NuFlavour Workshop Cosener's House June 8-10, 2009

Summary of the Leptogenesis Section:

Review Talk - Asmaa Abada Discussion - Enrico Nardi

June 10, 2009

Leptogenesis Cosener's House - June 8-10, 2009 - p. 1

#### Leptogenesis:

A class of scenarios where the Universe baryon asymmetry  $(Y_{\Delta B})$  is produced from a lepton asymmetry  $(Y_{\Delta L})$  generated in the decays of the heavy singlet *seesaw* Majorana neutrinos. Successful leptogenesis must be able to explain:

$$Y_{\Delta B} \equiv \frac{n_B - \bar{n}_B}{s} = (8.75 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-11}$$

[WMAP 5yrs, BAO, SN-IA] [BBN: Light Elements Aboundances]

## Asmaa review talk:

- Observations : Neutrino masses and BAU
- Little Historical review
- Motivation, Requirements (Sakharov conditions:
   1. B & L; 2. C&CP; 3. Deviations from thermal equilibrium).
- Connection with the Seesaw mechanism, and different types of Seesaw (Type I, II and III)

#### **Basic Leptogenesis Mechanism (Seesaw type I):**

- The One Flavour Approximation: Constraints
  - If light  $\nu$  are degenerate  $\epsilon = 0$
  - If light ν are hierarchical, upper limit on the CP asymmetry:
    Davidson Ibarra limit:  $\epsilon ≤ \frac{3M_1}{16\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}m_{atm}^2}{v^2}$
- Leptogenesis with flavours:
  - Additional sources of CP violation
  - Can have successful leptogenesis in the case of degenerate light neutrinos
  - Flavours open a wider range for the washout parameter  $\tilde{m}_1$  (extremely small values allowed)
  - There is NO BOUND on absolute scale of light neutrinos from the requirement of successful leptogenesis!
- Leptogenesis with heavy flavours  $N_2$  and  $N_3$ :
  - $\bullet$  N<sub>1</sub> decoupled regime
  - $\bullet$  N<sub>1</sub> strongly coupled regime

#### SUSY Leptogenesis, beyond type 1 seesaw and beyond the seesaw

#### • SUSY Leptogenesis

The SUSY seesaw model and supersymmetric leptogenesis
 Alternative mechanisms: Soft Leptogenesis (TeV Scale)
 Alternative mechanisms: Affleck-Dine

- Different types of Seesaw:
  - **Type I seesaw (standard:**  $SU(2)_L$  singlets Majorana neutrinos)
  - **Type II seesaw (** $SU(2)_L$  triplet + singlet)
  - Type III seesaw (fermion triplet)
- Dirac Leptogenesis
  - Leptogenesis without lepton number violation

# DISCUSSION

#### 1. Under what conditions low & high energy CP can be connected?

In leptogenesis  $Y_{\Delta B}$  and low energy CP phases are generally unrelated

[G.C.Branco& al. NPB617,(2001); S.Davidson, J.Garayoa, F.Palorini, N.Rius PRL99,2007; JHEP0809,2008.]

In most cases, to enforce a relation one needs to impose rather unnatural and/or *ad hoc* conditions

Casas-Ibarra parameterization for the N Yukawa couplings [NPB618 (2001)]

Example -

$$\lambda_{\alpha K} = \frac{1}{v} \left[ U^{\dagger} \sqrt{m_{\nu}} \cdot R \sqrt{M_N} \right]_{\alpha K}; \qquad R = \frac{v}{\sqrt{m_{\nu}}} \cdot U^T \cdot \lambda \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_N}}$$

Assuming that R is real EN,Nir,Roulet,Racker,JHEP0601,2006

1:  $[\epsilon = 0, \text{ but } \epsilon_{\alpha} \neq 0, \text{ and thus } Y_{\Delta B} \neq 0]$ 2:  $\epsilon_{\alpha}$  depends only on the  $\nu$ -mix-matrix U, and a complete relation is established.

However, there is no simple way to enforce a real R !

2. If  $\mathbb{CP}_L \& \mathbb{L}$  are observed, is it obvious to believe in leptogenesis?

### Prove vs. Disprove vs. Circumstantial Evidences for LG

- Experimental detection of  $0\nu 2\beta$  decays and/or  $CP_L$  in the lepton sector will strengthen the case for leptogenesis but will not prove it.
- If a quasi degenerate or IH  $\nu$ -spectrum is established, failure of revealing  $0\nu 2\beta$ -decays will disfavor LG. (In the DH case no  $0\nu 2\beta$  signal is expected.)
- Failure of revealing CP<sub>L</sub> will not disprove LG.
   (However, if a sizeable θ<sub>13</sub> ≠ 0 is established, this would pose some questions....)
- Observation of low energy CP<sub>L</sub> will not result in any quantitative direct connection with the LG CP asymmetries
   (but will certainly strengthen the case for LG).
- Finally, LHC + EDM experiments will be able to establish or falsify EWB. This will indirectly determine the relevance of future LG studies.

## 3. Can one get additional informations in the context of LFV?

Can one get additional informations in the context of flavor symmetries?

- Neutrinos: The hierarchy is milder than for charged fermions (the spectrum could be quasi-degenerate)
- Two mixing angles are large and one maybe maximal.
- Are these hints for a non-Abelian flavor symmetry in the  $\nu$  sector?

Non-Abelian flavor symmetry

Large reduction in the number of parameters (seesaw) V New connections between LE observables and HE quantities V Yes. In the context of flavor symmetries more information can be available