Leandro Cieri Universidad de Buenos Aires - Argentina & INFN Sezione di Firenze LHCphen()net

> LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012 March 22, 2012

Outline

Introduction

- Available theoretical tools
- Diphoton production with **2YNNLO**

🖗 Summary

In collaboration with S. Catani, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera and M. Grazzini

Outline

Introduction

- Why is diphoton production important?
- Photon production mechanisms and isolation
- Theoretical tools available
- Diphoton production with **2YNNLO**
- 🟺 Summary

In collaboration with S. Catani, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera and M. Grazzini

Outline

Introduction

- Available theoretical tools
- Diphoton production with **2**YNNLO
 - Features of the code





In collaboration with S. Catani, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera and M. Grazzini

Why is diphoton production important?

- It is a channel that we can use to check the validity of perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (pQCD)
 - Collinear factorization approach
 - \ge K_T factorization approach
 - Soft gluon logarithmic resummation techniques

It constitutes an irreducible background for new physics searches

- Universal Extra Dimensions
- Randall-Sundrum ED
- Supersymmetry
- New heavy resonances
- Irreducible background

In searches for a low mass Higgs boson decaying into photon pairs

LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012

Why is diphoton production important?

- It is a channel that we can use to check the validity of perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (pQCD)
 - Collinear factorization approach
 - \ge K_T factorization approach
 - Soft gluon logarithmic resummation techniques

It constitutes an irreducible background for new physics searches

- 💡 Universal Extra Dimensions
- Randall-Sundrum ED
- Supersymmetry
- New heavy resonances

Irreducible background

In searches for a low mass Higgs boson decaying into photon pairs



March, 2012

The search for the SM Higgs boson

Direct searches at LEP2 experiments Phys. Lett. B 565 (2003) 61



Combined results ATLAS - CMS - 141 Gev c² A - 476 GeV/c² Phys.Lett. B705 (2011) 452-470 ATLAS-CONF-2011-149 CMS-PAS-HIG-11-021 arXiv:1201.3084 [hep-ph] (95% C.L.)

LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012

March, 2012

(95% C.L.)

The search for the SM Higgs boson

(95% C.L.)

Direct searches at LEP2 experiments Phys. Lett. B 565 (2003) 61

One of the most promising channels at the LHC is the rare decay of the Higgs boson into a pair of photons $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

In order to understand the signal we have to control the background to this process in the best way that we can.



The search for the SM Higgs boson



In order to understand the signal we have to control the background to this process in the best way that we can.

 $\rightarrow VV$



March, 2012

Photon production

When dealing with the production of photons we have to consider two production mechanisms:



Direct component: photon directly produced through the hard interaction

Fragmentation component: photon produced from non-perturbative fragmentation of a hard parton (analogously to a hadron) Single and double resolved (collinear fragmentation)

Calculations of cross sections with photons have additional singularities in the presence of QCD radiation. (i.e. When we go beyond LO)

See R. Hernandez-Pinto's and P. Artoisenet's presentation!

When quark and photon are collinear \rightarrow singular propagator

Photon production

- Experimentally photons must be isolated
- Isolation reduces fragmentation component
- Experimentalist may choose:





 $E_T^{had} \le E_T^{max}$ $\delta < R_0$

Large Corrections

Using conventional isolation, only the sum of the direct and fragmentation contributions is meaningful.

Photon production

- Experimentally photons must be isolated
- Isolation reduces fragmentation component
- Experimentalist may choose:





 $\sum_{\delta < R_0} E_T^{had} \le E_T^{max}$

Large Corrections

Using conventional isolation, only the sum of the direct and fragmentation contributions is meaningful.

But there is a way to isolate and make the direct cross section physical

(Infrared safe)

Smooth cone Isolation S. Frixione, Phys.Lett. B429 (1998) 369-374,

Soft emission allowed arbitrarily close to the photon

$$\chi(\delta) = \epsilon_{\gamma} E_T^{\gamma} \left(\frac{1 - \cos(\delta)}{1 - \cos(R_0)} \right)^n$$

no quark-photon collinear divergences
 no fragmentation component (only direct)
 direct well defined by itself

 $E_T^{had}(\delta) \leq \chi(\delta) \, \text{such that} \ \lim_{\delta \to 0} \chi(\delta) = 0$

Available theoretical tools

2000000

DIPHOX Full NLO for direct and fragmentation + Box contribution (one piece of NNLO) T. Binoth, J.Ph. Guillet, E. Pilon and M. Werlen gamma2MC Full NLO (direct only) + Box + correction to Box contribution partial N³LO term Zvi Bern, Lance Dixon, and Carl Schmidt

MCFM Full NLO for direct, but only LO for fragmentation + correction to Box contribution partial N³LO term

John M. Campbell, R.Keith Ellis, Ciaran Williams

Resbos NLL q_T resummation for direct (with regulator C. Balázs, E. L. Berger, P. Nadolsky, and C.-P. Yuan for collinear singularities) Correction to Box contribution partial N³LO term

LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012

Available theoretical tools

DIPHOX Full NLO for direct and fragmentation

+ Box contribution (one piece of NNLO)

T. Binoth, J.Ph. Guillet, E. Pilon and M. Werlen

gamma2MC Full NLO (direct only) + Box

+ correction to Box contribution partial N³LO term Zvi Bern, Lance Dixon, and Carl Schmidt

MCFM Full NLO for direct, but only LO for fragmentation + correction to Box contribution partial N³LO term

John M. Campbell, R.Keith Ellis, Ciaran Williams

Resbos NLL q_T resummation for direct (with regulator

C. Balázs, E. L. Berger, P. Nadolsky, and C.-P. Yuan for collinear singularities)

Correction to Box contribution partial N³LO term

Results tipically in good agreement with data, but some differences observed:

- Azimuth separation for diphoton production
- Low mass region of the invariant mass distribution

It is desireable to count on a NNLO description of the phenomenology of diphoton production

LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012





Let us consider a specific, though important class of processes: the production of colourless high-mass systems \mathbf{F} in hadron collisions

(**F** may consist of lepton pairs, vector bosons, Higgs bosons.....)

At LO it starts with $\ c \bar{c} \rightarrow F$



Strategy: start from NLO calculation of **F+jet(s)** and observe that as soon as the transverse momentum of the **F**, $q_T \neq 0$, on can write:

$$d\sigma^{F}_{(N)NLO}|_{q_T \neq 0} = d\sigma^{F+\text{jets}}_{(N)LO}$$

Define a counterterm to deal with singular behaviour at $q_T \rightarrow 0$ But.....

the singular behaviour of $d\sigma^{F+\text{jets}}_{(N)LO}$ is well known from the resummation program of large logarithmic contributions at small transverse momenta G. Parisi, R. Petronzio (1979)

J. Collins, D.E. Soper, G. Sterman (1985)

S. Catani, D. de Florian, M.Grazzini (2000)

LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012

March, 2012

choose

where

Then the calculation can be extended to include the $q_T = 0$ contribution:

 $d\sigma^{CT} \sim d\sigma^{(LO)} \otimes \Sigma^F(q_T/Q)$

 $\Sigma^{F}(q_{T}/Q) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{S}}{\pi}\right)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \Sigma^{F(n;k)} \frac{Q^{2}}{q_{T}^{2}} \ln^{k-1} \frac{Q^{2}}{q_{T}^{2}}$

$$d\sigma_{(N)NLO}^{F} = \mathcal{H}_{(N)NLO}^{F} \otimes d\sigma_{LO}^{F} + \left[d\sigma_{(N)LO}^{F+\text{jets}} - d\sigma_{(N)LO}^{CT} \right]$$

where I have subtracted the truncation of the counterterm at (N)LO and added a contribution at $q_T = 0$ to restore the correct normalization

The function \mathcal{H}^F can be computed in QCD perturbation theory

$$\mathcal{H}^F = 1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right) \mathcal{H}^{F(1)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right)^2 \mathcal{H}^{F(2)} + \dots$$

LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012

For a generic $pp \rightarrow F + X$ process:

- At NLO we need a LO calculation of $d\sigma^{F+{\rm jet}({\rm s})}_{LO}$ plus the knowledge of $d\sigma^{CT}_{LO}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{F(1)}$
 - the counterterm $d\sigma_{LO}^{CT}$ requires the resummation coefficients $A^{(1)}, B^{(1)}$ and the one loop anomalous dimensions
 - the general form of $\mathcal{H}^{F(1)}$ is known G. Bozzi, S. Catani, D. de Florian, M. Grazzini (2000) G. Bozzi, S. Catani, D. de Florian, M.Grazzini (2005)
- $\ensuremath{\stackrel{>}{=}}$ At NNLO we need a NLO calculation of $d\sigma^{F+\rm jet(s)}$ plus the knowledge of $d\sigma^{CT}_{NLO}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{F(2)}$

ightharpoonup terms that the counterterm $d\sigma_{NLO}^{CT}$ depends also on the resummation coefficients $A^{(2)}, B^{(2)}$ and on the two loop anomalous dimensions

- $\overset{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{\otimes}}}{=}$ we have computed $\mathcal{H}^{F(2)}$ for Higgs and vector boson production!
- \S generalized to any process with final state colorless system $m{F}$

S. Catani, M. Grazzini (2007) S. Catani, L. C, G.Ferrera, D. de Florian, M. Grazzini (2009) S. Catani, L. C, G.Ferrera, D. de Florian, M. Grazzini (2011)

For a generic $pp \rightarrow F + X$ process:

This is enough to compute NNLO corrections for any process in this class provided that F+jet is known up to NLO and the two loop amplitude for $\overrightarrow{cc} \rightarrow F$ is known

At NNLO we need a NLO calculation of $d\sigma^{F+{
m jet}({
m s})}$ plus the knowledge of $d\sigma^{CT}_{NLO}$ and ${\cal H}^{F(2)}$

ightharpoonup terms $d\sigma_{NLO}^{CT}$ depends also on the resummation coefficients $A^{(2)}, B^{(2)}$ and on the two loop anomalous dimensions

 \check{s} we have computed $\mathcal{H}^{F(2)}$ for Higgs and vector boson production!

 ${
m }$ generalized to any process with final state colorless system ${
m I\!\!F}$

S. Catani, M. Grazzini (2007) S. Catani, L. Cieri, G.Ferrera, D. de Florian, M. Grazzini (2009) S. Catani, L. Cieri, G.Ferrera, D. de Florian, M. Grazzini (2011)

q_subtraction method S. Catani, M. Grazzini (2007)

In our case

DiPhoton production at NNLO

Two-loop amplitudes available C.Anastasiou, E.W.N.Glover, M.E.Tejeda-Yeomans

Di-photon + jet at NLO computed V.Del Duca, F.Maltoni, Z.Nagy, Z.Trocsanyi

implemented in NLOJet++

q_subtraction method S. Catani, M. Grazzini (2007)

In our case

DiPhoton production at NNLO

 $\bullet \mathcal{H}^{F(2)}$

Two-loop amplitudes available • C.Anastasiou, E.W.N.Glover, M.E.Tejeda-Yeomans

Di-photon + jet at NLO computed V.Del Duca, F.Maltoni, Z.Nagy, Z.Trocsanyi

implemented in NLOJet++

In our case

DiPhoton production at NNLO

 $\bullet \mathcal{H}^{F(2)}$

 $d\sigma^{F+\text{jet(s)}}$

Two-loop amplitudes available • C.Anastasiou, E.W.N.Glover, M.E.Tejeda-Yeomans

Di-photon + jet at NLO computed V.Del Duca, F.Maltoni, Z.Nagy, Z.Trocsanyi

implemented in NLOJet++



Diphoton production at NNLO . D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles

S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC **First** results using $2\gamma \rm NNLO$

MSTW 08 NNLO wnlo/lo 4000 NLO $\mu_{\rm R} = \mu_{\rm F} = M_{\gamma\gamma}$ $\sigma(fb/bin)$ LO 2 KNNLO/NLO KNNLO/NLO+box 3000 NLO+box 120 140 160 100 M₇₇ (GeV) 2000 1000 gg-box 0 80 100 120 140 160 180 $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ (GeV)

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{S} &= 14 \,\mathrm{TeV} \\ p_T^{\gamma \,hard} \geq 40 \,\mathrm{GeV} \\ p_T^{\gamma \,soft} \geq 25 \,\mathrm{GeV} \\ &|\eta^{\gamma}| \leq 2.5 \\ &20 \,\mathrm{GeV} \leq M_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 250 \,\mathrm{GeV} \\ &\mu_R = \mu_F = M_{\gamma\gamma} \end{split}$$

NNLO effect about +50 % in the peak region

Box only ~22% of NNLO correction

LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012

March, 2012

Diphoton production at NNLO . D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles

S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC **First** results using $2\gamma \rm NNLO$

NNLO MSTW 08 KNLO/LO 4000 NLO $\mu_{\rm R} = \mu_{\rm F} = M_{\gamma\gamma}$ $\sigma(fb/bin)$ LO 2 K^{NNLO/NLO} KNNLO/NLO+box 3000 1 NLO+box 120 140 160 100 M₇₇ (GeV) 2000 1000 gg-box 0 80 140 180 100 120 160 $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ (GeV)

 $\sqrt{S} = 14 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ $p_T^{\gamma \ hard} \ge 40 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ $p_T^{\gamma \, soft} \ge 25 \, \mathrm{GeV}$ $|\eta^{\gamma}| \leq 2.5$ $20 \,\mathrm{GeV} \le M_{\gamma\gamma} \le 250 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ $\mu_R = \mu_F = M_{\gamma\gamma}$ σ^{NNLO} $\overline{\sigma^{NLO+Box}} \sim 1.35$

 $\frac{\sigma^{NLO+Box}}{\sigma^{NLO}} \sim 1.35$ $\frac{\sigma^{NNLO}}{\sigma^{NLO}} \sim 1.55$

LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012

March, 2012

S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC



S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC

First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles

 p_T of harder and softer photon $\sigma(fb/bin)$ MSTW 08 LHC 14 TeV 8000 NNLO 8000 $\mu_{\rm R} = \mu_{\rm F} = M_{\gamma\gamma}$ ---· NLO The requirement LO 6000 6000 $p_{T1}^{\gamma} \ge 40 \text{ GeV}$ Harder γ Softer γ implies that at LO also the 4000 4000 softer photon must have $p_{T}^{\gamma} \geq 40 \text{ GeV}$ 2000 2000 0 0 40 20 60 80 40 60 80 100 100 120 p_T^{γ} p_T^γ not allowed at LO Large contribution to cross-section

Substantial contribution from radiation in the region 25 GeV < pT < 40 GeV

 $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{=}$ Unphysical peak in $\mathbf{p}_{\tau_2}^{\mathbf{v}}$ at $\mathbf{p}_{\tau_1}^{\mathbf{v}} = 40$ GeV

S. Catani, M. Fontannaz, J.P. Guillet, E. Pilon. JHEP 0205 (2002) 028 LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012 Catani, Webber. JHEP 9710 (1997) 005

March, 2012

Diphoton production at NNLO First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles

S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles Discrepancy between NLO and experimental data



Diphoton production at NNLO . D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles

S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles Discrepancy between NLO and experimental data



Diphoton production at NNLO i. D. de Florian. G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles

S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles Discrepancy between NLO and experimental data



Diphoton production at NNLO D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles

S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles Discrepancy between NLO and experimental data



Diphoton production at NNLO . D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles

S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles Discrepancy between NLO and experimental data



S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC

First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles

Discrepancy between NLO and experimental data at low $\Delta \phi_{\gamma\gamma}$



LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012

Diphoton production at NNLO Preliminary results

S.Catani, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini, LC

NNLO Corrections much larger in some kinematical regions NLO effectively lowest order "away from back-to-back configuration"



NNLO corrections essential to understand the background

LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012



invariant mass below the LO threshold

LHCphenonet Annual Meeting 2012

March, 2012

Summary

- Sizeable NNLO corrections to the γγ mass distribution in kinematical regions related to Higgs boson searches
- NNLO very large away from back-to-back configuration (effectively NLO)
- At NNLO starts to reliably predict values of cross sections in all kinematical regions (with very few exceptions; e.g $p_{Tvv} \rightarrow 0$)
- Cross section with "smooth" isolation, is a lower bound for cross section with standard isolation.
- Work in progress: release a public version of 2γNNLO

+ approximation of standard isolation



40-55% effect over NLO

Backup Slides

Why do we need NNLO corrections?

NNLO QCD corrections in diphoton production

 $\gamma\gamma$ production **some NNLO** terms known to be as large as Born!



 $O(\alpha_s^2)$ but gg Luminosity



 $O(\alpha_s^0)$ but $q\bar{q}$ Luminosity

Box contribution already included in NLO calculation DIPHOX: T.Binoth, J.P.Guillet, E.Pilon, M.Werlen

Why do we need NNLO corrections?

NNLO QCD corrections in diphoton production

 $\gamma\gamma$ production **some NNLO** terms known to be as large as Born!



 $O(\alpha_s^2)$ but gg Luminosity



 $O(\alpha_s^0)$ but $q\bar{q}$ Luminosity

Box contribution already included in NLO calculation DIPHOX: T.Binoth, J.P.Guillet, E.Pilon, M.Werlen

Full NNLO control of Di-photon production is desired (main light Higgs bkg)

Diphoton production at NNLO , L.Cieri, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini First exclusive NNLO with two final state particles

S.Catani, L.Cieri, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini





- Impact of NNLO corrections a bit smaller than at the LHC but still important
- NNLO effect about +30%

S.Catani, L.Cieri, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini



S.Catani, L.Cieri, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini



S.Catani, L.Cieri, D. de Florian, G.Ferrera, M.Grazzini



With Higgs search cuts at 7 TeV



Channels



Higgs search at 7 TeV

