BUSSTEPP 2012 Standard Model and Beyond Exercises

Part I The Standard Model

#### 1 The Weyl formalism

C ONSIDER two complex doublets  $\psi_{\alpha}$  and  $\chi_{\alpha}$  transforming as  $\psi \to U\psi$ ,  $\chi \to U\chi$  under  $U \in SU(2)$  transformations. Determine the most general SU(2)-invariant bilinear involving one out of  $\psi$  and  $\psi^*$  and one out of  $\chi$  and  $\chi^*$ .

Assume now that  $\psi$  and  $\chi$  are left-handed Lorentz spinors. The Lorentz transformations act on them through SL(2,C), the set of 2 × 2 complex matrices with unit determinant:  $\psi \to L\psi$ ,  $\chi \to L\chi$ ,  $L \in SL(2,C)$ . Determine the most general SL(2,C)-invariant bilinear involving one out of  $\psi$  and  $\psi^*$ and one out of  $\chi$  and  $\chi^*$ . Use the relation between  $L \in SL(2,C)$  and the Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda$  given by  $L(x_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu})L^{\dagger} = (\Lambda^{\nu}_{\mu}x_{\nu})\sigma^{\mu}$ .

Consider now a system of 4 left-handed Lorentz spinors  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_4$ . Determine the most general Lorentz invariant involving all the four fields (or their conjugated). You may want to use the following relation involving the Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3:  $\sum_i (\sigma_i)_{ab} (\sigma_i)_{cd} = 2\delta_{ad}\delta_{bc} - \delta_{ab}\delta_{cd}$ .

## 2 The Standard Model is chiral

C onsider the left-handed fermion content of the Standard Model shown in the lectures:

	$\mathrm{SU}(3)_c$	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	Y
$q_i$	3	2	1/6
$u_i^c$	$\overline{3}$	1	-2/3
$d_i^c$	$\overline{3}$	1	1/3
$l_i$	1	2	-1/2
$e_i^c$	1	1	1

Remembering that the most generic mass term one can build out of the Weyl fermions  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$  is  $m_{ij}\psi_i\psi_j/2 + \text{h.c.}$ , show that no gauge invariant mass

term is allowed in the Standard Model.

## 3 The effective four-fermion Fermi operator

C onsider the neutrino scattering process  $\nu_{\mu}e \rightarrow \mu\nu_{e}$ . Derive the fourfermion effective lagrangian density describing that process at energies much smaller than the W mass (in the Dirac formalism).

What is the parametric dependence of the cross section  $\sigma$  on the center of mass energy of the process E for  $E \ll M_W$  and  $E \gg M_W$ ?

## 4 Higgs decay into $W^+W^-$

 $\prod$  HE Higgs doublet can be written in the unitary gauge as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ v + \frac{h}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where h is the physical Higgs field and  $v = \sqrt{2}M_W/g$  (g is the SU(2)<sub>L</sub> coupling).

- Write the  $hW^+W^-$  Lagrangian interaction term responsible of the Higgs decay into  $W^+W^-$ .
- Calculate the total Higgs decay width into  $W^+W^-$  at the tree level.
- In the limit in which the hypercharge  $U(1)_Y$  gauge coupling is negligible, how are the decay widths into  $W^+W^-$  and ZZ bosons related?

### 5 The custodial symmetry

Suppose the SM Higgs was an SU(2) triplet with hypercharge  $Y_H$  and the Higgs potential generates a vacuum expectation value for the Higgs. What would be the values of  $Y_H$  allowing  $Q = T_3 + Y$  to be conserved? What would be the values of  $Y_H$  in which all generators of SU(2)×U(1) are broken except those proportional to Q? Suppose that  $Y_H = 1$  and that the Higgs obtains a vev that indeed does not break Q. Calculate the gauge boson masses generated by the Higgs gauge couplings. What would be the tree-level value of the  $\rho$  parameter?

# 6 The Higgs potential

S TARTING from the Higgs potential shown in the lectures,  $V(H) = -\mu^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda_H (H^{\dagger} H)^2/2$  and using the unitary gauge shown in exercise 4, recover the Higgs potential for the physical degree of freedom h and in particular its mass.