Theory for non-accelerator particle physics





University of Oxford

PPAP meeting, University of Birmingham, 15 July 2009

... significant overlap in physics priorities with the **Particle Astrophysics Panel (PAAP)**

e.g. the following were the key questions addressed by **ApPEC**, the **Astroparticle Physics European Coordination** committee

What is the universe made of?

Do protons last for ever?

What are the properties of neutrinos ... and their role in cosmic evolution? What can neutrinos tell us about the interior of the Sun and Earth, and about supernova explosions?

What is the origin of cosmic rays? How does the sky look at extreme energies?

What is the nature of gravity? Can we detect gravitational waves? What can they tell us about violent cosmic processes?

Since neutrino physics has been discussed already, I will focus on dark matter & nucleon decay







courtesey: Stavros Katsanevas

What are the fundamental conservation laws in Nature?

"One is tempted to conclude that the only fundamental conservation laws left in physics are the gauge symmetries: Lorentz invariance and $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. But then what about baryon and lepton conservation? They appear to be exact and unbroken, but they are surely not exact unbroken gauge symmetries, because we do not see the effects of a long-range vector field coupled to baryon or lepton number. The peculiar position of baryon and lepton conservation in today's physics suggests that baryon and lepton conservation may go the way of the other non-gauge symmetries, and turn out to be only approximate consequences of the gauge symmetries and renormalizability."

P	Violated by weak interactions (1956)
СР	Violated by weak interactions (1956)
С	Violated by weak interactions (1964)
T	Violated by weak interactions (1999)
L	$0 \nu \beta \beta$ decay?
B	Proton decay?
(<i>R</i> -parity	?)

The Standard $SU(3)_{c} \ge SU(2)_{L} \ge U(1)_{V}$ Model as an *effective* field theory \dots exact up to some high energy 'cut-off' scale M

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = M^{4} + M^{2} \Phi^{2} \qquad \text{super-renormalisable} \\ + (D\Phi)^{2} + \bar{\Psi} D\Psi + F^{2} + \bar{\Psi}\Psi\Phi + \Phi^{2} \qquad \text{renormalisable} \\ + \underbrace{\bar{\Psi}\Psi\Phi\Phi}_{M} + \underbrace{\bar{\Psi}\bar{\Psi}\bar{\Psi}\Psi}_{Neutrino\ mass} + \dots \qquad \text{non-renormalisable} \\ + \underbrace{M}_{Neutrino\ mass} + \underbrace{Proton\ decay} + \dots \qquad \text{non-renormalisable}$$

New physics beyond the SM (which can violate lepton and baryon #) \rightarrow non-renormalisable operators which are *suppressed* by powers of M Naturally explains why neutrino mass, proton decay, ... are suppressed But as M is raised, the effects of the super-renormalisable operators are exacerbated! Solution for Higgs mass divergence \rightarrow 'softly broken' supersymmetry at $M \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$ However supersymmetry violates $W = udd + QdL + LLe + Lh_u$ *B* & *L* at the renormalisable level:

So the proton will decay too rapidly unless *R*-parity \rightarrow (-1)^{3B+L+2S} is conserved $u = u^{a} = u$ *R***-parity** \rightarrow (-1)^{3B+L+2S} is conserved





If there are indeed supersymmetric partners of all known particles with masses ~ Fermi scale, radiative corrections can naturally trigger $SU(2)_L \ge U(1)_Y$ breaking *and* enable unification of gauge couplings



The predicted strong coupling at the weak scale matches experiment to within 1%!



Predictions for the proton lifetime in various unified theories

The lightest supersymmetric particle is typically neutral and stable through conservation of *R*-parity, thus a candidate for dark matter

Its cosmological (thermal) relic abundance is *naturally* of the required order



But is *R*-parity really conserved? (matter-parity suffices to prevent nucleon decay!)



However LSPs are *not* the only candidate for dark matter

Mass scale	Particle	Symmetry/	Stability	Production	Abundance
		Quantum #			
۸ _{QCD}	Nucleons	Baryon number	τ> 10 ³³ yr (dim-6 OK)	'freeze-out' from thermal equilibrium	$\Omega_{\rm B} \sim 10^{-10}$ cf. observed $\Omega_{\rm B} \sim 0.05$
∧ _{Fermi} ~ G _F ^{-1/2}	Neutralino? Technibaryon?	R-parity? (walking)	violated? T~ 10 ¹⁸ yr	'freeze-out' from thermal equilibrium Asymmetric (like the	$\Omega_{\rm LSP} \sim 0.3$ $\Omega_{\rm TB} \sim 0.3$
		Technicolour	e ⁺ excess?!	<i>observed</i> baryons)	
$\Lambda_{hidden \ sector}$ ~ $(\Lambda_{E}M_{P})^{1/2}$	Crypton? (hidden valley, sequestered)	Discrete (<i>very</i> model- dependent)	T> 10 ¹⁸ yr	Varying gravitational field during inflation	$\Omega_{\rm X} \sim 0.3?$
	Neutrinos	Lepton number	Stable _.	Thermal (like CMB)	Ω _v > 0.003
$\mathbf{M}_{ ext{string}}$	Kaluza-Klein states?	? Poccoi	?	?	?
\mathbf{M}_{Planck}	Axions	Quinn	stable	Field oscillations	$\Omega_{a} \gg 1!$

No definite indication from theory ... must decide by experiment!

Summary

Non-accelerator particle physics is *exciting* science ... probing *fundamental* conservation laws (*B*, *L*) and the nature of the dark matter in the universe

The theory is necessarily speculative, being beyond the Standard Model, but there are reasonable expectations for discoveries with the ambitious experiments being planned

The UK has expertise in key areas – both in experiment (*CRESST, DRIFT, NaIAD, ZEPLIN*) and in underground infrastructure (Boulby), and is set to play a *lead role* in the next-generation dark matter experiments (e.g. *EURECA*)

Possible involvement in megaton detectors for proton decay and SN neutrinos (also LBL expts?) needs to be discussed