 \mathcal{V}^2 $2v^{\prime 2}$ \mathbf{v}^2 $m_{1,2}^2 = \lambda_1 v^2 + \lambda_2 v^{\prime 2} \mp \sqrt{(\lambda_1 v^2 - \lambda_2 v^{\prime 2})^2 + \lambda_3^2 v^2 v^{\prime}}$
- Mixing is controlled by $\bm{\lambda}_3$: $\quad \lambda_3 = 0 \rightarrow m_\phi = \sqrt{\lambda_1} \nu, \;\; m_\psi = \sqrt{\lambda_2} \nu'$

Higgs Sector (Cont.)

Service Service Couplings to fermions and gauge bosons

Higgs Sector (Cont.)

$\overline{}$ **Self-couplings**

$$
\phi_{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\phi_{1}}^{\phi_{1}} \frac{g_{\phi_{1}^3} = 6i(\lambda_1 v \cos^3 \theta - \lambda_3 v' \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta / 2)}{\phi_{1}^3} \frac{g_{\phi_{1}^4} = 6i\lambda_1 \cos^4 \theta}{\phi_{1}^3} \n\phi_{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\phi_{1}}^{\phi_{2}} \frac{g_{\phi_{2}^3} = 6i(\lambda_2 v' \cos^3 \theta + \lambda_3 v \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta / 2)}{\phi_{2}^3} \frac{g_{\phi_{2}^4} = 6i\lambda_2 \cos^4 \theta}{\phi_{2}^3} \n\phi_{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\phi_{1}}^{\phi_{2}} \frac{g_{\phi_{1}^2 \phi_{2}^2} = 2i(\lambda_3 v \cos^3 \theta / 2 + \lambda_3 v' \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta - 3\lambda_2 v' \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta)}{\phi_{2}^3} \n\phi_{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\phi_{1}}^{\phi_{1}} \frac{g_{\phi_{1}^2 \phi_{2}^1}}{g_{\phi_{1}^2 \phi_{2}^2}} = 2i(\lambda_3 v' \cos^3 \theta / 2 - \lambda_3 v \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta + 3\lambda_1 v \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta) \n\phi_{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\phi_{1}}^{\phi_{1}} \frac{g_{\phi_{1}^2 \phi_{2}^2}}{g_{\phi_{1}^2 \phi_{2}^2}} = i\lambda_3 \cos^4 \theta
$$

 \blacksquare

 m_H (GeV)

Signatures for $\boldsymbol{\nu}_\text{R}$ at the LHC

$$
\mathcal{L}_{int.} \sim -g'' C_{\mu} [(\overline{\nu_R})_i \gamma^{\mu} (\nu_R)_i + b_{ij} \overline{(\nu_L)^c}_i \gamma^{\mu} (\nu_R)_j + h.c.]
$$

+
$$
\frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} [W_{\mu}^- l_i^+ \gamma^{\mu} U_{ij} (\nu_L)_j + b_{ij} W_{\mu}^- l_i^+ \gamma^{\mu} (\nu_R)^c_j + h.c.],
$$

These decays are very clean with four hard leptons in the final states and large missing energy due to the associated neutrinos.

The transverse momentum distribution for the charged leptons and the missing transverse momentum, for the $4l$ $\textnormal{H\!E}_T$ signal at the LHC for M_N = 200 GeV and **M ⁼ 400 GeV N GeV.**

Integrated luminosity \sim 300 fb⁻¹ gives 71 events for the right handed neutrino mass of 200 GeV while it gives 46 events for the right handed neutrino mass of **400 G VeV.**

Leptogenesis in B-L Extension

The recent observations lead to the following baryon asymmetry in the Universe

$$
Y_B = \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{s} = \frac{n_B}{s} = (6.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-10},
$$

□ This asymmetry may be originate through the CP violating decay of the heavy **right-handed neutrino is an interesting mechanism known as Leptogenesis**

$$
\nu_{R_i}\,\rightarrow\,\phi\,+\,l_\alpha
$$

 \square The lepton asymmetry is usually dominated by $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\sf R1}$

$$
\varepsilon_{1} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha} \left(\left| A(\nu_{R_{1}} \to \phi \, l_{\alpha}) \right|^{2} - \left| A(\nu_{R_{1}} \to \bar{\phi} \, \bar{l}_{\alpha}) \right|^{2} \right)}{\sum_{\alpha} \left(\left| A(\nu_{R_{1}} \to \phi \, l_{\alpha}) \right|^{2} + \left| A(\nu_{R_{1}} \to \bar{\phi} \, \bar{l}_{\alpha}) \right|^{2} \right)},
$$

SM like right-handed neutrino decay through tree, vertex, and self-energy diagrams

B-L new contributions through diagrams mediated by extra Higgs and extra gauge boson boson.

The tree level contribution is given by

$$
A_0(\nu_{R_1} \to \phi l_\alpha) = -i(\lambda_\nu)_{\alpha 1} (\bar{u}(p) P_R u^c(q)),
$$

The contribution to the decay amplitude from the vertex correction is given by

$$
A_V(\nu_{R_1} \to \phi l_\alpha) = \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \sum_i (\lambda_\nu)_{\alpha i} (\lambda_\nu^\dagger \lambda_\nu)_{1i} (\bar{u}(p) P_R u^c(q)) F_V \left(\frac{M_{R_i}^2}{M_{R_1}^2}\right).
$$

The self-energy diagram leads to the following contribution:

$$
A_S(\nu_{R_1} \to \phi l_\alpha) = \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \sum_i (\lambda_\nu)_{\alpha i} (\lambda_\nu^\dagger \lambda_\nu)_{1i} (\bar{u}(p) P_R u^c(q)) F_S \left(\frac{M_i^2}{M_{R_1}^2} \right),
$$

The extra Higgs contribution is given by

$$
A_{\chi}(\nu_{R_1} \to \phi \, l_{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 M_{R_1}} (\lambda_{\nu_R})_{11} (\lambda_{\nu})_{1\alpha} \, g_{\phi^2 \chi} \, (\bar{u}(p) \, P_R \, u^c(q)) \, F_{\chi} \left(\frac{M_{\chi}^2}{M_{R_1}^2} \right).
$$

Finally, the extra gauge boson contribution is

$$
A_{Z'}(\nu_{R_1} \to \phi l_\alpha) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} g''^2(\lambda_\nu)_{\alpha 1} (\bar{u}(p) P_R u^c(q)) F_{Z'} \left(\frac{M_{z'}^2}{M_{R_1}^2} \right),
$$

Some Remarks:

1- The loop functions ${\sf F}_{\sf V}$ & ${\sf F}_{\sf S}$ are complex while ${\sf F}_{\chi}$ & ${\sf F}_{\sf Z'}$ are real. New Contributions interface with SM one loop amplitudes only, so their impacts are **small.**

$$
\textbf{Im } F_S(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{1-x}.
$$

In the limit of quasi-degenerate right-handed neutrinos, x ~1, a resonant enhancement for the lepton asymmetry is obtained.

3- The coupling $g_{\phi^2\chi}$ **is real since** $g_{\phi^2\chi} = \sqrt{2}v'\lambda_3$. $(\lambda_{\nu_R})_{11} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}M_{R_1}}{N} e^{i\phi_1}.$ **However,**

4- Since the coupling g' is real the Z' contribution can be considered as correcti t th t l l t ib ti tion to the tree level contribution.

If the new contributions are neglected, the lepton asymmetry is given by

$$
\varepsilon_1^{\text{SM}} \simeq \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{1}{(\lambda_\nu^{\dagger} \lambda_\nu)_{11}} \sum_{i=2,3} \text{Im}\{(\lambda_\nu^{\dagger} \lambda_\nu)_{1i}^2\} \left[\text{Im } F_V \left(\frac{M_{R_i}^2}{M_{R_1}^2} \right) + \text{Im } F_S \left(\frac{M_{R_i}^2}{M_{R_1}^2} \right) \right].
$$

¾ **Therefore the necessary condition for Therefore, leptogenesis is**

$$
\mathrm{Im}\left(\lambda_{\nu}^{\dagger}\lambda_{\nu}\right)_{1i}\neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Im}\left(\sqrt{M_R}\;R\;m_{\nu}^{\mathrm{diag}}R^{+}\sqrt{M_R}\right)\neq 0,\;i=2,3.
$$

 \triangleright Due to the unitarity of U_{MNS}, leptogenesis does not depend on low energy phase **appears in the leptonic mixing matrix.**

\triangleright If the matrices R and M_R are real the ϵ_1 =0

¾ **In B-L extension of the SM, the lepton asymmetry is given by**

$$
\varepsilon_{1} \simeq \frac{1}{8\pi(\lambda_{\nu}^{\dagger}\lambda_{\nu})_{11}} \sum_{i=2,3} \Big[\frac{\text{Im}\{(\lambda_{\nu}^{\dagger}\lambda_{\nu})_{1i}^{2}\}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{4\pi^{2}}g''^{2}F_{Z'}\left(\frac{M_{\nu}^{2}}{M_{R_{1}}^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{4\pi^{2}}\lambda_{3} F_{\chi}\left(\frac{M_{\chi}^{2}}{M_{R_{1}}^{2}}\right)\right)}\Big]
$$

$$
\Big[\text{Im}\ F_{V}\left(\frac{M_{R_{i}}^{2}}{M_{R_{1}}^{2}}\right)+\text{Im}\ F_{S}\left(\frac{M_{R_{i}}^{2}}{M_{R_{1}}^{2}}\right)\Big].
$$

Thermal leptogenesis is realized by the out of equilibrium of $v_{\sf R1}$ at **temperature below its mass scale.**

To avoid washing out the asymmetry e1 by inverse decay and scattering processes, the total width of ⁿR1 decay should be smaller than the $\mathsf{exp}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathsf{Var}(\mathbf{x})$ are $\mathsf{Var}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathsf{Var}(\mathbf{x})$. The $\mathsf{Var}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathsf{Var}(\mathbf{x})$ is a $\mathsf{Var}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathsf{Var}(\mathbf{x})$

Summary

- The SM gauge group can be minimally extended by adding *U(1)_{B−}*
- \mathbf{r} *-* G_{B−}*L* contains three right handed neutrinos, extra gauge boson, and extra scalar Higgs.
- à. **Neutrino masses and mixing can be accommodate in this type of models.**
- \mathbf{r} **Search for Z' at LHC via dilepton channels is promising.**
- à. **Extra Higgs phenomenology at LHC has been studied.**
	- **Only production is affected, cross-sections are reduced by about 25- 40** $\%$ at the interesting mass range of $100 \le m_H \le 250$.
	- **Decay Branching ratios are not affected.**
- \mathbf{r} **We explore the signature for a right handed neutrino at the LHC.**
- \mathbf{r} **We computed the new contributions to the CP violating decay of right handed neutrino to Higgs and leptons, due to the extra Higgs and extra gauge boson.**
- \mathbf{r} **Successful baryogenesis can be obtained by resonance leptogenesis.**