



The Large Hadron Collider

Philippe Lebrun CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

65th Scottish Universities Summer School in Physics St Andrews, 16-29 August 2009



Contents



- The LHC in a nutshell
- Performance
 - Energy
 - Luminosity
 - Collective effects
 - Dynamic aperture
- Technology
 - Superconducting magnets
 - Powering and protection
 - Cryogenics
 - Vacuum
- Project management



The largest scientific instrument in the world





Colliding counter-rotating beams of hadrons









A new territory in energy and luminosity

65





Advanced technology at work

23 km of superconducting magnets cooled in superfluid helium at 1.9 K







A global project serving the world community of particle physicists







Making best use of CERN's infrastructure





▶ p (proton) ▶ ion ▶ neutrons ▶ p (antiproton) → → proton/antiproton conversion ▶ neutrinos ▶ electron

LHC Large Hadron Collider SPS Super Proton Synchrotron PS Proton Synchrotron

AD Antiproton Decelerator CTF3 Clic Test Facility CNGS Cern Neutrinos to Gran Sasso ISOLDE Isotope Separator OnLine DEvice LEIR Low Energy Ion Ring LINAC LINear ACcelerator n-ToF Neutrons Time Of Flight



Overall layout of LHC and its detectors







Main parameters of LHC (p-p)



•	Circumference	26.7	km
•	Beam energy at collision	7	TeV
•	Beam energy at injection	0.45	TeV
•	Dipole field at 7 TeV	8.33	Т
•	Luminosity	10 ³⁴	cm ⁻² .s ⁻¹
•	Beam current	0.58	A
•	Protons per bunch	1.15 x 10 ¹¹	
•	Number of bunches	2808	
•	Nominal bunch spacing	24.95	ns
•	Normalized emittance	3.75	μm.rad
•	Total crossing angle	285	μrad
•	Energy loss per turn	6.7	keV
•	Critical synchrotron energy	44.1	eV
•	Radiated power per beam	3.6	kW
•	Stored energy per beam	362	MJ
•	Stored energy in magnets	11	GJ
•	Operating temperature	1.9	K



Contents



- The LHC in a nutshell
- Performance
 - Energy
 - Luminosity
 - Collective effects
 - Dynamic aperture
- Technology
 - Superconducting magnets
 - Powering and protection
 - Cryogenics
 - Vacuum
- Project management



The first circular accelerator Lawrence and Livingston's 80 keV cyclotron (1930)





Ernest O. Lawrence





sustained exponential development for more than 70 years

 progress achieved through repeated jumps from saturating to emerging technologies

• superconductivity, key technology of high-energy machines since the 1980s

The history of accelerators





Beam energy and bending field



The LHC needs a field of 8.33 T to bend 7 TeV beams along the curvature of the tunnel, with a radius of 2804 m











Investigations into the properties of substances at low temperatures, which have led, amongst other things, to the preparation of liquid helium

Nobel Lecture, December 11, 1913





First liquefaction of helium (1908)







Leiden « cascade » to produce liquid hydrogen

Helium liquefaction stage





Discovery of superconductivity (1911)



Thus the mercury at 4.2°K has entered a new state, which, owing to its particular electrical properties, can be called the state of superconductivity.



First idea of superconducting magnets (H. K. Onnes 1913)



dendum 2.) There is also the question as to whether the absence of Joule heat makes feasible the production of strong magnetic fields using coils without iron, * for a current of very great density can be sent through very fine, closely wound wire spirals. Thus we were successful in sending a current of 0.8 amperes, i.e. of 56 amperes per square millimetre, through a coil, which contained 1,000 turns of a diameter of 1/70 square mm per square centimetre at right angles to the turns.

critical field of superconductors!

after this lecture was given and produced surprising results. In fields below a threshold value (for lead at the boiling point of helium 600 Gauss), which was not reached during the experiment with the small coil mentioned in the text, there is no magnetic resistance at all. In fields above this threshold value a relatively large resistance arises at once, and grows considerably with the field. Thus in an unexpected way a difficulty in the production of intensive magnetic fields with coils without iron faced us. The discovery of the



Vortex lattice of type-II superconductors (1954)





Alexei Abrikosov







Critical field of superconductors







BCS theory of superconductivity (1957)



PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 108, NUMBER 5

DECEMBER 1. 1957

Theory of Superconductivity*

J. BARDEEN, L. N. COOPER,[†] AND J. R. SCHRIEFFER[‡] Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois (Received July 8, 1957)

A theory of superconductivity is presented, based on the fact that the interaction between electrons resulting from virtual one-to-one correspondence with those of the normal phase is obtained by specifying occupation of certain Bloch states and by

exchange of between the energy, $\hbar\omega$. I this attracti Coulomb inte individual-pa formed from in which elec and moment amount pror isotope effect



the energ less than conducting he repulsi described 1 te of a sup nal state co 1 pairs of c n the norn)², consiste et of excit



he theory y ffect in the ific heats a n are in go b for indivi $.5kT_c$ at Tsingle-partie ing wave f ulations of



al pair coninsition and Calculated heir tempernt. There is h decreases s of matrix xcited-state tion expanriven.

John Bardeen

Leon Neil Cooper

John Robert Schrieffer



First « high-field » superconducting magnet



April 14, 1964 3,129,359 J. E. KUNZLER SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET CONFIGURATION Filed Sept. 19, 1960 FIG.1 FIG. 2 0,6 0.4 0.2



Patent filed in 1960 by J. Kunzler, of Bell Laboratories (registered in 1964)

1.5 T reached with magnet wound from molybdenium-rhenium alloy wire



Discovery of Nb-Ti alloys (1961)



PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 123, NUMBER 5

SEPTEMBER 1, 1961

Superconducting Solid Solution Alloys of the Transition Elements

J. K. HULM AND R. D. BLAUGHER

Westinghouse Research Laboratories, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

(Received April 19, 1961)

The solid solution alloys formed by the incomplete *d*-shell metals in groups 4, 5, 6, and 7 have been tested for superconductivity down to 1° K. For alloys formed between neighboring elements in a given

row of the periodic table, two transit proximately equal to 4.7 and 6.4, resp upper maximum is absent. Similar may rows of the periodic table, thus confirm normal density-of-states function, N(0)these peaks lying at about the same coment work. The relationship of T_o to N(0)data are also presented for alloys comp-In this case, the form of the relationship



FIG. 6. Transition temperature versus composition for titaniumniobium alloys prepared by different types of heat treatment.

High-luminosity insertion at the CERN ISR (1979) .

First superconducting magnets routinely operated in an accelerator

The Tevatron at Fermilab, USA (1983)

CER







HERA proton ring at DESY, Germany (1992)







RHIC at Brookhaven National Lab, USA (2000)













Size & cost of CERN hadron colliders





Electrical power consumption



- Superconducting magnets enable to contain electrical power consumption through two independent effects
 - Higher magnetic field \Rightarrow smaller circumference
 - No dissipation \Rightarrow lower power (refrigeration) per unit length

	Normal conducting	Superconducting (LHC)	
Magnetic field	1.8 T (iron saturation)	8.3 T (NbTi critical surface)	
Field geometry	Defined by magnetic circuit	Defined by coils	
Current density in windings	10 A/mm ²	400 A/mm ²	
Electromagnetic forces	20 kN/m	3400 kN/m	
Electrical consumption	10 kW/m	2 kW/m	
	Joule heating	Refrigeration	



Operating temperature of superconductors





- The superconducting state only occurs in a limited domain of temperature, magnetic field and transport current density
- Superconducting magnets produce high field with high current density
- Lowering the temperature enables better usage of the superconductor, by broadening its working range



Critical Current Density (non-Cu), A/mm²

Critical current density of superconductors

65



Applied Field, T



Critical current density of technical superconductors









Contents



- The LHC in a nutshell
- Performance
 - Energy
 - Luminosity
 - Collective effects
 - Dynamic aperiure
- Technology
 - Superconducting magnets
 - Powering and protection
 - Cryogenics
 - Vacuum
- Project management



Event rate and luminosity






Luminosity



limits?



- To maximize luminosity
 - increase bunch number, bunch population
 - reduce emittance, beta function at collision point
 - cross at small angle







• Energy stored in circulating beam

$$E_{beam} = m_0 c^2 \gamma N_b n_b$$

With 2808 bunches of 1.1 10^{11} protons at 7 TeV, $E_{beam} = 362$ MJ, equivalent to 80 kg TNT!



Set by machine circumference



• Particle trajectories in one beam perturbed by e-m field of the other

tune footprint must not cross low-order resonances

- Head-on crossing
 - Excites betatron resonances
 - Generates tune spread -
- Long-range
 - Additional non-linear tune spread
 - Minimum crossing angle > beam divergence at collision point



- Strategy to maximize luminosity
 - Operate at beam-beam limit
 - Maximize number of bunches, bunch population
 - Decrease beta at collision point

$$L = \frac{\xi_{total} \ N_b \ n_b \ f_{rev} \ \gamma}{k \ r_p \ \beta^*}$$



Beam-beam tune footprint

LHC collision, IP1 and IP5 only





fractional horizontal tune



Beam-beam tune footprint Head-on & long-range, 4 interaction points







Layout of high-luminosity collision region







P



High-luminosity insertion Preassembly of inner triplet





High-luminosity insertion Inner triplet installed at LHC point 5

CFR







Luminosity lifetime



- Luminosity will decay with time due to degradation of beam intensity and emittance, by several processes
 - intra-beam scattering, i.e. multiple Coulomb scattering between particles in the same bunch
 - nuclear scattering of particles by residual gas molecules
 - the collisions themselves





Contents



- The LHC in a nutshell
- Performance
 - Energy
 - Luminosity
 - Collective effects
 - Dynamic aperiure
- Technology
 - Superconducting magnets
 - Powering and protection
 - Cryogenics
 - Vacuum
- Project management



In case of resistive wall or change of cross-section, there is an interaction between the (charged) beam and the wall

- \Rightarrow energy dissipation (heating)
- \Rightarrow beam instabilities

This interaction can be described by an impedance $Z(\omega)$

Resistive wall or change of cross-section







Instability driven by the chamber wall











 $Z_T(\omega) \sim \rho r / \omega b^3$ ρ wall electrical resistivity r average machine radius b half-aperture of beam pipe

- Transverse resistive-wall instability
 - dominant in large machines
 - must be compensated by beam feedback, provided growth of instability is slow enough (~ 100 turns)
 - maximize growth time $\tau \sim 1/Z_T(\omega)$ i.e. reduce $Z_T(\omega)$
 - \Rightarrow for a large machine with small aperture, low transverse impedance is achieved through low ρ , i.e. low-temperature wall coated with >0.05 mm copper



Copper-coated beam screens







« Plug-in modules » for electrical continuity of beam pipe





At room temperature

At cryogenic temperature



Synchrotron radiation







Synchrotron radiation



parameter	450 GeV	7 TeV	_
total power / beam	0.066 W	3886 W	large at low T
energy loss per turn	0.11 eV	6.7 keV	
average photon flux per metre and second	$0.4 imes 10^{16}$	6.8×10^{16}	
photon critical energy	0.01 eV	(43.13 eV)	UV, easy to screen
longit. emittance damping time	5.5 yr	12.9 h	
transv. emittance damping time	11 yr	26 h	_







The electron cloud effect









Heat load by electron cloud as a function of SEY







The beam screen



A multi-function component required by beam physics

 Interception of beam-induced heat loads at 5-20 K (supercritical helium)

 Shielding of the 1.9 K cryopumping surface from synchrotron radiation (pumping holes)

High-conductivity copper lining
for low beam impedance

 Low-reflectivity sawtooth surface at equator to reduce photoemission and electron cloud -







Contents



- The LHC in a nutshell
- Performance
 - Energy
 - Luminosity
 - Collective effects
 - Dynamic aperture
- Technology
 - Superconducting magnets
 - Powering and protection
 - Cryogenics
 - Vacuum
- Project management



Field quality in superconducting magnets





• In superconducting magnets, the field quality is determined by the positioning precision of a finite number of conductors and not by the geometry of the iron yoke, so it can never be as good as in conventional "irondominated" magnets

• As a consequence, the « good field » region is substantially smaller than the magnet aperture

• Dynamic aperture = aperture inside which particle orbits are stable

• Dynamic aperture estimated by computer « tracking » of particle orbits around virtual machines with distributed random and systematic imperfections

• Tracking results are used to define maximum systematic and random deviations of each field multipole



Dynamic aperture from tracking simulations

Q

18

16

14

Initial Amplitude [0]



From tracking simulations to real d.a.

Source or Uncertainty	Impact	D.A. in σ
Target for tracking 10 ⁵ turns		12
Finite mesh size	-5%	
Linear Imperfections ^a	-5%	
Amplitude ratio x_i/y_i plane	-5%	
Extrapolation to $4 \ 10^7$ turns	-7%	9.4
Time dependent multipoles	-10%	
Ripple	-10%	7.5
safety margin	-20%	6.0





Schematic layout of one LHC cell (23 periods per arc)



- MQ: Lattice Quadrupole
- MO: Landau Octupole
- MQT: Tuning Quadrupole
- MQS: Skew Quadrupole
- MSCB: Combined Lattice Sextupole (MS) or skew sextupole (MSS) and Orbit Corrector (MCB)
- BPM: Beam position monitor
- MBA: Dipole magnet Type A
- MBB: Dipole magnet Type B
- MCS: Local Sextupole corrector
- MCDO: Local combined decapole and octupole corrector





Contents



- The LHC in a nutshell
- · Performance
 - Energy
 - Luminosity
 - Collective effects
 - Dynamic aperture
- Technology
 - Superconducting magnets
 - Powering and protection
 - Cryogenics
 - Vacuum
- Project management





Superconducting accelerator magnets



To match the geometry of the beam tubes, the coils are saddle-shaped & elongated

In the LHC, two sets of coils create opposite fields in the neighbouring apertures

In a superconducting magnet, the field level and geometry is basically given by the current distribution in the coils





Magnetic field inside conducting cylinder









Current distributions



Two intersecting ellipses with uniform current density generate uniform dipole and quadrupole fields \Rightarrow "cos θ " geometry





In practice, this can be approximated by current sheets, leading to "block" or "layer" coil designs













Field of single-layer dipole coil



Average current density in coil $B = \frac{\mu_0 \sqrt{3}}{\pi} j_{tech} W$







Typical critical lines of Nb-Ti superconductor








Superconducting cos θ dipoles in Nb-Ti Coil width vs field







Superconducting cos θ dipoles in Nb-Ti Coil cross-section vs field





Short-sample field [T]





Load lines of LHC main dipole





Inter-layer splice in graded coil







Superconducting cable with etched strands showing Nb-Ti filaments







7500 km of superconducting cables with tightly controlled properties



	Inner Cable	Outer Cable
Number of strands	28	36
Strand diameter	1.065 mm	0.825 mm
Filament diameter	7 µm	6 µm
Number of filaments	~ 8900	~ 6520
Cable width	15.1 mm	15.1 mm
Mid-thickness	1.900 mm	1.480 mm
Keystone angle	1.25 °	0.90 °
Transposition length	115 mm	100 mm
Ratio Cu/Sc	≥ 1.6	≥ 1.9







Persistent currents in superconductors





H. Brück, et al., Z. Phys. C, Particles and Fields, 44, pp. 385-392, 1989

- (Quasi) persistent currents flow in the superconducting filaments to shield the interior from outer field variations
- The pattern of persistent current depends mostly on:
 - history of field variation,
 - filament geometry,
 - Jc(B)
- The result is complex, and in the majority of relevant situations, not amenable to analytical solution







Production of superconducting wires & cables













Superconducting cable production statistics





- Critical current ~10% above specified value
- Magnetization and inter-strand resistance under control
- Cables within tight dimensional tolerances
- Rejection/declassification rate < 1%



Cable insulation by double polyimide wrap





CERN

Coil cross-section showing inter-turn and ground insulation







Manufacturing of superconducting coils

65

S)€





Sueprconducting coils extracted from mould







Electromagnetic forces





High magnetic field acting on high current generates large **electromagnetic forces** at right angle, which cannot be resisted by the mechanical strength of the conductor: saddle-shaped coils of accelerator magnets are not self-supporting

B = 10 T, I = 10 kA \Rightarrow 10⁵ N/m per turn !

- \Rightarrow "**roman arch**" coil geometry to contain the azimuthal component
- \Rightarrow external support structure against the radial component



Coil-collar assembly







Twin-aperture dipole magnet







Field reproducibility/precision ~ 10^{-3} Field homogeneity ~ 10^{-4}

 \Rightarrow Winding precision < 0.05 mm



Assembly of dipole cold masses





65

(s)←









65

(s)←







Cryogenic tests of magnets







Training of superconducting magnets







Quenches to reach 8.33 T on virgin dipoles







Quenches to reach 8.33 T after thermal cycle





Dipole field quality in series production









Magnet Evaluation Board Clearance and sorting for installation









Local sorting of dipoles by sextupole error







Buffer storage allows sorting, reduces dispersion





Lowering of magnets in tunnel







Cryomagnet installation in tunnel







Interconnections in tunnel

65'000 electrical joints Induction-heated soldering Ultrasonic welding *Very low residual resistance HV electrical insulation* 40'000 cryogenic junctions Orbital TIG welding

> Weld quality Helium leaktightness







Contents



- The LHC in a nutshell
- Performance
 - Energy
 - Luminosity
 - Collective effects
 - Dynamic aperture
- Technology
 - Superconducting magnets
 - Powering and protection
 - Cryogenics
 - Vacuum
- Project management





Cryogenic current leads





Heat transfer processes at work

- Solid conduction
- Joule heating
- Convective cooling by He vapor

Metals are good electrical AND thermal conductors (Wiedemann-Franz-Lorentz law)

Optimal sizing of current lead results from compromise between heat conduction and Joule heating

Superconductors do not follow WFL law

They are perfect electrical conductors with low thermal conductivity

They can make excellent current leads... up to their transition temperature!

⇒ niche application for "high-temperature" superconductors


Discovery of high-temperature superconductors (1986)



February 1987 Houston





J. Georg Bednorz



K. Alexander Müller





Current leads using HTS superconductor

u	S	S
ၜႜႋ	65)-P

	Resistive (WFL)	HTS (4 to 50 K) Resistive (> 50 K)
Heat inleak to liquid helium	1.1 W/kA	0.1 W/kA
Exergy loss	430 W/kA	150 W/kA
Electrical power of refrigerator	1430 W/kA	500 W/kA

Sum of currents into LHC ~ 1.7 MA, i.e. need current leads for 3.4 MA total rating (in and out)

BSCCO -2223 tapes

> Nb-Ti wires

Economy ~ 3400 W in liquid helium ~ 5000 l/h liquid helium

⇒ capital: save extra cryoplant

⇒ operation: save ~ 3.2 MW

13 kA HTS current lead for LHC





HTS current leads in the LHC tunnel



6 & 13 kA leads on electrical feed-box



Water-cooled cables on current lead lugs



High-precision, modular switched-mode power converters











Ramp and squeeze of the main circuits



SECTOR 5-6





Superconductors are basically unstable!



Heat capacity of materials drops at low temperatures

 $\Delta T = \Delta E / \gamma C$

 ΔE of few μJ on a superconducting strand in the cable generates ΔT pushing the operating point beyond the critical surface \Rightarrow resistive transition ("quench")

Temperature margin of superconductor ~ 1.5 K

Specific quench energy

Energy stored inductively in magnet

Energy stored in beam

 $\sim 10 \text{ mJ/cm}^3$

6.9 MJ

360 MJ





Stabilization of superconductors







Stability of superconducting cable

65

p

(s)€





Perturbation spectrum of superconductor







Network model of superconducting cable







1000

100 -

10

10⁻⁴

stability margin (mJ/cm³)

Transient stability of superconducting cable



heating time (s)





Hot spot temperature after a quench

Assume that quenched section is heated only by Joule effect and adiabatic (no conduction)

$$J^{2}(t)\rho(T)dt = \gamma C(T)dT \qquad \int_{0}^{\infty} J^{2}(t)dt = \int_{T_{op}}^{T_{m}} \frac{\gamma C(T)}{\rho(T)}dT \qquad J^{2}_{0}T_{d} = U(T_{m})$$
MIITs

To avoid too high hot spot temperature, speed up the quench propagation by any means

1) **Heater**: must be activated fast and reliably (20 ms)

2) "Quench-back" inductively propagated

This goes against having LHe in good contact with the conductor (i.e. against stability)!









Free-wheeling diode across power converter

FR

Diode bypasses quenched magnet during current discharge in string



Magnet bypass diodes





Io = 12 kA

Current discharge time constant ~ 100 s





12 kA DC switchgear & discharge resistors







Contents



- The LHC in a nuishell
- · Performance
 - Energy
 - Luminosity
 - Collective effects
 - Dynamic aperture

Technology

- Superconducting magnets
- Powering and protection
- Cryogenics
- Vacuum
- Project management



General layout of LHC cryogenic system







Transport of refrigeration in large distributed cryogenic systems







Cooling with superfluid helium







Large projects cooled by superfluid helium







Tore Supra tokamak, Cadarache (France) CEBAF accelerator, Newport News (USA)



Large-scale superfluid helium systems





Refrigeration power < 2 K





Discovery of superfluidity in He II (1938)



J.F. Allen & A.D. Misener (Cambridge) P.L. Kapitsa (Moscow)

Vaporization of liquid helium above and below the transition





He II (T=2.1 K)

He I (T=2.4 K)



Theoretical approaches to superfluid helium





Fritz London



Laszlo Tisza

Heater

T+AT

Normal Fluid



Lev Davidovich Landau

$$\epsilon = \hbar\omega = \Delta + \frac{(p - p_0)^2}{2\mu}$$

Quasi-particle description

$$T_{\rm BEC} = \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{1.897mk_B}\right) n^{2/3}$$

Bose-Einstein condensation

Two-fluid model

Superfluid



Thermophysical properties of He II



- Temperature < 2.17 K
- Low effective viscosity
 - 100 times lower than water at normal boiling point
- Very high specific heat
 - 10⁵ times that of the conductor by unit mass
 - 2x10³ times that of conductor by unit volume
- Very high thermal conductivity
 - 10³ times that of OFHC copper, cryogenic grade
 - Peaking at 1.9 K
 - Still, insufficient for transporting heat over large distances across small temperature gradients



Specific heat of liquid helium and copper









Thermal conduction in He II: practical results







C. Meuris et al.





LHC magnet string cooling scheme





Cryogenic operation of LHC sector







18 kW @ 4.5 K helium refrigerators Compressor station







18 kW @ 4.5 K helium refrigerators Coldboxes









C.O.P. of large cryogenic helium refrigerators at 4.5 K







Challenges of high-power 1.8 K refrigeration





- Compression of large mass flow-rate of He vapor across high pressure ratio
 ⇒ intake He at maximum density, i.e. cold
- Need contact-less, vane-less machine \Rightarrow hydrodynamic compressor
- Compression heat rejected at low temperature \Rightarrow thermodynamic efficiency



Cold compressors for 1.8 K refrigeration





Cartridge 1st stage

4 cold compressor stages


Cooldown of LHC sector (4625 t over 3.3 km)





Unloading of LHe & LN2

600 kW precooling to 80 K with LN2 (up to ~5 tons/h)





First cool-down of LHC sectors





◆ ARC56_MAGS_TTAVG.POSST ■ ARC78_MAGS_TTAVG.POSST ▲ ARC81_MAGS_TTAVG.POSST ◆ ARC23_MAGS_TTAVG.POSST
◆ ARC67_MAGS_TTAVG.POSST ■ ARC34_MAGS_TTAVG.POSST ▲ ARC12_MAGS_TTAVG.POSST ◆ ARC45_MAGS_TTAVG.POSST



Thermal insulation techniques Multi-layer reflective insulation





10 layers around cold mass at 1.9 K

30 layers around thermal shield at 50-75 K

Cold surface area in LHC ~ 9 hectares!

Thermal radiation from 290 K (black-body) \sim 400 W/m² = 4 MW/ha

Heat flux from 290 K across 30 layers MLI $\sim 1 \text{ W/m}^2 = 10 \text{ kW/ha}$



Thermal insulation techniques Low-conduction non-metallic support posts





Heat inleak measurements on full sectors confirm thermal budget





Calc. Meas.



On line at http://lhc.web.cern.ch/lhc/







Contents



- The LHC in a nuishell
- Performance
 - Energy
 - Luminosity
 - Collective effects
 - Dynamic aperture

Technology

- Superconducting magnets
- Powering and protection
- Cryogenics
- Vacuum
- Project management



Beam vacuum lifetime



- Dominated by nuclear scattering of protons on residual gas
- Lifetime of 100 h required to
 - Limit decay of beam intensity
 - Reduce energy deposited by scattered protons to \sim 30 mW/m



• Partial pressure

$$P_i = n_i \ k_B \ T$$

Proportional to temperature for given gas density



Beam vacuum lifetime



Gas species	Nuclear scattering cross-section [mbarn]	Gas density for 100 h lifetime [m ⁻³]	Pressure at 5 K for 100 h lifetime [Pa]
H ₂	95	9.8 E14	6.7 E-8
Не	126	7.4 E14	5.1 E-8
CH ₄	566	1.6 E14	1.1 E-8
H ₂ O	565	1.6 E14	1.1 E-8
СО	854	1.1 E14	7.5 E-9
CO ₂	1320	0.7 E14	4.9 E-9



Vacuum in presence of beam



• Without beam





Cryopumping of beam vacuum at 1.9 K











Cryosorption of beam vacuum at 4.5 K







Cryosorber







Carbon fiber mesh on the beam screen, to pump hydrogen Capacity sufficient for regeneration only during annual shutdown





Non-evaporable getter coated vacuum chambers Distributed pumping integrated into beam pipe

65

NEG coating of stailess steel chamber



after heating for 2 hours



NEG-coated vacuum chambers







Beam vacuum in long straight sections







Beam vacuum in long straight sections





Common beam pipe

Separate beam pipes





Contents



- The LHC in a nutshell
- Performance
 - Energy
 - Luminosity
 - Collective effects
 - Dynamic aperture
- Technology
 - Superconducting magnets
 - Powering and protection
 - Cryogenics
 - Vacuum
- Project management



Cost structure of the LHC accelerator







90 main industrial contracts in the world







A global project spanning space...











...and time



•	Preliminary conceptual studies	1984
٠	First magnet models	1988
•	Start structured R&D program	1990
٠	Approval by CERN Council	1994
•	Industrialization of series production	1996-1999
•	DUP & start civil works	1998
٠	Adjudication of main procurement contracts	1998-2001
٠	Start installation in tunnel	2003
٠	Cryomagnet installation in tunnel	2005-2007
•	Functional test of first sector	2007
٠	Commissioning with beam	2008
•	Operation for physics	2009-2030



Engineering data management system Single data repository, access via WWW







Specification & procurement strategy



- Regulatory framework & international status
 - CERN purchasing rules (essentially « lowest bidder »)
 - Seeking « fair return » among CERN Member States
 - Handling special « in-kind» contributions
- Call for tenders
 - Selecting the right companies
 - Building know-how & maintaining interest through prototyping, preseries and series
 - Technical specification: functional & interface vs. build-to-print
- Contract
 - Split: security of supply & balanced return vs. additional follow-up
 - Intermediate supply & logistics
 - MTF and inspection
 - Just-in-time vs. production buffer & sorting



Procurement & installation logistics Quality & quantity at the right time in the right place





Transported throughout Europe: ~150 000 t





CERN

The Manufacturing & Test Folder (MTF), key to quality assurance in production











Statistical production control of components Maintaining critical parameters within allowed range





Batch number acceptance piece



Industrial development of cold compressors An exercise in cooperation/competition







Industrialization & production ramp-up Superconducting cable







Industrialization & production ramp-up Superconducting dipoles

65







Recovering from industrial difficulties Internalization of SSS assembly after insolvency of contractor

65

<u>s</u>)←





Repair & reinstallation by CERN of cryogenic ring line sectors of following technical/managerial production errors









Thanks



- V. Baglin
- F. Bordry
- L. Bottura
- L. Evans
- S. Fartoukh
- J-M. Jimenez
- L. Rossi
- S. Russenschuck
- L. Tavian
- E. Todesco
- F. Zimmermann