# Soft QCD @LHC

James Monk UK LHC HEP Forum 07 Sept. 2011, Cosener's House

## Outline

Soft QCD program at the LHC is extremely large. Not possible to cover anywhere near all of it in 25 minutes. A few areas
 Minimum Bias Results
 Min Bias with particle ID

Correlations and Event Shape Results
Underlying Event Results

### Minimum Bias

- OMINIMUM MARCH MARCH
- It is a measure of what happens on average when you collide two protons
- It is important because it forms a background to the higher pT physics.
- Multiple independent collisions (a.k.a pile-up) are min bias, which provides a background plus noise contamination of signal
- Fluctuations in the "average" event can look like signal
- Therefore we need min bias to be well modelled by Monte Carlo = compare measurements to different MC tunes.

### Charged particle multiplicity distributions



4

Note slight differences between phase space and event definition.  $|\eta| < 2.5$  (ATLAS), 2.4 (CMS) or 1.0 (ALICE). ATLAS and ALICE use events with > 1 charged particle inside their  $\eta$ acceptance, whereas CMS define "non-single diffractive" sample



### Pseudo-Rapidity distributions

5



### More similar event definitions this time



### Common plots

ALICE, ATLAS and CMS defined a common set of cuts for easy comparison of MB results: |n| < 0.8, pT > 500 MeV or 1 GeV. All events with Nch > 1





- Particle ID can provide useful input to hadronisation models
- Are the pT spectra of individual particle species well modelled?
- Ratio of e.g. Δ / Ks shows baryon production rate relative to meson
- pbar/p ratio gives an indication of baryon production (two baryons in initial beams)





8





Generators not doing a great job of this



10

CHCb performed a similar measurement for neutral Ks @ 900 GeV



ratio of p/pbar production gives an indication of baryon production (beam baryon number = 2)

Lambda/
 Lambda-bar
 production
 shows similar



11



## **Correlations & Event Shapes**

- The existence of correlations between final state particles is an indication that there is a common origin for their production.
- Simple example: decays of clusters could give rise to particles close together in  $\eta$  and  $\phi$ .
- Another example: if radiation is emitted at a given angle,  $\phi_0$ , then there will tend to also be emission close to  $\pi$ - $\phi_0$  because of momentum conservation.
- In general, the pattern of correlations can be quite complicated. Models of soft QCD dynamics (as encapsulated in Monte Carlo generators) need to be able to describe this.

13

Two particle correlations consist of a foreground and a background.

- Foreground = take Δη and Δφ between each pair of particles in an event. Fill a 2D histogram with those values
   value
   value
- Falls with Δη because of phase space, but there is also structure (e.g. peak at 0,0)



$$F\left(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi\right) =$$

Foreground is normalised by dividing by total number of events

Means that each track has the same weight in the distribution , regardless of the track multiplicity of the event

14

N<sub>ch</sub> = number of (charged) particles in the event

 $\frac{2}{N_{ch}\left(N_{ch}-1\right)}\sum_{i}\sum_{j\neq i}\delta_{\eta_{i}-\eta_{j}-\Delta\eta}\delta_{\phi_{i}-\phi_{j}-\Delta\phi}$ 

• For the background take the  $\Delta \eta$  and  $\Delta \varphi$  between particle pairs in **independent** events.

Accounts for the phase space effect plus some other detector effects

• Divide the foreground by the background to give the observable



$$B(\Delta \eta) = \int_{-2.5}^{2.5} \int_{-2.5}^{2.5} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \delta(\eta_1 - \eta_2 - \Delta \eta) \left. \frac{dN_{ch}}{d\eta} \right|_{\eta = \eta_1} \left. \frac{dN_{ch}}{d\eta} \right|_{\eta = \eta_2}$$

Note the different normalisation: the background is normalised by dividing by the number of entries (= the no. of tracks) to give unit integral



16





Easier to compare to MC by integrating over  $\Delta \phi$ 

 $\Delta \eta$  distribution (by integrating the foreground and background separately over {0,  $\pi$ } at 7 TeV and 900 GeV)



Δφ distribution (by integrating the foreground and background separately Δη over {2, 5} at 7 TeV and 900 GeV)

Integrating over  $\Delta \phi$  region that does not include main peak - we see the away side recoil, but a dip on the near side



17

 $\Delta \phi$  distribution (by integrating the foreground and background separately  $\Delta \eta$  over {0, 2} at 7 TeV and 900 GeV)







#### Two-particle Correlations at higher multiplicity

18

In a specific region of phase space, Nch(pT > 400 MeV) ≥ 110, and for particles with 1 GeV < pT < 3 GeV, CMS observe an interesting ridge showing long range correlations between tracks

Interpretation is open for debate...



#### Two-particle Correlations at higher multiplicity

19

● In a specific region of phase space, Nch(pT > 400 MeV) ≥ 110, and for particles with 1 GeV < pT < 3 GeV, CMS observe an interesting ridge showing long range correlations between tracks

Interpretation is open for debate...



Same 2D plot in profile

## Soft Diffraction

20

- Diffraction is the low t (momentum exchange) limit of scattering processes.
- Results from the exchange of (composite) colourless objects a large and important contribution to the total cross section
- Exchange of colour singlet is typically accompanied by a rapidity "gap" devoid of radiation in the detector.
- ATLAS has measured the cross section as a function of that gap size
- Gap defined as a region with no track of pT > 200 MeV and no calorimeter cell with an energy deposit above a noise pedestal.
- The noise pedestal is defined such that the probability for a noisy cell to exceed the threshold is 0.00014





### Bose Einstein Correlations

21

- Otermine dN/dQ (Q<sup>2</sup> = (p<sub>1</sub>-p<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2</sup>) for all pairs of like-sign charged particles in each event.
- Do same for un-correlated particles (mixed events)
- Take the ratio
- Final state pions are bosons, therefore they may originate from the same quantum state (hence require like-signed particles)
- This would show up as an increase as Q->0



Q (GeV)

## Underlying Event Mearurements

### Underlying Event

23

Output of the proton that does not participate in the hard scatter

 Secondary soft interactions between the proton remnants

Important because it can add radiation to your final state, fake your signal, mess up your jets

Related to, but not the same as min bias



There are a set of observables to which the underlying event models can be compared and their parameters tuned...

### Underlying Event

24

 Identify leading particle or track or calo-cluster in each event

• Define 3 regions relative to this:

• Toward:  $|\Delta \phi| < 60^{\circ}$ 

• Away:  $|\Delta \phi| > 120^{\circ}$ 

• Transverse:  $60' < |\Delta \phi| < 120'$ 

 Determine pT sum, multiplicity, av. pT of tracks and other observables in each region



### Underlying event

25

- Shows Δφ distribution of pT from leading track
- Spike at  $\Delta \phi = 0$ ->radiation correlated to the leading track
- Increase as  $\Delta \phi \rightarrow \pi$ corresponds to recoil radiation
- This structure gets more obvious as lead pT increases (emergence of jets)
- Note the dip in between (the transverse region)



### Iransverse Region

#### Av. Multiplicity



--- PYTHIA-6 Z2 --- Herwig++ UE-EE-2

 $(p_{-} > 0.5 \text{ GeV/c}, |\eta| < 2, 60^{\circ} < |\Delta \phi| < 120^{\circ})$ 

40

60

Leading track-jet p\_ [GeV/c]

charged particles

80

100

0.4

0.2

0.0

20



Av. pT sum



26

#### Lead Track

Lead Track Jet (difference to lead track shows in different x axis scale)

### Transverse Region

27

#### Av. pT per particle





Pythia overshoots <pT>,
 Herwig undershoots (a bit)

Ratio of pT sum at 900GeV: 7 TeV. Shows energy evolution of the underlying event

### Neutral particles

28

 ATLAS has performed the same measurement using neutral particles in the calorimeter together with tracks for charged particles



### Drell Yan

- CMS have a preliminary measurement of UE in Drell-Yan µµ production.
- Here the direction of the di-µ system is the towards region and is most sensitive to the underlying event (because an electro- weak interaction is responsible for the µ)



Away

29



Towards

## Conclusion

The first year of data from the LHC has lead to a panoply of new soft physics results

These valuable results have already fed into Monte Carlo tuning efforts, and will continue to do so

30

Could only show a small selection here
More coming in the future...