Geneva



CALVIN BERGGREN, UC BERKELEY AND LBL RESUMMATION AND PARTON SHOWERS WORKSHOP 2013 15 JULY 2013

Simone Alioli, Christian Bauer, CB, Andrew Hornig, Frank Tackmann, Christopher Vermilion, Jonathan Walsh, Saba Zuberi





Ingredients of GENEVA

Fully exclusive LO and NLO matrix elements

Higher-order resummation

Parton showering and hadronization

Improved perturbative accuracy in every region of phase space

All ingredients important for many processes



Fixed-order calculations alone can show poor convergence

Abbate, Fickinger, Hoang, Mateu, Stewart 1006.3080

Resummation can significantly improve convergence

All ingredients important for many processes



Stewart, Tackmann, Walsh, Zuberi 1307.1808

Many different multiplicities may be important and the backgrounds may be different

Resummation important in exclusive jet bins

Basic Setup

Consider a process with N tree-level partons

Introduce a physical jet resolution variable \mathcal{T} to separate inclusive and exclusive regions in Φ_{N+1} phase space

 \mathcal{T} = Dimensionful jet resolution variable

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\geq N}}{d\Phi_{N}} = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{N}} \left(\mathcal{T}^{\text{cut}}\right) + \int \frac{d\Phi_{N+1}}{d\Phi_{N}} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{N+1}} \left(\mathcal{T}\right) \theta \left(\mathcal{T} > \mathcal{T}^{\text{cut}}\right)$$
Total (inclusive) N-jet cross section
$$\text{Exclusive N-jet bin with no emissions above } \mathcal{T}^{\text{cut}} \qquad \text{Jet resolution spectrum: inclusive (N+1)-jet cross section}$$

$$\text{Ultimately, } \mathcal{T}^{\text{cut}} \to 0 \text{ (IR cutoff)}$$

Regions of Phase Space



Generic observables have multiple important regions of phase space

Peak region contains large logarithms

De Florian, Ferrera, Grazzini, Tommasini 1203.6321

Regions of Phase Space



Generic observables have multiple important regions of phase space

Peak region contains large logarithms





Calvin Berggren | GENEVA | Resummation and Parton Showers | 9 / 30

Lowest perturbative accuracy everywhere requires NLL + LO_{N+1} (CKKW, MLM)

Next-to-Lowest perturbative accuracy everywhere requires NNLL+NLO_{N+1}



Order counting $\alpha_s L^2 \sim 1$ gives towers of logarithms (Notation: $\tau = T/Q$, $L = \ln \tau$, $L_{cut} = \ln \tau^{cut}$)

 LL_{σ} NLL_{σ} NLL'_{σ} $NNLL_{\sigma}$ $\frac{\sigma(\tau^{\rm cut})}{\sigma_B} = 1$ LON + $\alpha_s \left[\frac{c_{11}}{2}L_{cut}^2 + c_{10}L_{cut} + c_{1,-1} + F_1(\tau_{cut}) \right]$ NLO_N $+ \alpha_{s}^{2}$ + + + + $\frac{1}{\sigma_B} \frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} = \alpha_s / \tau [c_{11}L + c_{10} + \tau f_1(\tau)] LO_{N+1} + \alpha_s^2 / \tau [c_{23}L^3 + c_{22}L^2 + c_{21}L + c_{20} + \tau f_2(\tau)] NLO_{N+1}$

 $+ \alpha_s^3/\tau$ + + + +

 $L = \ln \tau$

In transition region, it is not obvious which corrections will be more important \rightarrow should include both

• Consider $gg \rightarrow H$ with p_T roughly between 20 and 40 GeV

Using resummation provides reliable uncertainties

 Uncertainties come from theory calculation as opposed to some variation of the parton shower



Merging Multiple NLO Calculations

Including $\text{NLO}_{\text{N+1}}$ at small \mathcal{T} only meaningful together with higher-order resummation

We see multi-NLO as a byproduct of resummation



The Goal of GENEVA



The process of GENEVA



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) = \frac{d\sigma^{\text{cum}}}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) + \left[\frac{d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) - \frac{d\sigma^{\text{cum}}}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) \Big|_{\text{NLO}} \right]$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_3}(\mathcal{T}_2) = \left[\frac{d\sigma^{\text{resum}}}{d\Phi_2 d\mathcal{T}_2} / \frac{d\sigma^{\text{resum}}}{d\Phi_2 d\mathcal{T}_2} \Big|_{\text{NLO}}\right] \frac{d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}}{d\Phi_3}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_4} = \frac{d\sigma^{\rm LO}}{d\Phi_4}$$

N-jet Weights

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) = \frac{d\sigma^{\text{cum}}}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) + \left[\frac{d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) - \frac{d\sigma^{\text{cum}}}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) \right]_{\text{NLO}} \right]$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_3} \left(\mathcal{T}_2 \right) = \left[\frac{d\sigma^{\text{resum}}}{d\Phi_2 d\mathcal{T}_2} / \frac{d\sigma^{\text{resum}}}{d\Phi_2 d\mathcal{T}_2} \right]_{\text{NLO}} \frac{d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}}{d\Phi_3}$$

Exclusive 2-jet bin uses the cumulant of the \mathcal{T}_2 spectrum, which includes the NLO fixed order

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_4} = \frac{d\sigma^{\rm LO}}{d\Phi_4}$$

N-jet Weights

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{2}}(\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\text{cut}}) = \frac{d\sigma^{\text{cum}}}{d\Phi_{2}}(\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\text{cut}}) + \left|\frac{d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}}{(\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\text{cut}})} - \frac{d\sigma^{\text{cum}}}{(\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\text{cut}})} - \frac{d\sigma^{\text{cum}}}{(\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\text{cut}})}\right|_{\text{NLO}}$ In the tail, the terms in the brackets cancel, leaving the high-order cancel, leaving the high-order NLO term. resummed term. $\checkmark \qquad \frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_2}(\mathcal{T}_2) = \left[\frac{d\sigma^{\text{resum}}}{d\Phi_2 d\mathcal{T}_2} / \frac{d\sigma^{\text{resum}}}{d\Phi_2 d\mathcal{T}_2} \Big|_{\text{NLO}}\right] \frac{d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}}{d\Phi_2}$ Fully differential 3-body PS $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{4}} = \frac{d\sigma^{\rm LO}}{d\Phi_{4}}$

3-jet bin uses fully differential NLO fixed order improved by the resummed \mathcal{T}_2 spectrum

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\rm FO}}{d\Phi_3}$$
 projects onto $\frac{d\sigma^{\rm FO}}{d\Phi_2 d\mathcal{T}_2}$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) = \frac{d\sigma^{\text{cum}}}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) + \left[\frac{d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) - \frac{d\sigma^{\text{cum}}}{d\Phi_2} \left(\mathcal{T}_2^{\text{cut}} \right) \right]_{\text{NLO}}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_3}(\mathcal{T}_2) = \left[\frac{d\sigma^{\text{resum}}}{d\Phi_2 d\mathcal{T}_2} / \frac{d\sigma^{\text{resum}}}{d\Phi_2 d\mathcal{T}_2}\Big|_{\text{NLO}}\right] \frac{d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}}{d\Phi_3}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_4} = \frac{d\sigma^{\rm LO}}{d\Phi_4}$$

In this talk, last bin is LO

Process can be iterated to include more results at LO or NLO

Parton-Level Results



Adding the Shower

Division of Responsibility



Division of Responsibility



GENEVA seeks to replace portions of the parton shower with resummed calculations

We would like to "break apart" the parton shower and replace those pieces for which calculations exist

- Investigating other effects such as MPI
- Ultimately, GENEVA will require a new tune of the shower

Adding the Shower



Require showered event to not change the value of \mathcal{T} to within a power correction $\frac{\mathcal{T}_{\text{GENEVA+PY}} - \mathcal{T}_{\text{GENEVA}}}{\mathcal{T}_{\text{GENEVA}}} < \lambda$

Exclusive N-jet events do not cross jet boundaries, while the highest multiplicity bin showers inclusively

Internal shower kinematics not changed

Showered Results



Results for C-parameter

Different observable but similar log structure to thrust



Spectrum at very small C filled out by shower

Remarkably close to NNLL' analytic result – both central value and uncertainties

Results for Jet Broadening

Highly orthogonal observable to thrust – p_T -like



Spectrum filled out by shower

Remarkably close to NNLL analytic result – both central value and uncertainties

Including hadronization



Going to pp

Currently, Beam Thrust is our jet resolution parameter for $pp \rightarrow Z + jets$



We are in the process of validating the combination of higher-accuracy pieces:

NNLL' + NLO₁ + Pythia

Conclusions

Precision measurements require precise predictions and reliable theory uncertainties

GENEVA is a Monte Carlo capable of systematically increasing perturbative accuracy across all of phase space including the resummation/shower region and capable of merging multiple calculations at NLO

Excellent agreement with LEP data for a variety of 2-jet observables

Currently focusing on $pp \rightarrow V + 0/1/2$ jets

Backup Slides

N-Jettiness

Use *N*-jettiness as resolution parameter. Global physical observable with straightforward definitions for hadronic colliders, in terms of beams q_{a,b} and jet-directions q_j



- N-jettiness has good factorization properties, IR safe and resummable at all orders. Resummation known at NNLL for any N [Stewart et al. 1004.2489, 1102.4344]
- $\mathcal{T}_N \to 0$ for N pencil-like jets, $\mathcal{T}_N \gg 0$ spherical limit.
- $\mathcal{T}_N < \mathcal{T}_N^{\text{cut}}$ acts as jet-veto, e.g. CJV $\mathcal{T}_0 = \frac{2}{Q} \sum_k \min\{q_a \cdot p_k, q_b \cdot p_k\} < \mathcal{T}_0^{\text{cut}}$

From
$$e^+e^-$$
 to pp collisions

Can use N-jettiness as in e⁺e⁻ to distinguish jet multiplicities

master formula:
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{incl}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0)\theta(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}})$$

The essential perturbative physics translates to pp collisions

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{0}}(\mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{resum}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{0}}(\mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{FO}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{0}}(\mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) - \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{resum}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{0}}(\mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}})\right|_{\mathrm{FO}}\right]$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{FO}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{resum}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{0}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_{0}} \middle/ \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{resum}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{0}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_{0}}\right|_{\mathrm{FO}}\right]$$

From e^+e^- to pp collisions

Can use N-jettiness as in e+e- to distinguish jet multiplicities

master formula:
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{incl}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0)\theta(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}})$$

Initial state radiation provides conceptual, technical challenges

- Resummation involves beam functions, sum over partonic channels
- FO calculations more challenging
- Requires matching GENEVA to an initial state parton shower
- pp collisions require multiple parton interaction (MPI) model